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#### Abstract

Large-insert bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) libraries, plant-transformation-competent binary BAC (BIBAC) libraries, and simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers are essential for many aspects of genomics research. We constructed a BAC library and a BIBAC library from the nuclear DNA of chickpea, Cicer arietinum L., cv. Hadas, partially digested with HindIII and BamHI, respectively. The BAC library has 14,976 clones, with an average insert size of 121 kb , and the BIBAC library consists of 23,040 clones, with an average insert size of 145 kb . The combined libraries collectively cover ca. $7.0 \times$ genomes of chickpea. We screened the BAC library with eight synthetic SSR oligos, $(\mathrm{GA})_{10},(\mathrm{GAA})_{7},(\mathrm{AT})_{10},(\mathrm{TAA})_{7},(\mathrm{TGA})_{7},(\mathrm{CA})_{10}$, $(\mathrm{CAA})_{7}$, and $(\mathrm{CCA})_{7}$. Positive BACs were selected, subcloned, and sequenced for SSR marker development. Two hundred and thirty-three new chickpea SSR


[^0]markers were developed and characterized by PCR, using chickpea DNA as template. These results have demonstrated that BACs are an excellent source for SSR marker development in chickpea. We also estimated the distribution of the SSR loci in the chickpea genome. The SSR motifs (TAA) ${ }_{n}$ and (GA) $n$ were much more abundant than the others, and the distribution of the SSR loci appeared non-random. The BAC and BIBAC libraries and new SSR markers will provide valuable resources for chickpea genomics research and breeding (the libraries and their filters are available to the public at http://hbz.tamu.edu).

## Introduction

Chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.) is the third most important pulse crop in the world (FAO 2003, http:// www.fao.org; Ladizinsky 1995) and a good source of plant protein (12.4-31.5\%) and carbohydrates (52.4$70.9 \%$ ) (Williams and Singh 1987). Nevertheless, relatively limited genetic and genomic tools are available for the crop. Chickpea is a self-pollinating diploid, $2 \mathrm{n}=16$, and has a genome size of $740 \mathrm{Mb} / 1 \mathrm{C}$. While this size is slightly larger than that of the model legume, Medicago truncacula ( $530 \mathrm{Mb} / 1 \mathrm{C}$ ), it is much smaller than other major legume crops such as soybean, peanut, garden pea, alfalfa, and lentil (Arumuganathan and Earle 1991). The first genetic map of chickpea was developed by combining the mapping results from three interspecific mapping populations (Simon and Muehlbauer 1997). However, due to the low polymorphism levels of isozymes (Ahmad et al. 1992; Kazan et al. 1993; Labdi et al. 1996), RFLPs, and RAPD markers (Udupa et al. 1993), this first map had a low marker density (Simon and Muehlbauer 1997).

Simple sequence repeat (SSR), or microsatellite markers, have been documented in several crop species to overcome the low polymorphism of other marker
types (Cregan et al. 1999a; Bhattramakki et al. 2000). SSRs are tandem repeats of di- to tetra-nucleotide sequence motifs flanked by conserved sequences (Tautz and Renz 1984). Because different alleles vary in length, depending upon the number of repeats, PCR with primers complementary to the flanking sequences of the SSR locus can detect these length polymorphisms (Cregan et al. 1999a). Because they are highly polymorphic, PCR-based, and readily portable within a species (Edwards et al. 1996), SSR markers have been the DNA markers of choice in many crop species. Weising et al. (1992) demonstrated that the simple sequence motifs, $(\mathrm{GACT})_{4}, \quad(\mathrm{GATA})_{4}, \quad(\mathrm{GTG})_{5}, \quad(\mathrm{CA})_{8}$, and $(\text { GGAT })_{4}$, are present as polymorphic repeats in the chickpea genome. Sharma et al. (1995) found that SSRs are abundant in the chickpea genome and have a high level of intraspecific polymorphism, suggesting that SSR markers are well suited for chickpea genome mapping and gene tagging. Winter et al. (1999) reported the first chickpea genetic map based on SSR markers and a list of 174 primer pairs flanking such loci. These SSR markers have been extensively used to study the genetic relationships among Cicer species (Udupa et al. 1999; Choumane et al. 2000), construct genetic maps (Tekeoglu et al. 2002; Flandez-Galvez et al. 2003), and map genes of agronomic importance (Winter et al. 2000; Cho et al. 2002; Rajesh et al. 2002, 2004; Udupa and Baum 2003). Despite the high demand for SSR markers in chickpea, only 174 have been reported to date. In contrast, more than $2,000 \mathrm{SSR}$ loci have been mapped in soybean (Cregan et al. 1999a; http://129.186.26.94/). Thus, a large number of additional SSR markers are needed for comprehensive chickpea genome research and marker-assisted breeding.

