

# Comparative growth performance of early juvenile *Haliotis asinina* fed various artificial diets.

[Print](#)

Author: Sangpradub, S.

Date: Apr 1, 2004

Words: 6707

Publication: *Journal of Shellfish Research*

ISSN: 0730-8000

**ABSTRACT** The purpose of this study was to compare artificial diets for early juvenile abalone, *Haliotis asinina*, containing different sources of protein. Juvenile *H. asinina* with mean initial shell length of 5.3-5.6 mm were fed artificial diets for 90 days. Diets contained 30% crude protein from different sources: casein, fish meal, soybean meal, and rice bran. The diets were fed to abalone at 5-10% body weight once daily in the afternoon. Abalone fed *Acanthophora* sp. served as a control. The results showed that the abalone fed fresh *Acanthophora* sp. and those receiving the casein-based diet had the highest growth rates in shell length (96.7 [+ or -] 8.0 [ $\mu$ m/day and 96.3 [+ or -] 6.7 [ $\mu$ m/day, respectively). Those fed the casein-based diet showed the highest growth rate in weight (8.6 [+ or -] 0.3 [ $\mu$ g/day). The best survival rates were found in abalone fed *Acanthophora* sp., the soybean-based diet and the casein-based diet (88.9%, 81.1%, and 78.9%, respectively). The casein diet yielded the maximum rate of growth and survival.

**KEY WORDS:** comparative growth performance, early juvenile, artificial diets, *Haliotis asinina*

## INTRODUCTION

The donkey's ear abalone, *Haliotis asinina* Linnaeus, a very promising commercial abalone in the Southeast Asia region, is a tropical species. It is distributed widely in coastal reef zones of Southeast Asia. In Thailand, research into abalone culturing techniques began in the early 1990s (Singhagraiwan 1989, Singhagraiwan & Doi 1993, Jarayabhand & Paphavasit 1996). Feeding, growth, and survival (Singhagraiwan 1991a, 1991b, Singhagraiwan & Sasaki 1991, Singhagraiwan 1993, Kunavongdate et al. 1995, Upatham et al. 1998, Kruatrachue et al. 2000) have been investigated for adult abalone, but little work has been done on juvenile *H. asinina*.

Culture of *H. asinina* in Thailand is divided into two phases. The first phase is the culture of newly settled spats on corrugated plastic plates coated with diatoms until a shell length of 5-10 mm is attained. The second phase is the culture of juveniles on corrugated cement plates with seaweed until they reach a marketable size (40-50 mm in shell length) (Singhagraiwan & Doi 1993). There are many problems, however, in using natural seaweed, such as seasonality and accessibility (Uki & Watanabe 1992). Artificial diets could solve these problems.

In recent years, there has been a rapid increase in the number of research groups developing artificial diets to supplement or replace seaweeds in abalone culture (Uki & Watanabe 1992, Viana et al. 1993, Fleming et al. 1996, Britz 1996a, 1996b, Capinpin & Corre 1996, Moss

1997, Corazani & Illanes 1998, Lopez et al. 1998, Chen & Lee 1999, Kruatrachue et al. 2000, Serviere-Zaragoza et al. 2001, Boarder & Shpigel 2001, Shipton & Britz 2001, Jackson et al. 2001). Growth of abalone feeding on macroalgae and artificial diets has been studied for *H. discus* Reeve (Ogino & Ohta 1963), *H. discus hannai* Iino (Uki et al. 1985, Nie et al. 1986, Uki et al. 1986a, 1986b, Corazani & Illanes 1998), *H. fulgens* Philippi (Viana et al. 1993, Serviere-Zaragoza et al. 2001), *H. asinina* (Capinpin & Corre, 1996, Upatham et al. 1998, Capinpin et al. 1999, Bautista-Teruel & Millamena 1999, Kruatrachue et al. 2000, Jackson et al. 2001), *H. iris* Gmelin (Stuart & Brown 1994), *H. tuberculata* Linnaeus (Koike et al. 1979, Mgaya & Mercer 1995, Lopez et al. 1998), *H. luevigata* Donovan (Morrison & Whittington 1991), *H. rufescens* Swainson (Corazani & Illanes 1998), *H. australis* Gmelin (Moss 1997), *H. diversicolor supertexta* Lischke (Chen & Lee 1999), and *H. midae* Linnaeus (Britz 1996a, Knauer et al. 1996, Shipton & Britz 2001). Among these extensive studies, only a few reported on early juvenile growth of abalone.

For commercial abalone culture, it is important to enhance the growth at all stages and therefore information is required on the dietary requirements of each size class. This study was carried out to investigate the growth and survival of early juvenile *H. asinina* fed four artificial diets with different sources of protein: casein, fish meal, soybean meal, and rice bran.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Abalone

Three month old juvenile *H. asinina* (5.5 [+ or -] 0.2 mm in length, 45.3 [+ or -] 3.7 in weight) were obtained from the Coastal Aquaculture Development Center, Prachuap Khiri Khan Province, Thailand. They were placed in the rearing system for acclimatization and fed *Acanthophora* sp. seaweed for two weeks before collection of initial data.

### Preparation of Diets

Diet formulations are presented in Table 1. The diets were prepared following Uki et al. (1986a), using different sources of protein-casein, fishmeal, soybean meal, and rice bran. The vitamin mix in Cetavit 1,000 g was obtained from the Eastern Marine Co., Ltd., Thailand, and mineral mix in Premix 1,000 g was obtained from Chanaphant Industry Co., Ltd., Thailand (Table 2). Equivalent amounts of vitamins and minerals were added to all diets (Table 1).

All dry ingredients were mixed together and then cod liver oil and vegetable oil added. The sodium alginate was heated in 60-80 mL distilled water at 60-80[degrees]C, until it melted, then poured into the mixed ingredients and kneaded immediately to obtain a homogeneous paste. The paste was flattened with a kitchen roller to a thickness of 0.5 cm. Pieces 1.5 x 1.5 cm in dimension were cut and stored in the freezer until feeding.

