
TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter 1: Introduction	Page
1.1.1 The role of soils in the global C cycle	1
1.1.2 Effects of afforestation and forest management on soil C storage	5
1.1.3 The forest chronosequences approach for the study of changes soil C stock	7
1.1.4 Effects of forest management on soil CO ₂ efflux	9
1.1.5 Spatial variability of soil CO ₂ efflux	11
1.1.6 Importance of soil temperature and water content on soil CO ₂ flux	13
1.1.7 Methods for measuring soil CO ₂ efflux	16
1.1.8 Effects of afforestation and forest management on soil carbon balance	19
1.1.9 The role of soils as a source of N ₂ O flux	21
1.1.9.1 Effects of environmental factors on soil N ₂ O flux	23
1.1.9.2 Effects of forest management on soil N ₂ O flux	25
1.1.10 The role of soils as sources and sinks for CH ₄	26
1.1.10.1 Effect of environmental factors on soil CH ₄ flux	28
1.1.10.2 Effect of clearfelling on soil CH ₄ flux	29
1.1.11 Importance of British soils for soil C storage and trace gas emissions	30
1.2 Site description	31
1.3 Aims of the study	34
1.4 Structure of the thesis	35
Chapter 2: The effects of forest management on the soil carbon stocks in a Sitka spruce (<i>Picea sitchensis</i>) chronosequence on peaty gley soil	
2.1 Introduction	37
2.2 Materials and Methods	42

2.2.1. Site description	42
2.2.2 Soil sampling	43
2.2.2a The 2000 study	43
2.2.2b The 2001 study	44
2.3 Sample Analysis	45
2.3.1 Sample preparation	45
2.3.2 Measurements for the determination of C	46
2.3.2a Measurements of C by C/N analyser	46
2.3.2b Measurement of C by Loss on ignition (L.O.I.)	47
2.3.2c Soil bulk density	48
2.3.3 Statistical analysis	49
2. 4 Results	49
2.4.1 Equations for predicting soil C using the L.O.I. method	49
2.4.2 Soil C stocks along the chronosequence	50
2.5 Discussion	58
2.6 Conclusions	68

Chapter 3: Short-term effects of clearfelling on soil CO₂ effluxes

3. 1 Introduction	69
3. 2 Materials and Methods	72
3.2.1 Site description	72
3.2.2 Soil CO ₂ efflux measurements	73
3.2.2.1 Soil CO ₂ measurements with a closed dynamic system	74
3.2.2.2 Soil CO ₂ measurements with closed static chambers	75
3.3.3 Measurement of environmental parameters	76
3.3.4 Statistical analysis	77
3.3.4.1 Treatment comparisons	77
3.3.4.2 Comparison of a closed dynamic chamber versus a closed static chamber system	77
3.3.4.3 Modelling the effects of soil temperature and soil water content on	78

soil CO ₂ efflux	
3.4 Results	79
3.4.1 General comparison of the two mature stands before clearfelling	79
3.4.2 Comparison of a closed dynamic versus a closed static system	82
3.4.3 Effects of clearfelling on soil temperature, water content and water table depth	84
3.4.4 Effects of clearfelling on soil CO ₂ efflux	90
3.4.4 Dependence of soil CO ₂ efflux on soil temperature and soil water content	92
3.5 Discussion	103
3.5.1 Effect of clearfelling on environmental parameters	103
3.5.2 Effects of clearfelling on soil CO ₂ efflux	104
3.5.3 Dependence of soil CO ₂ efflux on soil temperature and soil water content	110
3.6 Conclusions	115
Chapter 4: Short-term effects of forest clearfelling on soil N₂O and CH₄ fluxes	
4.1 Introduction	117
4.1.1. The contribution of forests to nitrous oxide (N ₂ O) flux	117
4.1.2 The role of soils in methane (CH ₄) flux	120
4.2 Materials and Methods	124
4.2.1 Site description	124
4.2.2 Measurements of soil N ₂ O and CH ₄	125
4.2.3 Statistical Analysis	126
4.3 Results	127
4.3.1 Effect of clearfelling on environmental factors	127
4.3.2 Short-term effect of clearfelling on soil N ₂ O fluxes	127
4.3.3 Short-term effect of clearfelling on soil CH ₄ fluxes	131
4.4 Discussion	138