Large-insert arrayed DNA libraries have been documented to be essential resources for advanced genome research. Because of their high stability, low chimerism, and easy DNA purification, large-insert bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) libraries, especially plant-transfor-mation-competent binary BAC (BIBAC) libraries, have emerged as the large-insert arrayed libraries of choice for plant genome research (Ren et al. 2004). BAC and BIBAC libraries have been developed for all major model and crop plants such as soybean, maize, rice, sorghum, cotton, and wheat (e.g., see http://hbz.tamu.edu) and are widely used in many areas of genomics and genetics research, including high-resolution gene mapping, positional cloning, integrative physical and genetic mapping (e.g., Chang et al. 2001; Tao et al. 2001; Chen et al. 2002; Wu et al. 2004a), region-targeted marker development (Cregan et al. 1999b), and comparative genome analysis. To facilitate chickpea genome research, Rajesh et al. (2004) recently prepared a BIBAC library of 23,780 clones, with an average insert size of 100 kb and a coverage of $3.8 \times$ genome equivalents. However, it has been demonstrated in other species that BAC libraries constructed with multiple enzymes and having higher genome coverage are needed for comprehensive genome research (Ren et al. 2004; Wu et al. 2004b, c).

Several methods have been developed for SSR isolation, including small-insert ( $\sim 500 \mathrm{bp}$ ) genomic DNA libraries (Hüttel et al. 1999), small-insert SSR-enriched DNA libraries (Edwards et al. 1996), and large-insert BAC libraries (Bhattramakki et al. 2000). Utilizing BAC clones as a source for SSR isolation has several advantages over the other methods. First, since most BAC clones have inserts of 100 kb or larger, a significant proportion of the chickpea BACs would be expected to contain at least one SSR. The SSR loci contained in the BACs can be identified and cloned by simply screening a BAC library with SSR oligos, followed by subcloning the SSR-containing BACs (Bhattramakki et al. 2000). Second, although it is not difficult to isolate non-targeted SSR markers from many different sources, it is more problematic to isolate an SSR marker for a specific gene of interest from small-insert libraries than from BAC libraries. Cregan et al. (1999b) generated SSR markers from soybean BAC libraries for two regions involved in resistance to the soybean cyst nematode. Rajesh et al. (2004) isolated two BAC clones from the existing chickpea BAC library that hybridized to the SSR marker Ta96, which is tightly linked to a Fusarium wilt resistance gene (FOC3). Third, using a BAC as an intermediary facilitates the development of additional markers for fine mapping of genes and QTLs located in a particular genomic region (Cregan et al. 1999b). Finally, the BAC library utilized for the isolation of the SSR markers could also be used for the development of a chickpea physical map, with the simultaneous anchoring of the SSR markers on the physical map (Wu et al. 2004a).

In this study, we developed two new BAC and BIBAC libraries for chickpea and generated 233 new SSR markers from the BAC library. These new BAC and BIBAC libraries, combined with the recently developed chickpea BIBAC library (Rajesh et al. 2004), will provide sufficient clone resources for comprehensive genome research of the species. The 233 new SSR markers developed in this study together with the 174 SSRs developed by Winter et al. (1999) will significantly facilitate high-density genetic map development, gene mapping, and positional gene cloning in chickpea.