### Experimental Procedure

There were four dietary treatments: (1) casein-based diet; (2) fish meal-based diet; (3) soybean meal-based diet; and (4) rice bran-based diet. Fresh seaweed (*Acanthophora* sp.) was fed to the control group. Early juvenile abalone (5.3-5.6 mm in shell length, 40-50 mg in weight) were kept in rectangular 5-L plastic aquaria. Thirty abalone were allocated to each trial aquarium (three replicates per diet), and all 12 aquaria were floated in 700-L fiberglass tanks under a close system with aeration and filter system. The tanks were covered

to prevent animals from escaping. UV-sterilized and filtered seawater (salinity 32-34 ppt, pH 8.4-8.5) was changed every morning for 90 days. The abalone were fed every afternoon with artificial diets and macroalgae at 5-10% of their body weights. Any uneaten food was collected the following morning to estimate the feed consumed and the food conversion rate (FCR). The light/dark sequence was 12h/12h and the temperature was 29-32[degrees]C. Water quality parameters (DO, pH, and temperature) were randomly measured weekly. The total ammonia and the total nitrite were within the standard ranges (0.013-0.191 ppm and 0.016-0.053 ppm, respectively). The dry weight of artificial diets and algae were determined by drying in a hot air oven at 80[degrees]C until a constant weight was reached. Growth was measured biweekly as gain in weight and shell length and expressed in terms of shell length ([micro]m/day) and body weight (mg/day). Mortality was also recorded every two weeks.

The FCR was calculated on the basis of the total dry weight gain of abalone and the dry weight of feed consumed as follows (Leighton & Boolootian 1963):

$$\text{FCR} = \text{Dry weight feed consumed (g)} / \text{Dry weight gain (g)}$$

At the beginning of the experiment, 15 animals were sampled out to determine their total dry weight. Similarly, at the end of the experiment (90 days), the total dry weight of those animals that survived from each treatment was determined. These animals were weighed and dried at 80[degrees]C until a constant weight was reached.

## Statistical Analysis

Differences in growth and survival rates, and FCR among the different dietary treatments were determined by one-way ANOVA. The multiple comparisons, Duncan's multiple range test, was further used to determine significant differences between treatments. SPSS for Windows (Version 6,0) was the statistical software used for all statistical analysis.  $P < 0.05$  was used as the significance level.

## RESULTS

### Growth Rate

The results obtained from each artificial diet and the control diet are shown in Table 3 and Figures 1 and 2. Growth rates (shell length and body weight increase/day) of *H. asinina* were significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ) among feed treatments. In terms of shell length, three statistically different groups could be recognized ( $P < 0.05$ ): (1) control and casein-based diet; (2) fish meal-based and soybean meal-based diets; and (3) rice bran-based diet (Table 3). Four statistically different groups ( $P < 0.05$ ) could be differentiated on weight increase: (1) casein based diet; (2) control; (3) fish meal-based and soybean meal based diets; and (4) rice bran based diet (Table 3).

[FIGURES 1-2 OMITTED]

### Shell Length

The maximum cumulative increases in shell length were 161.2% and 164.7% for the control and casein-based diet, respectively (Fig. 1). These equated to growth rates of 96.7 and 96.3 [micro]m/day, respectively (Table 3). Lower cumulative increases of shell length were obtained with abalone fed fish meal-based (111.2%) and soybean meal-based diets

(107.8%) (Fig. 1). These gains corresponded to growth rates of 68.1 and 67.0 [ $\mu$ m]/day, respectively (Table 3). The lowest cumulative increase in shell length was found in abalone fed the rice bran-based diet (81.5%), which equated to a growth rate of 48.5 [ $\mu$ m]/day (Fig. 1, Table 3).

### Body Weight

The maximum cumulative weight increase (1683.6%) was found in the casein-based diet group that gave a growth rate of 8.6 rag/day (Fig. 2, Table 3). The control also had a high cumulative weight increase (1283.7%) and growth rate of 6.3 mg/day. Abalone fed soybean meal- and fish meal-based diets had lower cumulative increases in weight (621% and 569.6%, respectively) (Fig. 2, Table 3). These equated to growth rates of 3.2 and 2.9 rag/day, respectively (Table 3). The lowest cumulative increase in weight was found in abalone fed the rice bran-based diet (327.4%) that resulted in a growth rate of 1.6 mg/day.

### Survival Rate

The survival rates of *H. asinina* fed different artificial diets and on algal control diet were significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ) (Fig. 3, Table 3). Two different groups could be recognized: (1) control (88.9%), soybean meal-based diet (81.1%), and casein-based diet (78.9%); (2) rice bran-based diet (74.4%) and fish meal-based diet (71.1%) groups.

[FIGURE 3 OMITTED]

### Food Conversion Rate

The dry weight FCR was significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ) among four dietary groups (Table 4): (1) control (0.3); (2) casein-based diet (1.5); (3) soybean meal based diet (4.0); (4) fish meal-based diet (6.1); and rice bran-based diet (6.4).

## DISCUSSION

Early juvenile *H. asinina* provided *Acanthophora* sp. and a casein-based diet (as a main source of protein) produced superior growth rates (for shell length and body weight) and survival rates compared with juveniles fed fish meal-based, soybean meal-based, and rice bran-based diets. The growth and survival rates of the casein-based diet group were not significantly different from those fed macroalgae (control). The dietary value of casein-based diet was comparable to that of the macroalgae. The growth rates in shell length (96.8 [ $\mu$ m]/day in the macroalgae group and 96.3 [ $\mu$ m]/day in the casein-based diet group) are comparable with that reported by Kunavongdate et al. (1995), who found that juvenile *H. asinina* (31 mm in shell length) fed *Laurencia* sp. yielded a growth rate of 98 [ $\mu$ m]/day (Table 5). Upatham et al. (1998) reported a lower growth rate (70 [ $\mu$ m]/day) in juvenile *H. asinina* (13 mm in shell length) fed *Gracilaria tenuistipitata*. In comparison, Kruatrachue et al. (2000) reported very low growth rates (29-36 [ $\mu$ m]/day) for juvenile *H. asinina* (13 mm in shell length) fed casein-based diets containing various species of red algae (Table 5). They suggested that these formulated diets were unsuitable and significant leaching of some trace nutrients might occur, resulting in poor growth rates (Kruatrachue et al. 2000). Jackson et al. (2001) studied the suitability of Australian formulated diets for aquaculture of *H. asinina* and found that a natural diet of *G. edulis* still produced the highest growth rate (56 [ $\mu$ m]/day; Table 5), while four formulated diets from two Australian abalone feed companies produced lower growth rates of 19-54 [ $\mu$ m]/day (Table 5). However, the growth rates of *H. asinina* of

