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RATIONALE FOR THE COMPILATION OF INTERNATIONAL GUIDELINES ON LOW-COST, LABOUR-BASED, ALTERNATIVE & SUSTAINABLE ROAD SURFACINGS

By Robert Petts, B Sc, C Eng, MICE, MIHT, MIagrE, Principal Intech Associates.

1. BACKGROUND

This paper sets out the rationale for the development of guidelines on alternative road surfaces to be constructed and maintained using local labour and materials resources, and simple equipment techniques, where this approach is both feasible and appropriate. Initial development of the guidelines was carried out over the period 2001 – 2003, and is continuing.

In many developing and emerging economies and regions the majority of the national public road network is unpaved. This proportion can typically be up to 90% or more. In addition, there are many un-adopted or unclassified roads and routes that also provide a vital service for the rural communities and the poor. Many of these routes are not surfaced. However, where provided, the usual constructed running surface for these roads is selected natural gravel or cementitious material such as laterite. These naturally occurring materials are usually excavated from pits or quarries and hauled by trucks or tractors and trailers to be laid on the previously shaped formation or road surface, watered to achieve a suitable moisture content and compacted to form an ‘all-weather’ running surface.

Unfortunately the agents of weather and traffic cause the laid material to be eroded and depleted. In natural gravel the binding fines, or clay materials, lose their cohesive properties through moisture loss in dry weather. They are then easily drawn out of the surfacing material by the action of pneumatic tyres. The losses are substantial in countries with long dry seasons. Intense rain also washes out the fines. Rates of gravel loss have been studied in a number of countries and empirical relationships have been established with regard to the various influential factors.

There are five very serious concerns to the national governments, development agencies and rural communities regarding the use of gravel road surfaces.

- **Financial and Economic Cost**

Firstly there is the financial and economic cost of the provision and on-going replacement of this gravel surface material. Gravel is a ‘wasting’ surface. Typically an initial gravel layer of 200mm will be laid as a road surfacing. In many cases a residual gravel thickness of about 80 - 100mm is required to adequately support the traffic and protect the underlying weaker/erodible in-situ soil. This leaves the top approximately 100 – 120 mm of the initial surface to act as a ‘wearing’ layer. Depending on factors of material, traffic, climate and

---

1 Laterite is classified as a gravel surfacing for the purposes of this paper.
2 World Bank/PIARC HDM and TRL RTIM relationships.
gradient, the 100 - 120 mm wearing layer can be lost in a period of between 1 to 10 years! Traffic of more than about 100 motor vehicles equivalent per day, rainfall of more than 1,000mm/year and gradients of more than 6% are individual factors which can cause very high rates of gravel loss. The full cost of each periodic regravelling operation is usually between US$5,000 and US$30,000 per km depending on factors such as width and thickness of gravel layer, gravel quality, haulage distance, haul conditions, technology used, location, mineral fees, organisational arrangements etc. Typically a natural gravel running surface requires US$500 - US$2,000 per km per year to be sustained in periodic regravelling costs alone, without considering the further routine maintenance costs such as grading/reshaping, patching and all off-carriageway operations (drainage etc.). Few developing country government agencies currently have the financial resources to sustain their designated gravel road networks. Communities also find it difficult to generate and allocate such quantities of funds or resources for road maintenance. Figure 1 illustrates the regular regravelling requirements due to loss of surface material in a typical situation. Figure 2 shows that inability to provide timely periodic maintenance of a gravel surface can quickly lead to the total loss of investment and all-weather access.

Further indirect costs are involved in the periodic regravelling operations. There is additional deterioration of other road network sections caused by the haulage of materials along them, often using heavily (and over-) loaded trucks.

- **Institutional and management problems**

The second and widespread problem is the quantity of maintenance work required in routine maintenance and regravelling, and the burden on national and local road agencies and organisations. Institutional and management problems identified by the World Bank and others (e.g. RMI in Africa\(^3\),\(^4\),\(^5\),\(^6\)) show deep seated, complex (and to date largely unsolved) problems of organising adequate maintenance of road networks in developing countries, particularly at the lower network levels. The physical, human and financial resources and motivating forces are simply not available to sustain the designated or desirable gravel road networks of many developing countries.