## Materials and methods

## Construction and characterization of BAC and BIBAC libraries

BAC and BIBAC libraries were constructed from the nuclear DNA of cv. Hadas according to a procedure previously developed in our laboratory (Zhang 2000; Ren et al. 2004; Wu et al. 2004b). Young leaves were used for megabase-sized DNA preparation (Zhang et al. 1995; Zhang 2000). In order to minimize plastid DNA contamination, the nuclei were purified by several washes and centrifugations. The nuclear DNA was prepared at $5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ DNA per $100-\mu \mathrm{l}$ plug. The plugs were
partially digested with HindIII or BamHI, under conditions producing the largest number of fragments in the size range of $100-300 \mathrm{~kb}$. The fragments were subjected to two rounds of size selection by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis. The fragments were ligated into either HindIII-digested and dephosphorylated pIndigoBAC-5 (Epicentre Technologies, USA) or BamHI-digested and dephosphorylated binary vector pCLD04541 (Tao and Zhang 1998). The ligated DNA was transformed into electrocompetent DH10B cells (Invitrogen, USA). Recombinant colonies were selected on LB agar plates containing IPTG and Xgal with chloramphenicol for the pIndigoBAC-5 clones or tetracycline for the pCLD04541 clones (Zhang 2000; Wu et al. 2004b). White colonies were arrayed into 384 -well plates containing freezing media (Zhang et al. 1996; Zhang 2000; Ren et al. 2004) with appropriate antibiotics.

To estimate the insert sizes of the clones, random clone samples from the BAC and BIBAC libraries were analyzed. DNA was isolated, digested with NotI, and subjected to pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (Zhang 2000; Ren et al. 2004; Wu et al. 2004b), and the genome coverages of the libraries were estimated (Wu et al. 2004b). For library screening with different probes, the libraries were double gridded onto Hybond- $\mathrm{N}^{+}$membrane (Amersham, USA) in a format of $3 \times 3$, using the GeneTAC G3 Robotic Workstation (Genomic Solutions, USA), and the highdensity clone filters of the libraries were processed as described by Zhang (2000). To estimate the percentage of clones that were derived from the chloroplast genome, two of the BIBAC library high-density clone filters were screened with the probes derived from three chloroplast gene clones, $n d h A, r b c L$, and $p s b A$.

## BAC library screening with SSR oligos

To identify the BACs containing SSR loci, the filters of the chickpea BAC library were screened with the synthetic SSR oligos $(\mathrm{GA})_{10},(\mathrm{GAA})_{7},(\mathrm{AT})_{10},(\mathrm{TAA})_{7}$, $(\mathrm{TGA})_{7},(\mathrm{CA})_{10},(\mathrm{CAA})_{7}$, and $(\mathrm{CCA})_{7}$. The filters were prehybridized for at least 2 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the hybridization solution containing $5 \times \mathrm{SSC}, 0.5 \%$ SDS, 0.025 M potassium phosphate buffer, pH 6.5, and $5 \times$ Denhardt's solution. The oligos were end-labeled in a reaction containing 100 ng oligo mix, $1 \times$ Kinase forward buffer, 5 U T4 polynucleotide kinase (Invitrogen), and $200 \mu \mathrm{Ci}$ of $\gamma\left[{ }^{32} \mathrm{P}\right]$-ATP in a total volume of $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$. The reactions were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . Approximately 1 ng random primer-labeled pIndigoBAC-5 DNA was included in the probe to provide background hybridization to aid positive clone identification. The filters were hybridized overnight at $37-42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, depending on oligo sequences, in a hybridization oven. The filters were washed twice for 15 min each in $2 \times \mathrm{SSC}, 0.2 \% \mathrm{SDS}$, and $0.05 \%$ Na-pyrophosphate, twice for 1 h each in $1 \times \mathrm{SSC}$, $0.1 \%$ SDS, and $0.05 \%$ Na-pyrophosphate at $37-42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, depending upon the oligounucleotides used as a probe, and then were exposed to XAR-5 film.

Subcloning of SSR-positive BACs
Positive BAC clones were selected and re-arrayed into 384-well plates, using the GeneTAC G3 Robotic Workstation. Clones were grown overnight in LB medium containing appropriate antibiotics. DNA was isolated and digested with Sau3AI, which has 4-bp recognition site and thus cuts the DNA frequently. Because the restriction sites of Sau3AI are complementary to the internal four nucleotides of the BamHI sites, its restricted fragments can be cloned into the BamHI site. The digests were electrophoresed on agarose gels, and DNA fragments in the size range of $400-1,500 \mathrm{bp}$ were excised from the gel and purified by electroelution and dialysis. The size-selected DNA was ligated into the BamHI-digested and dephosphorylated pGEM11 (Promega, USA). The ligated DNA was transformed into DH10B cells by electroporation (Invitrogen). Recombinant clones were selected on the LB agar with $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ ampicillin, IPTG, and Xgal. White colonies were picked into 384 -well plates containing freezing media (Zhang 2000) with ampicillin.