our study were low when compared with the study of Capinpin & Corre (1996) who reported that the growth rates of juvenile *H. asinina* fed *G. heteroclada* and a commercial artificial diet were 193 and 192 [ $\mu$ m]/day, respectively (Table 5). Capinpin et al. (1999) also recorded a shell length growth rate of 164 [ $\mu$ m]/day for *H. asinina* reared in sea cages and fed *G. bailinae*. Bautista-Teruel & Millamena (1999) achieved growth rates ranging from 222 to 247 [ $\mu$ m]/day over 90 days when 20 juvenile *H. asinina* (18.3 mm in shell length) were housed in large 60-L containers and fed either of three formulated diets. These are the highest growth rates ever reported for *Haliotis* species (Table 5). In this study, *H. asinina* were reared in small (5-L) enclosures, which may have contributed significantly to the lower growth rates (49-96 [ $\mu$ m]/day) compared to other studies. The results are not directly comparable because of differing experimental conditions and the smaller size of juvenile *H. asinina* used in this study. In general, certain species of red algae seemed to produce higher growth rates in *H. asinina* than artificial diets.

Table 5 also summarizes the reported data on growth rates of abalone fed artificial diets. Most studies evaluated the quality of several protein sources in diets such as casein, fishmeal, and soybean meal. A casein-based diet produced a higher growth rate (133 [ $\mu$ m]/day) in juvenile *H. discus hannai* (34 mm), compared with those fed either a soybean-based diet (106 [ $\mu$ m]/day) or a fishmeal-based diet (74 [ $\mu$ m]/day) for 40 days (Uki et al. 1985). Uki et al. (1986b) also reported a higher growth rate (82 [ $\mu$ m]/day) in juvenile *H. discus hannai* fed a casein-based diet than in those fed a fishmeal-based diet (47 [ $\mu$ m]/day), for 50 days. These reported results were in agreement with the results of this study on juvenile *H. asinina* (5-6 mm).

In comparison Britz (1996a, 1996b) reported that a fish meal-based diet produced a higher growth rate (65, 83-96 [ $\mu$ m]/day) than a casein-based diet (45 [ $\mu$ m]/day) in juvenile *H. midae* (20-21 mm) (Table 5). Similarly, Lopez et al. (1998) also reported that a fish meal-based diet resulted in a higher growth rate (135 [ $\mu$ m]/day) than a casein-based diet (119 [ $\mu$ m]/day) (Table 5) in *H. tuberculata* (3.2 ram). However, Viana et al. (1993) reported that a casein-based diet and a fish meal-based diet produced similar growth rates (98 [ $\mu$ m]/day and 101 [ $\mu$ m]/day, respectively) in *H. fulgens* (13 mm) (Table 5). In *H. asinina* of this study, fish meal-based and soybean meal-based diets produced the second highest growth rates (68 [ $\mu$ m]/day and 67 [ $\mu$ m]/day, respectively). The source and the processing of the fishmeal used in these studies may account for these differences. A soybean meal-based diet was also reported to produce high growth rates in *H. discus hannai* (106 [ $\mu$ m]/day) (Uki et al. 1985) and *H. diversicolor supertexta* (105-163 [ $\mu$ m]/day) (Chert & Lee 1999) (Table 5).

The most appropriate diet for *H. asinina* culture depends on the availability and cost of various protein sources and the growth rates produced. Although a casein-based diet produced the highest growth rate in this study, casein is unlikely to be widely used as a primary protein source in practical diets because of its high cost. Fishmeal is more appropriate since it is readily available and less expensive than casein. Even though a fish meal-based diet produced a lower growth rate than casein-based diet in *H. asinina*, in other *Haliotis* species it produced higher growth rates. There is, however, an increasing concern about the future supply and demand for fish meal, and this concern has led to efforts to reduce its use as the major protein source in commercial aquaculture feed formulations (Barlow 1989, Ramsey 1993). Because *Haliotis* are naturally herbivorous animals with a digestive and enzymatic physiology equipped for processing plant materials, Shipton and Britz (2001) studied the partial and total replacement of fishmeal with selected plant protein sources (soy meal, sunflower meal, torula yeast, corn gluten, and *Spirulina*) in diets for *H.*

## *midae*, a

South African species. No significant differences were found in the growth rates between the control diet (100% fishmeal; 83 [ $\mu$ m/day) and diets in which 30% of the fishmeal component was replaced by plant proteins (Table 5). Among the plant protein sources, fishmeal with torula yeast produced the highest growth rate (91 [ $\mu$ m/day) (Table 5). The lowest growth rate was found in fishmeal and corn gluten (70 [ $\mu$ m/day) (Table 5). Substitution of 50% fishmeal with either soy meal or Spirulina did not affect growth rates. Replacement of either 75% or 100% fishmeal with plant protein sources (sunflower meal, soy meal, and Spirulina) produced growth rates comparable to that of the control. Shipton and Britz (2001) concluded that there was a potential to replace fish meal with plant protein sources in commercial diets for *Haliotis*, especially considering the low raw material costs associated with soybean meal and sunflower meal. This study shows that for *H. asinina*, the soybean meal-based diet, yielded similar growth rates to fishmeal-based diet, and the survival rate was higher. Other factors also affect the growth rate of abalone, including water temperature, (Leighton 1974, Leighton et al. 1981, Uki et al. 1981, Hahn 1989, Lopez et al. 1998), the species of abalone (Uki & Kikuchi 1979), the rearing system (Moss 1997, Chen & Lee 1999) changing the diet of juveniles from diatoms to seaweeds, or artificial diets at the appropriate size. These factors will also affect the early growth of juvenile *H. asinina* and need to be investigated.