Despite strong economic and management arguments for asset preservation through good maintenance, there are anyway usually considerable political, commercial and social pressures to focus the limited available resources on the further development of the road network. For a road user or politician it may be difficult to accept that scarce resources should be allocated to re-gravel a superficially or visually good gravel surface nearing its critical residual thickness, which would prevent its deterioration to a condition requiring very expensive rehabilitation. Gravel surfaces can deteriorate quickly from critical to failed state, sometimes in a matter of weeks, and monitoring, planning and fund allocation systems rarely allow timely intervention.

- **Inappropriate Technology**
- **Sustainable Employment**

The third serious problem is that of inappropriate technology. This is related to the fourth problem of lack of sustainable employment opportunities and the way this constrains poverty alleviation initiatives. Regravelling is usually carried out using imported, heavy
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equipment methods, which have been designed for a high-wage, low-investment-cost environment. This equipment requires considerable financial investment in a high-cost finance environment (local market finance interest rates can be as high as 25 – 60% p.a.)7 which is typical in many EDCs. There are also very serious problems of ownership and operation of this sophisticated equipment in developing countries (see Figure 3), and common lack of appreciation of the total real costs involved. Furthermore, the local communities, small enterprises and the poor have no stake in this technology, which primarily benefits manufacturing stakeholders, large contractors, and often protected interests in the developing country. Even gravelling by tractors and trailers has a high equipment provision and operation cost component.

It is desirable to provide low initial cost, essential basic access to all rural and urban communities. This should be achieved using affordable and sustainable technologies (both in provision and maintenance). There will be a greater chance of sustainability if local resources (materials, labour and simple equipment), management, enterprises and communities can be involved in an effective way.

- **Environment**

The fifth equally important concern is with regard to the environment. There are three main issues in this respect. The first issue is that good gravel and laterite deposits are particularly limited and they are a non-replaceable resource. In many areas the local deposits are non-existent, not accessible because they are under farm land or worked out, leading to extremely expensive hauls of up to 50 km and more. This can place engineers under pressure to accept lower quality gravel from closer locations, leading to even higher rates of surface gravel loss.

The second environmental (health and social) issue is that of the dry weather dust pollution for road users and the people who are living adjacent to the road. This can lead to cleanliness and health problems, as well as damage to crops and property, and increased wear on vehicles.

The third environmental issue also has social and economic dimensions. Dust emissions can cause a severe visibility related safety hazard for road users, particularly for overtaking movements, and for pedestrians, animals and slow moving vehicles on the road.

The foregoing problems combine to make gravel an inappropriate surfacing for many road locations in developing countries and the lack of approved, appropriate and affordable alternative road surfaces is a serious impediment to ALL development activities, particularly in the rural areas. The poor and disadvantaged groups in society are particularly affected.

2. **THE PROPOSED STRATEGY**

Fortunately there is a range of alternative low-cost surfacings which are already proven and used in various locations around the world. These methods can use

---

labour-based approaches, and can generate up to 1,500 worker-days per km during construction. The local communities (particularly the poor and otherwise unemployed) would benefit considerably from their adoption in terms of productive work creation, empowerment of groups that currently are severely disadvantaged, and local enterprise creation. These advantages are in addition to the economic benefits to the poor communities through provision of improved infrastructure that would otherwise not be provided, and tackling poverty through creation of increased social and economic opportunities in the communities. Appropriate use of the various surfacing options would depend on local circumstances.

The labour-based techniques can create equal opportunities for female employment where properly managed and social traditions are approached sensitively with suitable consultation. The alternative surfacings are often low maintenance so that they would considerably ease the financial and (intractable) institutional burdens on road authorities and communities. Organisations, enterprises and community groupings with limited resources and skills could use them. The alternative surfacings would also provide considerable environmental benefits. They should be more sustainable.

There is also considerable potential to use the alternative surfacings on short particular problem sections such as through villages, on weak subgrades and hill sections; effectively a ‘spot improvement’ or basic access approach for situations when resources are particularly constrained. Gravel is anyway not recommended for gradients of more than 6% due to severe erosion in heavy rainfall. Considering the wide range of circumstances and factors, which usually vary along a road route, it is often appropriate to specify different surfaces and paving thicknesses for sections of different characteristics.

Improvement options range from very low cost ‘hardening’ of earth road access suitable for non-motorised transport or motorcycles, up to paving suitable for very heavy truck axle loads. All of these techniques can be implemented using labour and simple equipment. Basic access can be provided and maintained in many situations for annualised funding or resourcing of much less than US$1,000 per km per year equivalent, principally using resources available within the community.