## Screening of SSR-positive subclones

High-density clone filters of the subclone library were prepared and screened with the above SSR oligos, along with a small amount of random primer-labeled pGEM11 to provide background hybridization for clone orientation. Positive subclones were re-arrayed into 384-well plates, using the GeneTAC G3 Robotic Workstation. Subclone DNA was isolated with the Qiaprep Spin Mini Prep Kit (Qiagen, USA), using the manufacturer's protocol and sequenced with 3.2 pmol of primer (SP030 or SP010, Operon Technologies, USA) in $10-\mu l$ reaction, using ABI PRISM BigDye Terminator (version 3.0) Ready Reaction Cycle Sequencing Kits (Applied Biosystems, USA). Sequencing reactions were run on an ABI PRISM 3100 DNA Analyzer (Applied Biosystems). The complementary reaction was run, whenever necessary, to aid in sequencing the complete SSR locus and its flanking regions. The sequence data were analyzed using the Sequencher, version 2.1 software (Gene Codes, USA). Sequences found to contain SSR motifs were subjected to BLAST search.

Primer design and optimization of amplification reactions

Primers complementary to the flanking regions of the selected SSR loci were designed using the Primer3 software (http://www-genome.wi.mit.edu/genome_soft-ware-other-primer3.html). The following criteria were applied: (1) PCR product size $=100-500 \mathrm{bp}$, with an optimal size of 200 bp ; (2) primer size $=18-25$ nucleotides with an optimal size of 20 nucleotides;


A: BACs
Fig. 1 Bacterial artificial chromosomes (BACs) and plant-transfor-mation-competent binary BACs (BIBACs) randomly selected from the chickpea BAC and BIBAC libraries. The clones were grown in LB medium with appropriate antibiotics overnight. DNA was isolated, digested with NotI to release the inserts from the cloning vector, and


B: BIBACs
subjected to pulsed-field gel electrophoresis with lambda ladder markers. The gels were stained with ethidium bromide and photographed. The insert size of each clone was estimated by the sum of the sizes of all insert bands of the clone
comparison with the internal size standard (ABI PRISM GeneScan-500 ROX, Applied Biosystems).

## Results

BAC and BIBAC library construction
and characterization
We constructed a BAC library and a BIBAC library for chickpea cv. Hadas. The BAC library was constructed in the HindIII site of the pIndigoBAC vector and consisted of 14,976 clones arrayed in 39384 -well microplates. Analyzing a random sample of 50 clones showed that the library had an average insert size of 121 kb , with a range from 40 kb to 170 kb , and $72 \%$ having insert sizes of greater than 100 kb , thus providing a genome coverage of $2.5 \times$ for chickpea (Fig. 1a). The BIBAC library was constructed in the BamHI site of the binary BAC vector pCLD04541 and consisted of 23,040 clones arrayed in 60 384-well microplates. Analyzing a random sample of 79 clones showed that the library had an average insert size of 145 kb , with a range from 45 kb to 295 kb , and $84 \%$ having insert sizes of greater than 100 kb , resulting in $4.5 \times$ genome coverage (Fig. 1b). Therefore, the combination of the two libraries resulted in a total coverage of $7.0 \times$ genome equivalents, providing a probability of greater than $99.9 \%$ obtaining a single-copy clone. Analysis of the BIBAC library with chloroplast DNA probes showed that less than $0.3 \%$ of the clones were derived from ctDNA, an extremely low percentage of ctDNA-derived clones.

## Development of SSR markers from BACs

To identify the SSR loci in the chickpea genome, the BAC library was double gridded onto membranes and
hybridized with radioactively labeled synthetic SSR oligonucleotides in two phases. In the first phase, 4,608 random clones were selected and screened with $(\mathrm{CA})_{10}$, $(\mathrm{GA})_{10},(\mathrm{GAA})_{7}$, and $(\mathrm{TAA})_{7}$. Three hundred eightyfour of the positive BAC clones were randomly selected, subcloned, blotted, and hybridized with each of the labeled SSR oligos, respectively. A total of 293 positive subclones were selected and sequenced. The insert sizes of such subclones ranged from 200 bp to $1,500 \mathrm{bp}$, with an average size of 761 bp . Sequence comparative analysis of the subclones resulted in 117 singletons and 53 contigs containing 176 subclones. In other words, the 293 subclones represented a total of 170 independent SSR loci. The 53 contigs each consisted of two to seven subclones, with an average of 2.2 subclones per contig, with one exception for the contig identified with the $(\mathrm{CA})_{10}$ oligo consisting of 41 subclones. The sequence of the contig was found to be identical to the Cicer arietinum satellite DNA CaSat2 at the nucleotide level (BLAST code no. AJ006005.1/CAA006005 or AJ006006.1/CAA006006).