In general, abalone fed artificial diets have displayed higher rates of weight gain and length increase, and lower FCR compared with those fed macroalgae (Table 5). FCRs obtained for macroalgae have also differed among species (Table 5). *H. asinina* fed *G. tenuistipitata* and *Acanthophora spicifera* showed lower FCRs (3.3 and 5.7, respectively) while those fed *G. salicornia*, *G. fisheri*, and *Laurencia* sp. showed higher FCRs (15.5 and 20.4, 7.4 and 29.5, and 18.0, respectively) (Singhagraiwan 1991b, Kunavongdate et al. 1995, Upatham et al. 1998; Table 5). The FCRs of *H. asinina* fed casein-based diets containing various species of macroalgae were higher than those of macroalgae (Upatham et al. 1998, Kruatrachue et al. 2000; Table 5). In contrast, abalone fed artificial diets showed lower FCRs, for example, *H. tuberculata* (0.8-0.9; Lopez et al. 1998), *H. midae* (0.7-1.0; Britz 1996b, Shipton & Britz 2001), *H. diversicolor supertexta* (3.0; Chen & Lee 1999), *H. asinina* (1.4-1.8; Bautista-Teruel & Millamena 1999, Jackson et al. 2001; Table 5). In this study, other than the control (*Acanthophora* sp.), the FCR of the casein-based diet was low (1.5) and similar to those reported by Bautista-Teruel and Millamena (1999) and Jackson et al. (2001). Fleming et al. (1996) reviewed the formulated diets of temperate abalone offered by various feed manufacturers around the world and reported an FCR range of 0.77 to 3.33. The FCR value for the casein-based diet of this study compares favorably.

TABLE 1.

Composition of four artificial diets for juvenile abalone *H. asinina* (% inclusion).

Ingredients	Protein Based Diet (%)			
	Casein	Fish Meal	Soybean Meal	Rice Bran
Casein	25			
Fish meal		39		
Soybean meal			68	
Rice bran				68
Sodium alginate	16	16	16	16
Dextrin	21.5	14.5	0	0
Cellulose	21.5	14.5	0	0

Vitamins	5	5	5	5
Minerals	5	5	5	5
Cod liver oil	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5
Vegetable oil	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5
Calcium chloride	1	1	1	1

TABLE 2.  
Composition of vitamins and minerals mixes.

Composition	Weight
Vitamins	
Vitamin A	15,000,000 units
Vitamin [D.sub.3]	3,000,000 units
Vitamin C	83.0 g
Vitamin E	27.5 g
Vitamin K	4.67 g
Vitamin [B.sub.1]	25.0 g
Vitamin [B.sub.2]	25.0 g
Vitamin [B.sub.6]	5.0 g
Vitamin [B.sub.12]	0.05 g
Nicotinamide	20.0 g
Calcium-D-Panthenate	5.0 g
Folic acid	0.4 g
Minerals	
Manganese	5,400 g
Iron	14,200 g
Copper	1,000 g
Zinc	2,900 g
Sodium	3,300 g
Iodine	0.019 mg
Potassium	0.9 g
Cobalt	1.1 g
Medium	971.18 g

TABLE 3.  
Growth and survival rates of *H. asinina* fed different artificial diets over a period of 90 days.

Diet	Survival Rate (%)
Control	88.9 [+ or -] [3.8.sup.a]
Casein-based	78.9 [+ or -] [7.7.sup.a,b]
Fish meal-based	71.1 [+ or -] [8.4.sup.b]
Soybean meal-based	81.1 [+ or -] [3.8.sup.a,b]
Rice bran-based	74.4 [+ or -] [8.4.sup.b]

  

Diet	Initial	Final
Control	5.4 [+ or -] 0.2	14.1 [+ or -] [0.8.sup.a]
Casein-based	5.3 [+ or -] 0.1	13.9 [+ or -] [0.5.sup.a]
Fish meal-based	5.5 [+ or -] 0.2	11.7 [+ or -] [0.3.sup.b]
Soybean meal-based	5.6 [+ or -] 0.2	11.6 [+ or -] [0.6.sup.b]
Rice bran-based	5.4 [+ or -] 0.4	9.8 [+ or -] [0.1.sup.c]

  

Diet	Body Wet Weight (mg)
Control	88.9 [+ or -] [3.8.sup.a]
Casein-based	78.9 [+ or -] [7.7.sup.a,b]
Fish meal-based	71.1 [+ or -] [8.4.sup.b]
Soybean meal-based	81.1 [+ or -] [3.8.sup.a,b]
Rice bran-based	74.4 [+ or -] [8.4.sup.b]

Diet	Initial	Final
Control	44.7 [+ or -] 4.2	615.0 [+ or -] [79.7.sup.b]
Casein-based	46.0 [+ or -] 2.0	820.0 [+ or -] [26.5.sup.a]
Fish meal-based	46.0 [+ or -] 3.5	307.0 [+ or -] [6.1.sup.c]
Soybean meal-based	46.0 [+ or -] 3.5	332.3 [+ or -] [38.1.sup.c]
Rice bran-based	44.0 [+ or -] 5.3	186.7 [+ or -] [10.2.sup.d]

% Cumulative  
Increase

Diet	Length
Control	161.2 [+ or -] [13.1.sup.a]
Casein-based	164.7 [+ or -] [14.4.sup.a]
Fish meal-based	111.2 [+ or -] [12.1.sup.b]
Soybean meal-based	107.8 [+ or -] [8.6.sup.b]
Rice bran-based	81.5 [+ or -] [13.6.sup.c]

% Cumulative  
Increase

Diet	Weight
Control	1,283.7 [+ or -] [205.0.sup.b]
Casein-based	1,683.6 [+ or -] [52.1.sup.a]
Fish meal-based	569.6 [+ or -] [46.0.sup.c]
Soybean meal-based	621.1 [+ or -] [28.6.sup.c]
Rice bran-based	327.4 [+ or -] [45.7.sup.d]

Growth

Diet	Length ([micro]m/day)
Control	96.7 [+ or -] [8.0.sup.a]
Casein-based	96.3 [+ or -] [6.7.sup.a]
Fish meal-based	68.1 [+ or -] [5.0.sup.b]
Soybean meal-based	67.0 [+ or -] [5.3.sup.b]
Rice bran-based	48.5 [+ or -] [4.5.sup.c]

Growth

Diet	Weight (mg/day)
Control	6.3 [+ or -] [0.9.sup.b]
Casein-based	8.6 [+ or -] [0.3.sup.a]
Fish meal-based	2.9 [+ or -] [0.1.sup.c]
Soybean meal-based	3.2 [+ or -] [0.4.sup.c]
Rice bran-based	1.6 [+ or -] [0.1.sup.d]

Means and standard deviations are presented (n = 90). Analysis of variance and Duncan's multiple range test were performed on the means of shell length and body weight increases; the same letter identities the values that are not significantly different (P < 0.05).