A particular constraint is that the alternative surfacing techniques are not properly documented and accessible; decision makers are usually not aware of the options, potential, requirements, appropriate specifications, cost and benefits.

---

8 Road Building in the Tropics, Dr R. S. Millard, TRL, 1993.
Authoritative guidelines are required to enable road authorities and other interested groups in developing countries to understand the technology, issues and features of these low-cost, appropriate surfaces and enable them to be more widely adopted.

The labour orientated road base and surfacing techniques on which the project will focus are listed and described in Figure 4. The project is carrying out a review of the experiences with labour-based alternative road surfaces in developing countries, particularly in Africa and Asia. The countries of India, Bangladesh, Nepal and China are of particular interest due to their wider experiences with these forms of road pavement. It is intended to review experiences in Southern and Eastern Africa, Arabia, the Americas and other regions where these techniques are identified. The project is reviewing the experiences of pavements in UK and Holland (brick paving) and France (stone paving) where they are still widely used despite the significantly higher wage costs now prevailing.

The issues that need to be investigated on a national/regional basis include:

- Stage of local development (pilot/demonstration, isolated or ‘mainstreamed’)
- National standards, codes of practice and specifications
- Applicability/Limitations of the technique (terrain, traffic, climate, materials etc.)
- Planning and policy issues
- Affordable and sustainable standards relating to local transport needs
- Documentation on construction methods & techniques
- Methods of quality control and testing, ensuring essential technical inputs
- Resource requirements:
  - Human resources requirements - Unskilled and skilled labour components
  - Requirements for handtools and intermediate equipment
  - Materials requirements
- Productivity norms
- Typical construction and supervision costs, sample Bill of Quantities
- Maintenance requirements, arrangements, techniques and costs
- Safety Issues (workers and road users)
- Labour and employment issues
- Environmental Issues
- Socio-economic Issues
- Scope for (or constraints to) local contracting/consultancy application, and contracting issues
- Lessons for ‘mainstreaming’ strategy (if appropriate), with respect to demonstration, awareness creation & training, and the adaption of standards & specifications etc.

The issues identified above need to be investigated and guidelines prepared as a basis for peer review and limited trials to refine technical, management, socio-economic, gender, environmental, cost, resource poverty alleviation and sustainability issues. The resulting experiences will allow the guidelines to be further developed and finalised for wider dissemination through organisations such as PIARC (World Road Association), ILO/ASIST, IFRTD, TRL, and training links with organisations, for example KIHBT and KTC. There is
also a network of universities and organisations active in the appropriate technology roadworks sector. These include ITC (Cambodia), Chieng Mai University (Thailand), the University of Witwatersrand (South Africa), Cambridge University Centre for Sustainable Development and other interested academic institutions.

The project is liaising closely with TRL Ltd. This organisation has been carrying out a number of research programmes which are of important and complementary relevance to this project. These include research into bitumen alternative road pavements including the use of labour-based technology (Environmentally Optimised Design), Minimising the cost of sustainable rural road access and the Labour-based Engineering Standards Programme.

The outputs from the Low Cost Surfacing (LCS) project will feed into the Transport Links website9 established by TRL which will enable a long term exchange of research and experiences to be established, and a worldwide accessibility to the project outputs. Web posting will also be arranged through the global Transport Knowledge Partnership (gTKP)10. Other effective dissemination routes e.g. NGOs will also be investigated.

Other relevant references:-

- Specification for Road and Bridge Works (First Revision) Ministry of Shipping and Transport (Roads Wing), India, 1978.
- Recommended Practice for Bituminous Penetration Macadam (Full Grout), Indian Roads Congress, 1978.
- Recommended Practice for 2cm Thick Bitumen and Tar Carpets, (3rd Revision) Indian Roads Congress, 1987.
- Document on Rural Road Development in India, (2 volumes) CRRI, India, 1990.
- Low Cost Road Construction in Indonesia, Labour-based Road projects in Manggarai District, Volumes 1 & 2, Beusch, Hartmann, Petts & Winkelmann, 1997.
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9  www.transport-links.org
10  www.gtkp.com
- Regional Workshop on Development of Local Contractors and Consultants for the Road Sector, ILO, PIARC & Department of Roads Zimbabwe, 1998.
- ILO/ASIST Bulletins.
- IFRTD Newsletters.
Sustainability of gravel surfacing is particularly dependent on timely availability of considerable financial & physical resources at frequent intervals for regravelling. Many road authorities/communities have difficulty in achieving this.