In the second phase, the entire BAC library was screened with $(\mathrm{GA})_{10}, \quad(\mathrm{TA})_{10}, \quad(\mathrm{GAA})_{7}, \quad(\mathrm{TAA})_{7}$, $(\mathrm{CAA})_{7}$, and (TGA) $)_{7}$. As before, selected positive clones were subcloned, re-screened, and sequenced. In this phase, a total of 359 SSR-positive subclones were sequenced, of which 145 were assembled into 60 contigs, with two or more subclones for each contig, and the remaining 214 subclones were singletons. Therefore, 274 independent loci were isolated from this second phase.

In summary of both phases, a total of 14,976 BAC clones were screened with the SSR oligos, and 1,720 ( $11.5 \%$ ) were identified to strongly hybridize to at least one of the synthetic SSR probes. The two phases of SSR isolation resulted in a total of 444 independent loci.

Of the 444 subclones, 325 subclones contained SSR loci with four or more repeat units, while the rest ( $27 \%$ ) had no SSR or had SSRs with three or fewer repeat units and therefore, were not considered for further analysis. BLAST analysis showed that 10 of the 325 SSR-containing subclones had high similarity to the chickpea Ty3-gypsy-like retrotransposon at the nucleotide level (BLAST code no. AJ411814.1/CAR411814), and 15 had high similarity to other repetitive sequences such as transposons and retrotransposons from various plant species. For eight subclones, the SSR loci were located too close to the cloning site, impeding the possibility to design primers. To limit potential redundancy of the chickpea SSRs, the loci isolated in the present study were compared to the published chickpea SSR markers (Hüttel et al. 1999; Winter et al. 1999). Since the sequence data of the primer pairs flanking the SSRs were the only sequence data available for such loci, the presence of both primers flanking the respective SSR motif was used to detect the redundancy. Only two subclones, H4D12 and H1P01, were found to match the detection criterion: H4D12 containing the primers and the SSR motif of marker TAA137 and H1P01 corresponding to those of marker GAA51 (Winter et al. 1999;

Table 1). As a result, a total of 290 new subclones containing independent SSRs were found suitable for primer design.

Primer design and optimization of amplification reactions

Of the 290 SSR subclones, 25 contained two SSR loci and one had three loci, separated by at least 30 bp of non-repetitive DNA. Since neither of the markers previously isolated by Winter et al. (1999), TAA137 and GAA51, were mapped yet, independent primer pairs were also designed for these two loci. Therefore, primer pairs were designed for a total of 319 SSR loci. We evaluated 291 of the SSR primer pairs by PCR, using the genomic DNA of Hadas as template. Of the 291 primer pairs tested, $48(16.5 \%)$ resulted in no PCR products under a number of annealing/elongation temperature combinations; ten $(3.4 \%)$ resulted in smeared products, indicating that these primer pairs may be complementary to repetitive sequences; and 233 primer pairs resulted in clear amplicons. The sequences of the 233 primer pairs and respective SSR loci, the annealing/elongation temperatures employed for their amplification, as well as the expected and observed length of their PCR products in the cv . Hadas are presented in Table 1.