TABLE 4.

Food conversion rate of *H. asinina* fed different diets



over a period of 90 days.

Number and Total  
Wet Weight \*

Initial

Diet	Number	Weight (g)
Control	90	4.1 [+ or -] 0.4
Casein-based	90	4.1 [+ or -] 0.2
Fish meal-based	90	4.1 [+ or -] 0.3
Soybean meal-based	90	4.1 [+ or -] 0.6
Rice bran-based	90	3.9 [+ or -] 0.5

Number and Total  
Wet Weight \*

Final

Diet	Number	Weight (g)
Control	80	49.6 [+ or -] 2.3
Casein-based	71	58.2 [+ or -] 3.5
Fish meal-based	64	19.8 [+ or -] 1.4
Soybean meal-based	73	24.1 [+ or -] 2.8
Rice bran-based	67	12.7 [+ or -] 0.5

Diet	Total Wet Weight Gain (g)	Total Dry Weight Gain (g)
Control	45.5 [+ or -] 2.7	28.9 [+ or -] 1.7
Casein-based	54.1 [+ or -] 1.4	35.2 [+ or -] 0.3
Fish meal-based	15.7 [+ or -] 0.5	9.9 [+ or -] 0.1
Soybean meal-based	20.0 [+ or -] 1.1	14.1 [+ or -] 0.7
Rice bran-based	8.8 [+ or -] 0.4	6.0 [+ or -] 0.3

Diet	Dry Weight of Food Intake (g)	FCR
Control	8.7 [+ or -] 0.0	0.3 [+ or -] [0.1.sup.a]
Casein-based	56.3 [+ or -] 0.2	1.5 [+ or -] [0.1.sup.b]
Fish meal-based	60.4 [+ or -] 0.6	6.1 [+ or -] [0.4.sup.d]
Soybean meal-based	56.4 [+ or -] 0.5	4.0 [+ or -] [0.4.sup.c]
Rice bran-based	38.4 [+ or -] 0.2	6.4 [+ or -] [1.1.sup.d]

Means and standard deviations are presented (n = 90). Analysis of variance and Duncan's multiple range test were performed on the means of growth rate; the same letter identifies the values that are not significantly different (P < 0.05); \* three replicates.

TABLE 5.

Comparative growth of different species of *Haliotis* fed artificial diets and macroalgae.

Species	Size (mm)	Diet	Duration (day)
<i>H. discus hannai</i>	34	Casein-based (30% protein)	40

	24	Fish meal-based (32% protein)	40
	34	Soybean meal-based (31% protein)	40
	31	Casein-based (4.8-43.1% protein)	50
	31	Fish meal-based (5.6-43.1% protein)	50
	7	Artificial diet	70
	13	Artificial diet	70
	21	Artificial diet	270
<i>H. fulgens</i>	13	Casein-based (44% protein)	90
	13	Fish meal-based (35% protein)	90
	17	Artificial diet	106
<i>H. midae</i>	7.9	Artificial diet (35.5% protein)	30
	20	Fish meal-based (27-47% protein)	95
	21	Casein-based (31% protein)	124
	21	Fish meal-based (29% protein)	124
	10.6	Fish meal-based (34% protein)	180
	10.6	Fish meal/torula yeast	180
	10.6	Fish meal/soy meal	180
	10.6	Fish meal/sunflower meal	180
	10.6	Fish meal/Spirulina	180
	10.6	Fish meal/corn gluten	180
<i>H. diversicolor supertexta</i>	26	Soybean-based (30% protein)	33
	26	Soybean-based (30% protein)	395
<i>H. tuberculata</i>	3.2	Casein-based (36% protein)	105
	3.2	Fish meal-based	105
<i>H. rufescens</i>	21	Artificial diet	270
<i>H. australis</i>	3-6	Artificial diet	365
<i>H. asinina</i>	28	<i>Gracilaria salicornia</i>	120
	31	<i>G. fisheri</i>	120
	31	<i>Laurencia</i> sp.	120
	15	<i>G. heteroclada</i>	90
	15	<i>Kappaphycus alvarezii</i>	90
	15	Artificial diet (32% protein)	90
	13	<i>G. tenuistipitata</i>	184
	13	<i>G. fisheri</i>	184
	13	<i>G. salicornia</i>	184
	13	<i>Acanthophora spicifera</i>	184
	15	<i>G. bailinae</i>	90
	13	Formulated diets	90
	13	Casein + <i>G. tenuistipitata</i>	140
	13	Casein + <i>G. fisheti</i>	140
	13	Casein + <i>G. salicornia</i>	140
	18	Artificial diets	168
	5.3-	Casein-based	90
	5.6	(30% protein)	
	5.3-	Fish meal-based	90
	5.6	(30% protein)	
	5.3-	Soybean meal-based	90
	5.6	(30% protein)	
	5.3-	Rice bran-based	90