**Figure 1 - GRAVEL THICKNESS WITH PREVENTATIVE MAINTENANCE (Including timely re-gravelling)**

**Figure 2 - GRAVEL THICKNESS WITHOUT PREVENTATIVE MAINTENANCE (No timely re-gravelling - investment is lost!)**

**NOTE:** Based on deterioration rates predicted for lateritic gravel roads, hilly, high rainfall (2,000 mm/year) with traffic of 20 vpd by TRRL Laboratory Report 1111 and incorporated in RTIM. Use of poor quality material will cause even faster rates of gravel loss.
FIGURE 3 - PROBLEMS OFTEN ASSOCIATED WITH SOPHISTICATED IMPORTED HEAVY EQUIPMENT FOR ROADWORKS IN DEVELOPING COUNTRIES

Operational:
- Dedicated function (can only be used for one operation)
- Inter-dependence (e.g. dozer, loader, trucks, motorgrader, bowser, roller all required for gravelling – what happens when ONE link in the chain breaks down?)
- Lack of continuity of workload for plant items of dedicated function
- Usually based at locations remote from worksites – plant transporters required and long mobilization/demobilization distances involved

Technical:
- High pressure hydraulic systems
- Sophisticated mechanisms and hydraulics
- Disposable components; difficult to repair or refurbish

Local Support and Equipment Maintenance:
- Limited local market for equipment sales of each model
- Specialist repair and maintenance skills, tools and facilities required (often only available in the capital city)
- Few dealers able to provide the necessary close support
- Long spares supply lines and delivery times
- Frequent model “improvements” causing spares stocking and procurement problems and “planned” obsolescence

Cost:
- All equipment and spares imported – consuming scarce foreign exchange
- High capital and finance costs
- High costs of stocking and provision of spares

RESULT - low availability & high overall costs!