In general, the observed locus size matched the expected size, but there were a few exceptions: in three cases, the observed loci were significantly larger than expected (e.g., H1H07), and in 24 cases, the opposite was evident (e.g., H1A10). The stutter patterns that are commonly observed for long SSRs were in accordance with the SSR dominant motif: for dinucleotides-SSR motifs, the stutters appeared every 2 bp (e.g., H1H15, Fig. 2), while for trinucleotide-SSRs, the stutters appeared every 3 bp (e.g., H4G07 and H5H06, Fig. 2). Under the optimal PCR conditions (Table 1), most of the primer pairs ( $76.8 \%$ ) amplified a unique locus (e.g., HIH15, Fig. 2); however, in $54(23.2 \%$ ) cases more than one locus was amplified. For instance, H4G07 and H5H06 primer pairs amplified two and four loci, respectively (Table 1; Fig. 2). We evaluated the allelic segregation of the multiple-fragment amplification products by using a recombinant inbred line population derived from the cross between the cv. Hadas and the desi Indian accession ICC5810. The independent segregation of at least one of the amplicons was established for 14 out of 21 primer pairs amplifying polymorphic patterns. Of these 14 primer pairs, 11 (H1D24, H1H08, H1J07, H1O06, H2B02, H2B061, Н3C041, Н3H122, H4D11, H5A04, and H5G12) produced only one polymorphic amplicon between Hadas and ICC5810-a direct indication of the independent segregation of such amplicons. For the other three primer pairs, H1B13 and H1P092 produced two polymorphic amplicons, while H 3 C 11 produced three polymorphic amplicons. The polymorphic amplicons were confirmed to segregate
Table 1 Simple sequence repeats ( $S S R$ s) isolated from the chickpea genome by use of bacterial artificial chromosomes

| Primer pair name | Primer pairs ( $5^{\prime}-3{ }^{\prime}$ ) | SSR loci ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Annealing/ elongation Tem ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ | Fragment size ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (bp) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Expected | Observed ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| H1A06 | TGGATAATTGTAGGGTAAGAAATGC TGTGTAATTTAAGTGTGGGGGTATT | (TAA) 23 | 60/72 | 181 | 181 |
| H1A10 | TTGGAAGTTTAAGTGTTTGCTTC <br> TTCATAAAGAGAAACACTTGTTCAAT | $(\mathrm{TGA})_{3}(\mathrm{TAA})_{54}$ | 57/60 | 289 | 112 |
| H1A12 | CGAGCCTCACTTAACCATTG CGATGTATAACTCGATTTTTCTTTT | (TTA) 29 | 50/60 | 308 | 302 |
| H1A17 | TGAGTGTTCTTTTCTTAGAGTGTGC CAAGCCAATTCTTCTCATAACAAC | (TTA) ${ }_{31}$ | 58/65 | 235 | 155 |
| H1A18 | CTTTCCTTGGTCTTTGTCTTCAT <br> tTGTAATTAATGAACATGAGTTAAGAGT | $(\mathrm{TTA})_{31}$ TTTTA T TAAAA $(\mathrm{TTA})_{7}$ | 58/65 | 244 | 244 |