4.4.1 Short-term effect of clearfelling on soil N ₂ O fluxes	138
4.4.2 Short-term effect of clearfelling on the soil CH ₄ fluxes	145
4.5 Conclusions	150

Chapter 5: The effects of forest management on the soil carbon balance in a Sitka spruce chronosequence on peaty gley soil

5.1 Introduction	152
5.2 Materials and Methods	154
5.2.1. Site description	154
5.2.2 Litterfall	154
5.2.3 Soil C stocks	155
5.2.4 Soil respiration measurements	155
5.2.5 Root biomass	155
5.2.6 Total belowground carbon allocation	156
5.2.7 Statistical analysis	158
5.3 Results	158
5.3.1 Components of the <i>TBCA</i> budget	158
5.3.1a Litterfall	158
5.3.1b Soil C stocks	159
5.3.1c Soil respiration	161
5.3.1d Root biomass	162
5.3.2 Total below-ground carbon allocation (<i>TBCA</i>)	162
5.4. Discussion	163
5.4.1 Components of the <i>TBCA</i> budget	163
5.4.1a Litterfall	163
5.4.1b Soil C stocks	164
5.4.1c Soil respiration	164
5.4.1d Root biomass	165
5.4.2 Total belowground carbon allocation	166
5.5 Conclusions	168

Chapter 6: Spatial dependence of soil CO₂ efflux and the effect of clearfelling on temporal and spatial variability of soil CO₂ efflux

6.1 Introduction	170
6.2 Materials and methods	172
6.2.1 Site selection and sampling strategy	172
6.2.2. Spatial dependence	173
6.2.3 Geostatistical and statistical analysis	174
6.2.4 Spatial variation	175
6.3 Results	176
6.3.1 Spatial dependence	176
6.3.1.1 30 x 30 m plot (3 x 3 m grid)	177
6.3.1.2 3 x 3 and 2 x 2 m plots (10-60 cm grids)	179
6.3.2. Spatial variation	181
6.3.3 Sampling Statistics	182
6.4 Discussion	186
6.5 Conclusions	189

Chapter 7: Comparison of closed static and dynamic chamber methods for the measurement of soil CO₂ efflux

7.1 Introduction	190
7.2 Materials and Methods	194
7.2.1 Comparison of soil CO ₂ flux measured with the closed dynamic chamber and the closed static chamber methods	194
7.2.2 Comparison of soil CO ₂ fluxes measured with the GasCard II and by gas chromatographic analysis	195
7.2.3 Investigation of linearity in CO ₂ accumulation in the closed static chamber	195
7.2.4 Test 1	195

7.2.5 Test 2	196
7.2.6 Soil monolith	196
7.3 Results	199
7.3.1 Comparison of soil CO ₂ flux measured with the closed dynamic chamber and the closed static chamber methods	199
7.3.2 Comparison of CO ₂ fluxes measured soil with the Gascard II and gas chromatographic analysis	203
7.3.3 Investigation of linearity of CO ₂ accumulation in closed static chambers	203
7.3.4 Test 1	205
7.3.5 Test 2	206
7.3.6 Soil monolith	207
7.4 Discussion	212
7.4.1 Comparison of soil CO ₂ flux measured with the closed dynamic chamber and the static closed chamber methods	212
7.4.2 Comparison of soil CO ₂ fluxes measured with the GasCard II and by gas chromatographic analysis	214
7.4.3 Investigation of linearity of CO ₂ accumulation in the closed static chamber	215
7.3.5 Test 1	216
7.4.5 Test 2	217
7.4.6 Soil Monolith	219
7.5 Conclusions	220
Chapter 8: General Conclusions	222
References	228
Appendix	268