Source: Intech Associates
### FIGURE 4 – PRELIMINARY SCHEDULE OF ALTERNATIVE ROAD SURFACE IMPROVEMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Road Surface Improvement Options</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C1 Dragging Road Surface</td>
<td>Smoothing out minor defects on an earth or gravel road surface and redistributing loose material on the surface, using tyre or blade drag.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2 Light Grading/Reshaping of Surface</td>
<td>Minor reshaping of an earth or gravel surface to restore correct camber using labour or light/heavy grading equipment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3 Construct Natural Gravel Surface</td>
<td>A layer of compacted natural gravel wearing course (typically 15 – 20cm thick)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4 Lime Stabilization of Existing Surface</td>
<td>Addition of and mixing of quicklime or hydrated lime to a soil or surface material, watering and compacting to increase its strength and reduce its susceptibility to the weakening effect of increasing moisture content. This is achieved by chemical reaction of the lime with the clay particles. Mixing and compaction by light or heavy equipment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5 Stone Chippings Surface</td>
<td>A layer of single sized (typically 20mm) crushed stone chippings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6 Construct Hand Packed Stone Surface</td>
<td>A layer (typically 20 – 30cm thick) of large broken stone pieces, tightly packed and wedged in place with stone chips rammed by hand into joints, with remaining voids filled with sand. The Hand Packed Stone is normally bedded on a thin layer of sand/gravel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C7 Construct Dressed Stone Surface</td>
<td>A layer (typically 15 – 20cm thick) of stone blocks cut (dressed) to a cubic shape by hand, laid by hand. Joints mortared/sealed or tightly packed and wedged with stone chips rammed into place with remaining voids filled with sand. The Dressed Stone is normally bedded on a thin layer of sand/gravel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C8 Construct Stone Sett Surface</td>
<td>As dressed stone, however stone blocks are smaller; typically about 10cm x 10cm x 10cm with mortared joints.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C9 Construct Concrete Block Surface</td>
<td>A layer of concrete blocks (typically each 10cm x 20cm and 7 – 10cm thick) laid by hand on a thin (3 – 5cm) sand bed with joints also filled with sand and lightly compacted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C10 Construct Clay Brick Surface</td>
<td>A layer of high quality clay bricks (typically each 10cm x 20cm and 7 – 10cm thick) laid by hand on a thin sand bed with joints also filled with sand and lightly compacted, or bedded &amp; jointed with cement mortar.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C11 Construct Bamboo Reinforced Concrete Surface</td>
<td>Jointed slabs of structural quality concrete reinforced with a split bamboo rod grid. Joints with steel weight transfer dowels and bitumen seal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C12 Construct Steel Reinforced Concrete Surface</td>
<td>Jointed slabs of structural quality concrete reinforced with a mild steel rod grid. Joints with steel weight transfer dowels and bitumen seal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C13 Construct Bituminous/Tar Sand Seal Surface</td>
<td>A seal consisting of a hand or machine applied film of bitumen (straight run, cutback or emulsion) or road tar followed by the application of excess angular sand or fine crushed stone, lightly rolled into the bitumen/tar.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C14 Construct Ottaseal Surface</td>
<td>A layer consisting of a hand or machine applied film of relatively soft bitumen (usually straight run or cutback) followed by the application of graded natural gravel or crushed stone aggregate (typically 16mm downwards), rolled into the bitumen using heavy pneumatic tyred rollers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C15 Construct Bitumen/Tar Surface Dressing Surface</td>
<td>A layer consisting of a hand or machine applied film of bitumen (straight run, cutback or emulsion) or road tar followed by the application of a single layer of single sized (6 – 20mm) stone chippings, lightly rolled into the bitumen/tar.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C16 Construct Bitumen Slurry Seal Surface (and &quot;Cape&quot; Seals)</td>
<td>A seal consisting of fine graded aggregates (typically 10mm downwards), water, bitumen emulsion, cement, and sometimes an additive, mixed in a concrete mixer or other machine and spread on the road surface by hand or machine. Cape seals are combinations of Surface Dressing and Slurry Seal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C17 Construct Bituminous Premix Macadam Surface</td>
<td>A layer consisting of a hand or machine applied film of bitumen (straight run, cutback or emulsion) or road tar followed by the application of a single layer of single sized (6 – 20mm) stone chippings, lightly rolled into the bitumen/tar.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C18 Construct Penetration Macadam Surface</td>
<td>Two or three layers of single size crushed stone (of decreasing nominal aggregate size, e.g. 63 mm downwards) each compacted and with bitumen (straight run, cutback or emulsion) or road tar sprayed between each stone application.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C19 Construct Water Bound Macadam Roadbase</td>
<td>A layer of nominal single sized (typically up to 50mm) crushed stone compacted and fully blinded with well graded fine aggregate which is watered into the voids and compacted to produce a dense stable material. Layer thickness up to twice the nominal stone size. Material may be hand or machine crushed and laid.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C20 Construct Dry Bound Macadam Roadbase</td>
<td>A layer of nominal single sized (typically up to 50mm) crushed stone compacted and fully blinded with angular sand or fine crushed stone material which is then vibro-compactioned to produce a dense stable material. Layer thickness up to twice the nominal stone size. Material may be hand or machine crushed and laid. Suitable in areas short of water.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C21 Construct Slurry Bound Macadam Roadbase</td>
<td>A layer (about 7cm thick) of single size aggregate (typically 50mm) blindered with smaller aggregate (typically 25mm), plate compacted and grouted with bitumen emulsion slurry before final compaction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C22 Construct Crushed Stone Roadbase</td>
<td>A layer (usually up to 20cm thick) of graded crushed stone material (typically 50mm downwards) usually derived from fresh sound quarried rock, boulders or granular material and mixed with a bituminous binder (straight run, cutback or emulsion) and laid and compacted. Material may be hand or machine mixed and laid. Compaction by light or heavy equipment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C23 Construct Mechanically Stabilised Roadbase</td>
<td>Addition and mixing of granular material such as crushed stone or sand to a material to increase its strength and achieve the properties required of a roadbase.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C24 Construct Chemical or Emulsion Stabilised Roadbase</td>
<td>Addition and mixing of a stabilizer such as lime, cement, or ion exchange chemicals, to a material to increase its strength and achieve the properties required of a roadbase. Mixing and compaction by light or heavy equipment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C25 Improvement using Recycled Materials</td>
<td>Use of recycled road pavement materials, brick kiln waste, broken brick, demolition materials, industrial slags, etc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C1 & C2 are maintenance/surface improvements, C3 – C18 are surface options, C19 – C25 are lower pavement layer options.