| H1A19 | AGTGGAACCCACCAAATTTTA AACGAAACCCTTATATTTCTTCTCT | $(\mathrm{TTA})_{4}$ | 60/72 | 149 | 149 |
| H1B02 | GATGCCCTTACATAATTCAAATAGC ATCCCTATTCAACCTTCCTTCTAGT | (TTA) ${ }_{43}$ | 57/65 | 425 | 425 |
| H1B04 | TAGTTGAAACACACGGGTTA AAAGTGAAATATGTCATCCTTATTA | (TTA) ${ }_{35}$ | 50/60 | 260 | 204 |
| H1B06 | GACTCACTCTCCAAATGGAACC AAGCCCATGAAAACCATATATTC | (TTA) 28 | 60/72 | 197 | 155/197 |
| H1B081 | GGCCAACTATAACATCACCA GTAAACCCACCCAACAATTT | $(T T A)_{5} 790 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{TTA})_{36} \mathrm{GTA}(\mathrm{TTA})_{4}$ | 54/72 | 140 | 140 |
| H1B082 | AAATTGTTGGGTGGGTTTACTC GGGTCTAGCCCAATAATACCTTT | $(\mathrm{TTA})_{5} 790 \mathrm{bp}(\text { TTA })_{36}$ GTA (TTA) ${ }_{4}$ | 58/65 | 225 | 110/125 |
| H1B09 | GGTTTCATGACCTGCACCTA AAGAACCGAAAACACTTGTGA | $(\mathrm{TAA})_{14}(\mathrm{AT})_{3}$ | 58/65 | 210 | 210 |
| H1B11 | GCAGCTGTTGACATCTAATTTTG ACCGAAAACACTTGTGATTGTTA | $(\mathrm{TAA})_{20}$ | 62/72 | 203 | 156 |
| H1B13 | CGGTCTTCCTTTTCCTTTTTATTAT AAAATGTGTTTTATGGGTTAAGTTCA | (TTA) 40 | 58/65 | 204 | 148/197 |
| H1B17 | ATTCGAGGTGGTACCTCTAGTGA GAGGAACCGACGATGTATCTATT | $(\mathrm{TAA})_{38}$ | 57/65 | 199 | 199 |
| H1C091 | ACCACCGGAAGTCAATTTTT TTGGGTTTGACCCATATGAA | $(G A A)_{5} 119 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{TAA})_{29}$ | 60/72 | 119 | 119 |
| H1C092 | CAATAAAACACTTTGTTCCTTTTT TGTAGAAAGAAAGCTAGCATGG | $(\mathrm{GAA})_{5} 119 \mathrm{bp}(\text { (TAA })_{29}$ | 55/65 | 242 | 242 |
| H1C19 | AGAAAAGTTAAGATAAAAATCTCAGCA TCCTCTTCTCATAATTGAAATGAA | $\left.(\mathrm{TAA})_{5} \mathrm{TGA}^{(T A A)}\right)_{6} 13 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{TAA})_{8}$ | 54/72 | 152 | 152 |
| H1C22 | ATTTATACAAAGTTTTTGAAGTCG CTTGTAAGTAGATAGTTTCACCAAA | $(\mathrm{CAA})_{5}(\mathrm{TAA})_{47} \mathrm{AA}(\mathrm{TAA})_{2}$ TAAAA $(\mathrm{TAA})_{22}$ | 54/65 | 316 | 316 |
| H1D02 | TCCCTAGGTGCAATAGGAAAA CGTTCACATACCGCCATATT | $(\mathrm{TAA})_{2}(\mathrm{TAG})_{2}$ TAA $(\mathrm{TGA})_{3}(\mathrm{TAA})_{19}$ | 50/60 | 296 | 261 |
| H1D221 | TTCTAGAAACTGTCGACTGATAG ACTTAATCCATGAAATTTGTTTT | $(T A A){ }_{25} C A A(T A A){ }_{23} 537 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{TAA})_{4}$ | 54/65 | 238 | 238 |
| H1D222 | AACAATCGTAATTAAAGGAGAAT TAACCGCTACCTTTTATTAATTT | $(\mathrm{TAA})_{25} \mathrm{CAA}(\mathrm{TAA})_{23} 537 \mathrm{bp}(\text { TAA })_{4}$ | 54/72 | 158 | 158/172 |
| H1D24 | TTTCGGTGAACAAAAACTAACTA ACGGTTAAATAGATGAGTCAAAA | (TTA) ${ }_{14}$ TTG (TTA) 6 | 55/65 | 189 | 173/189/264/269 |
| H1E06 | GCAAATGTAACATCCTAAAATTAAAA | $(\mathrm{TTA})_{13}$ TAA $(\mathrm{TTA})_{14}$ | 57/72 | 201 | 201 |