Species	Size (mm)	Diet	Growth Rate ([micro]m/day)
	5.6	(30% protein)	
	5.3-	<i>Acanthophora</i> sp.	90
	5.6		
<i>H. discus hannai</i>	34	Casein-based (30% protein)	133
	24	Fish meal-based (32% protein)	74
	34	Soybean meal-based (31% protein)	106
	31	Casein-based (4.8-43.1% protein)	32-82
	31	Fish meal-based (5.6-43.1% protein)	32-47
	7	Artificial diet	135
	13	Artificial diet	101
	21	Artificial diet	62
<i>H. fulgens</i>	13	Casein-based (44% protein)	98
	13	Fish meal-based (35% protein)	101
	17	Artificial diet	42
<i>H. midae</i>	7.9	Artificial diet (35.5% protein)	59
	20	Fish meal-based (27-47% protein)	83-96
	21	Casein-based (31% protein)	45
	21	Fish meal-based (29% protein)	65
	10.6	Fish meal-based (34% protein)	83
	10.6	Fish meal/torula yeast	91
	10.6	Fish meal/soy meal	85
	10.6	Fish meal/sunflower meal	87
	10.6	Fish meal/Spirulina	92
	10.6	Fish meal/corn gluten	70
<i>H. diversicolor supertexta</i>	26	Soybean-based (30% protein)	105-163
	26	Soybean-based (30% protein)	42-68
<i>H. tuberculata</i>	3.2	Casein-based (36% protein)	119
	3.2	Fish meal-based	135
<i>H. rufescens</i>	21	Artificial diet	45
<i>H. australis</i>	3-6	Artificial diet	40-47
<i>H. asinina</i>	28	<i>Gracilaria salicornia</i>	51
	31	<i>G. fisheri</i>	21
	31	<i>Laurencia</i> sp.	98
	15	<i>G. heteroclada</i>	193
	15	<i>Kappaphycus alvarezii</i>	59
	15	Artificial diet (32% protein)	192
	13	<i>G. tenuistipitata</i>	70
	13	<i>G. fisheri</i>	48
	13	<i>G. salicornia</i>	59
	13	<i>Acanthophora spicifera</i>	62
	15	<i>G. bailinae</i>	164
	13	Formulated diets	222-247
	13	Casein + <i>G. tenuistipitata</i>	36
	13	Casein + <i>G. fisheti</i>	36

Species	Size (mm)	Diet	FCR
	13	Casein + <i>G. salicornia</i>	29
	18	Artificial diets	19-54
	5.3-5.6	Casein-based (30% protein)	96
	5.3-5.6	Fish meal-based (30% protein)	68
	5.3-5.6	Soybean meal-based (30% protein)	67
	5.3-5.6	Rice bran-based (30% protein)	49
	5.3-5.6	<i>Acanthophora</i> sp.	97
<i>H. discus hannai</i>	34	Casein-based (30% protein)	
	24	Fish meal-based (32% protein)	
	34	Soybean meal-based (31% protein)	
	31	Casein-based (4.8-43.1% protein)	
	31	Fish meal-based (5.6-43.1% protein)	
	7	Artificial diet	
	13	Artificial diet	
	21	Artificial diet	
<i>H. fulgens</i>	13	Casein-based (44% protein)	
	13	Fish meal-based (35% protein)	
	17	Artificial diet	0.02
<i>H. midae</i>	7.9	Artificial diet (35.5% protein)	
	20	Fish meal-based (27-47% protein)	
	21	Casein-based (31% protein)	0.7
	21	Fish meal-based (29% protein)	0.8
	10.6	Fish meal-based (34% protein)	0.92
	10.6	Fish meal/torula yeast	0.8
	10.6	Fish meal/soy meal	0.82
	10.6	Fish meal/sunflower meal	1.0
	10.6	Fish meal/Spirulina	0.97
	10.6	Fish meal/corn gluten	0.98
<i>H. diversicolor supertexta</i>	26	Soybean-based (30% protein)	
	26	Soybean-based (30% protein)	3.0
<i>H. tuberculata</i>	3.2	Casein-based (36% protein)	0.8
	3.2	Fish meal-based	0.9
<i>H. rufescens</i>	21	Artificial diet	
<i>H. australis</i>	3-6	Artificial diet	
<i>H. asinina</i>	28	<i>Gracilaria salicornia</i>	20.4
	31	<i>G. fisheri</i>	29.5
	31	<i>Laurencia</i> sp.	18
	15	<i>G. heteroclada</i>	
	15	<i>Kappaphycus alvarezii</i>	
	15	Artificial diet (32% protein)	

13	<i>G. tenuistipitata</i>	3.3
13	<i>G. fisheri</i>	7.4
13	<i>G. salicornia</i>	15.5
13	<i>Acanthophora spicifera</i>	5.7
15	<i>G. bailinae</i>	
13	Formulated diets	1.4-1.8
13	Casein + <i>G. tenuistipitata</i>	27.5
13	Casein + <i>G. fisheti</i>	29.8
13	Casein + <i>G. salicornia</i>	19.2
18	Artificial diets	1.4-1.8
5.3-	Casein-based	1.5
5.6	(30% protein)	
5.3-	Fish meal-based	6.1
5.6	(30% protein)	
5.3-	Soybean meal-based	4.0
5.6	(30% protein)	
5.3-	Rice bran-based	6.4
5.6	(30% protein)	
5.3-	<i>Acanthophora sp.</i>	0.3
5.6		

Species	Size (mm)	Diet	Reference
<i>H. discus hannai</i>	34	Casein-based (30% protein)	Uki et al. (1985)
	24	Fish meal-based (32% protein)	
	34	Soybean meal-based (31% protein)	
	31	Casein-based (4.8-43.1% protein)	Uki et al. (1986b)
	31	Fish meal-based (5.6-43.1% protein)	
	7	Artificial diet	Nie et al. (1986)
	13	Artificial diet	
	21	Artificial diet	Corazani & Illanes (1998)
<i>H. fulgens</i>	13	Casein-based (44% protein)	Viana et al. (1993)
	13	Fish meal-based (35% protein)	
	17	Artificial diet	Set viere-Zaragoza et al. (2001)
<i>H. midae</i>	7.9	Artificial diet (35.5% protein)	Knauer et al. (1996)
	20	Fish meal-based (27-47% protein)	Britz (1996a)
	21	Casein-based (31% protein)	Britz (1996b)
	21	Fish meal-based (29% protein)	
	10.6	Fish meal-based (34% protein)	Shipton & Britz (2001)
	10.6	Fish meal/torula yeast	
	10.6	Fish meal/soy meal	
10.6	Fish meal/sunflower meal		

	10.6	Fish meal/Spirulina	
	10.6	Fish meal/corn gluten	
<i>H. diversicolor</i>	26	Soybean-based (30% protein)	Chen & Lee (1999)
<i>supertexta</i>	26	Soybean-based (30% protein)	
<i>H. tuberculata</i>	3.2	Casein-based (36% protein)	Lopez et al. (1998)
	3.2	Fish meal-based	
<i>H. rufescens</i>	21	Artificial diet	Corazani & Illanes (1998)
<i>H. australis</i>	3-6	Artificial diet	Moss (1997)
<i>H. asinina</i>	28	<i>Gracilaria salicornia</i>	Singhagraiwan (1996b)
	31	<i>G. fisheri</i>	Kunavongdate et al. (1995)
	31	<i>Laurencia</i> sp.	
	15	<i>G. heteroclada</i>	Capinpin & Corre (1996)
	15	<i>Kappaphycus alvarezii</i>	
	15	Artificial diet (32% protein)	
	13	<i>G. tenuistipitata</i>	Upatham et al. (1998)
	13	<i>G. fisheri</i>	
	13	<i>G. salicornia</i>	
	13	<i>Acanthophora spicifera</i>	
	15	<i>G. bailinae</i>	Capinpin et al. (1999)
	13	Formulated diets	Bautista-Teruel & Millamena (1999)
	13	Casein + <i>G. tenuistipitata</i>	Kruatrachue et al. (2000)
	13	Casein + <i>G. fisheti</i>	
	13	Casein + <i>G. salicornia</i>	
	18	Artificial diets	Jackson et al. (2001)
	5.3-	Casein-based	Present study
	5.6	(30% protein)	
	5.3-	Fish meal-based	
	5.6	(30% protein)	
	5.3-	Soybean meal-based	
	5.6	(30% protein)	
	5.3-	Rice bran-based	
	5.6	(30% protein)	
	5.3-	<i>Acanthophora</i> sp.	
	5.6		

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported by the Thailand Research Fund BRG/ 04/2543.

## LITERATURE CITED

- Barlow, S, 1989. Fishmeal-world outlook to the year 2000. *Fish Farmer* October: 40-43.
- Bautista-Teruel, M. N. & O. H. Millamena. 1999. Diet development and evaluation for juvenile abalone, *Haliotis asinina* : protein/energy level. *Aquaculture* 178:117-126.
- Boarder, S. J. & M. Shpigel. 2001, Comparative performances of juvenile *Haliotis roei* fed on enriched *Ulva rigida* and various artificial diets. *J. Shellfish Res.* 20:653-657.
- Britz, P. J. 1996a, Effect of dietary protein level on growth performance of South African abalone, *Haliotis midae*, fed fishmeal-based semi-purified diets. *Aquaculture* 140:55-61.
- Britz, P. J. 1996b. The suitability of selected protein sources for inclusion in formulated diets for the South African abalone, *Haliotis midae*. *Aquaculture* 140:63-73.
- Capinpin, E. C., & K. G. Corre. 1996. Growth rate of the Philippines abalone, *Haliotis asinina* fed an artificial diet and macroalgae. *Aqua culture* 144:81-89.
- Capinpin, E. C., J. D. Toledo, V. C. I. Encena & M. Dot. 1999. Density dependent growth of the tropical abalone *Haliotis asinina* in cage culture. *Aquaculture* 171:227-235.
- Chen, J-C. & W-C Lee. 1999. Growth of Taiwan abalone *Haliotis diversicolor supertexta* fed on *Gracilaria tenuistipitata* and artificial diet in a multiple-tier basket system. *J. Shellfish Res.* 18:627-635.
- Corazani, D. & J. E. Illanes. 1998. Growth of juvenile abalone, *Haliotis discus hannai* Ino 1953 and *Haliotis rufescens* Swainson 1822, fed with different diets. *J. Shellfish Res.* 17:663-666.
- Fleming, A. E. R., R. J. Van Barneveld & P. W. Hone. 1996. The development of artificial diets for abalone: a review and future directions. *Aquaculture* 140:5-53.
- Hahn, K. O. 1989. A handbook of the culture of abalone and other gastropods. Boca Raton: CRC Press. 348 pp.
- Jackson, D., K. C. Williams & B. M. Degnan. 2001. Suitability of Australian formulated diets for aquaculture of the tropical abalone *Haliotis asinina* Linnaeus. *J. Shellfish Res.* 20:627-636.
- Jarayabhand, P. & N. Paphavasit. 1996. A review of the culture of tropical abalone with special reference to Thailand. *Aquaculture* 140:159-168.
- Knauer, J., P. J. Britz & T. Hecht. 1996. Comparative growth performance and digestive enzymes activity of juvenile South African abalone, *Haliotis midae*, fed on diatoms and a practical diet. *Aquaculture* 140:75-85.
- Koike, Y., J. Flassch & J. Mazurier. 1979. Biological and ecological studies on the propagation of the ormer *Haliotis tuberculata* Linnaeus. II. Influence of food and density on the growth of juveniles. *La Mer* 17:43-45.
- Kruatrachue, M., S. Sawatpeera, E. S. Upahtam, T. Singhagraiwan & Y. P. Chitramvong.

2000. The utilization of artificial diets by *Haliotis asinina* Linnaeus. *J. Med. & Appl. Malacol.* 10:77-86.
- Kunavongdate, P., W. Sakares & S. Muangsakorn. 1995. Experimental rearing on abalone, *Haliotis asinina* Linne with three species of seaweed. Technical Paper No. 39/1995. Coastal Aquaculture Division, Department of Fisheries. Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperatives, Thailand. (in Thai, with English abstract).
- Leighton, D. L. 1974. The influence of temperature on larval and juvenile growth in three species of Southern California abalones. *Fish. Bull.* 72:1137-1145.
- Leighton, D. L. & R. A. Boolootian. 1963. Diet and growth in the black abalone, *Haliotis cracherodii*. *Ecology* 44:227-238.
- Leighton, D. L., M. J. Byhower, J. C. Kelly, G. N. Hooker & D. E. Morse. 1981. Acceleration of development and growth in young green abalone (*Haliotis fulgens*) using warmed effluent seawater. *J. World Maricult. Soc.* 12:170-180.
- Lopez, L. M., P. A. Tyler & M. T. Viana. 1998. The effect of temperature and artificial diets on growth rates of juvenile *Haliotis tuberculata* (Linnaeus, 1758). *J. Shellfish Res.* 17:657-662.
- Mgaya, Y. D. & J. P. Mercer. 1995. The effects of size grading and stocking density on growth performance of juvenile abalone, *Haliotis tuberculata* Linnaeus. *Aquaculture* 136:297-312.
- Morrison, J. & J. Whittington. 1991. Development of an artificial feed for abalone. *Australia Aquaculture* 5:53-54.
- Moss, G. A. 1997. Early juvenile growth of the abalone *Haliotis australis* in culture. *Moll. Res.* 18:153-159.
- Nie, Z. Q., P. Wang, Z. Q. Wang & J-P. Yan. 1986. Experiments on preparing of formulated feed and feeding efficiency of young abalone, *Haliotis discus hannai* Ino. *Mar. Fish. Res.* 7:53-64.
- Ogino, C. & E. Ohta. 1963. Studies on the nutrition of abalone 1. Feeding trials of abalone, *Haliotis discus Reeve* with artificial diets. *Bull. Jpn. Soc. Sci. Fish.* 29:691-694.
- Ramsey, G. L. 1993. Fish meal and alternative sources of protein in fish feeds, update 1993. *Fisheries* 18:14-19.
- Serviere-Zaragoza, E., A. Mazariegos-Villareal, G. Ponce-Diaz & S. M. Magallon, 2001. Growth of juvenile abalone, *Haliotis fulgens* Philippe, fed different diets. *J. Shellfish Res.* 20:689-693.
- Shipton, T. A. & P. J. Britz. 2001. The practical and total replacement of fishmeal with selected plant protein sources in diets for the South African abalone, *Haliotis midae* L. *J. Shellfish Res.* 20:637-645.
- Singhagraiwan, T. 1989. Some techniques for donkey's ear abalone culture (*Haliotis asinina* Linne). Extension Paper No. 1. Department of Fisheries, Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperatives, Thailand. (in Thai, with English abstract).



- Singhagraiwan, T. 1991a. Study on growth rate of donkey's ear abalone (*Haliotis asinina* Linne). Technical Paper No. 28. Department of Fisheries. Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperatives, Thailand. (in Thai, with English abstract).
- Singhagraiwan, T. 1991b. An experiment on feeding of donkey's ear abalone (*Haliotis asinina* Linne). Technical Paper No. 29. Department of Fisheries, Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperatives. Thailand. (in Thai, with English abstract).
- Singhagraiwan, T. 1993. Comparative study on the growth and survival of juvenile donkey's ear abalone (*Haliotis asinina*) in different rearing system. Technical Paper No.37. Department of Fisheries, Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperatives, Thailand. (in Thai, with English abstract).
- Singhagraiwan, T. & M. Doi. 1993. Seed production and culture of a tropical abalone, *Haliotis asinina* Linne. The Research Project of Fishery Resource Development in the Kingdom of Thailand, Department of Fisheries, Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperatives, Thailand. 32 pp.
- Singhagraiwan, T. & M. Sasaki. 1991. Breeding and early development of the donkey's ear abalone, *Haliotis asinina* Linne. Thai. Mar. Fish. Res. Bull. 2:95-100.
- Stuart, M. D. & M. T. Brown. 1994. Growth and diet of cultivated black-footed abalone. *Haliotis iris* (Martyn). Aquaculture 127:329-337.
- Uki, N. & S. Kikuchi. 1979. Food value of six benthic microalgae on growth of juvenile abalone, *Haliotis discus hannai*. Bull. Tohoku Reg. Fish. Res. Lab. 40:47-52.
- Uki, N. & T. Watanabe. 1992. Review of the nutritional requirements of abalone (*Haliotis* spp.) and development of more efficient artificial diets. In: S. A. Shepherd, M. J. Tegner & S. A. Guzman del Proo. editors. Abalone of the world, biology, fisheries and culture. Oxford: Fishing News Books. pp. 504-517.
- Uki, N., J. F. Grant & S. Kikuchi. 1981. Juvenile growth of the abalone, *Haliotis discus hannai*, fed certain benthic microalgae related to temperature. Bull. Tohoku Reg. Fish. Res. Lab. 43:59-63.
- Uki, N., A. Kemuyama & T. Watanabe. 1985. Nutritional evaluation of several protein sources in diets for abalone *Haliotis discus hannai*. Bull. Jpn. Soc. Sci. Fish. 51:1835-1837.
- Uki, N., A. Kemuyama & T. Watanabe. 1986a. Optimum protein level in diets for abalone. Bull. Jpn. Soc. Sci. Fish. 52:1005-1012.
- Uki, N., M. Sugiura & T. Watanabe. 1986b. Dietary value of sea-weeds occurring on the Pacific coast of Tohoku for growth of the abalone *Haliotis discus hannai*. Bull. Jpn. Soc. Sci. Fish. 52:257-266.
- Viana, M. T., L. M. Lopez & A. Salas. 1993. Diet development for juvenile abalone *Haliotis fulgens*--evaluation of two artificial diets and macroalgae. Aquaculture 117:149-156.
- Upatham, E. S., S. Sawatpeera, M. Kruatrachue, Y. P. Chitramvong, T. Singhagraiwan, T. Pumthong & P. Jarayabhand. 1998. Food utilization by *Haliotis asinina* Linnaeus. J. Shellfish

Res. 17:771-776.

M. KRUATRACHUE, (1), \* S. SAWATPEERA, (2) Y. CHITRAMVONG, (1) P. SONCHAENG, (2) E. S. UPATHAM, (3) AND S. SANGPRADUB (4)

(1) Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Mahidol University, Bangkok 10400 Thailand; (2) Institute of Marine Science, Burapha University Chonburi 20131, Thailand; (3) Faculty of Science, Burapha University, Chonburi 20131, Thailand; (4) Coastal Aquaculture Development Center, Prachuap Khiri Khan 77000, Thailand

\* Corresponding author. E-mail: scmkt@mahidol.ac.th

---

COPYRIGHT 2004 National Shellfisheries Association, Inc.

Copyright 2004, Gale Group. All rights reserved. Gale Group is a Thomson Corporation Company.