Table 1 (Contd.)

| Primer <br> pair name | Primer pairs ( $5^{\prime}-3^{\prime}$ ) | SSR loci ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Annealing/ elongation Tem ( $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)^{\mathrm{b}}$ | Fragment size ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (bp) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Expected | Observed ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| H1E12 | TCTTATAATAAAATTAAAAACACGTCAA | $(\mathrm{TA})_{7}(\mathrm{CA})_{4}$ | 60/72 | 131 | 131 |
|  | TGACATTTGACGTTTGTGCT |  |  |  |  |
|  | ACCCCAATAGCGAATTTGAC |  |  |  |  |
| H1E192 | AAAACCCTTGCCACCTCAT | $(\mathrm{TA})_{7} \mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{TAA})_{26} 61 \mathrm{bp}(C A)_{7}$ | 57/65 | 125 | 119 |
|  | GGAGAATGGAAGAAAAGAAGGA |  |  |  |  |
| H1E20 | CTGAATCTGTGTTGGCCATT | $(\mathrm{GAA})_{4}$ | 57/72 | 119 | 119 |
|  | TCAACCACCTCCTAAGACCA |  |  |  |  |
| H1E22 | TCATCTTAGAGTTCAACGAGAGA | (TTA) ${ }_{14}$ | 57/65 | 139 | 113 |
|  | TGAGTAGTGGCTTCTAACAAAGA |  |  |  |  |
| H1F021 | GAGGCGAGAAGAAGGAAGAG | $(G A A)_{5} 39 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{TTA})_{29}\left[\mathrm{CTA}(\mathrm{TTA})_{5}\right]_{2}$ | 60/72 | 100 | 100 |
|  | GGAAGAAAAATAGTAACAAGAAGACCA |  |  |  |  |
| H1F022 | GGTTCTGGTCTTCTTGTTACTATTT | $(\mathrm{GAA})_{5} 39 \mathrm{bp}(T T A)_{29}\left[C T A(T T A)_{5}\right]_{2}$ | 55/60 | 226 | 187 |
|  | TGAAATATGTCATCCTTATTACTAACT |  |  |  |  |
| H1F05 | ATAACTCAAATCGTTTCACAAGA | $(\mathrm{TAA})_{36}$ | 57/65 | 173 | 173 |
|  | AAACCCCTTTTTATTTTCAATTT |  |  |  |  |
| H1F14 | GAGAGAGAGGAAGGGAAACG | $(\mathrm{TTTA})_{4}(\mathrm{TTA})_{11}$ | 60/72 | 204 | 204 |
|  | TCCTAACTTGCTCCTTAACCTTG |  |  |  |  |
| H1F17 | GGGGAGGAAGAAGATGGAA | (TA) ${ }_{27}$ | 56/72 | 239 | 233 |
|  | GCGTTATGGGTGAAATGGTA |  |  |  |  |
| H1F21 | GTTTCGCTCACATACCATCG | $(\mathrm{TAA})_{20}$ | 58/65 | 316 | 316 |
|  | GGGAAAGTCTTGCTCCTACG |  |  |  |  |
| H1F22 | TAATGTAATTTTGTCTTTAACGTTTCC | TTACTA(TTA) $2_{24}$ TTG(TTA) ${ }_{11}$ CTA TTACTA (TTA) ${ }_{9}$ TTG(TTA) ${ }_{8} \mathrm{CTA}$ [TTACTA(TTA) ${ }_{18}$ | 57/65 | 306 | 171/306 |
|  | ATTGTGTTGTGTTATTTTAACTTTTGG |  |  |  |  |
| H1F24 | AACGGAGAGTTGATTTCACA | $(\mathrm{TAA})_{5} \mathrm{TGA}(\mathrm{TAA})_{6} 13 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{TAA})_{8}$ | 50/60 | 268 | 268 |
|  | TGCTAGCTCTACACATGATATACT |  |  |  |  |
| H1G11 | GCAAACAAGAACCGAAAACA | (TTA) ${ }_{11}$ | 60/72 | 196 | 195 |
|  | GCTTGACATGCAAATTGTTG |  |  |  |  |
| H1G16 | GTTTGCTTTCAACACCGAGA | $(\mathrm{TAA})_{2}$ TAT $(\mathrm{TAA})_{17}$ | 58/65 | 265 | 265 |
|  | CCCATGAAGGCCTGAATTAT |  |  |  |  |
| H1G20 | TCAACACTTGTTTGAGATTGTT | $(\mathrm{GA})_{7}$ | 56/72 | 271 | 271 |
|  | GGTTCTCTAATGGCTTTATTCA |  |  |  |  |
| H1G22 | AACAGACGAGACTGGGGTTC | (GA)n, $n=3-8$. In total, 8 SSRs interrupted by $9-23 \mathrm{bp}$ | 60/72 | 303 | 301/307 |
|  | CTTCATCATCACGCCTCATC |  |  |  |  |
| H1G24 | CTTTCCCCCTTTTTCATTCA | $(\mathrm{GA})_{5} 17 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{GA})_{5} 17 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{GA})_{5}$ - Except for 1 base the 17 bp are identical | 57/72 | 235 | 192/207/235 |
|  | ACGCTCGCGTTTATTCTCAG |  |  |  |  |
| H1H011 | CATGTGCCCAAATGCTATTA | $(G A)_{16} 139 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{TA})_{5}$ | 60/72 | 157 | 157 |
|  | CAAGTTTGAAATGCCAATTTTT |  |  |  |  |
| H1H06 |  | $(\mathrm{GA})_{10}$ | 56/72 | 190 | 170/190 |
|  | TTTTGACGAAAACGGAGAAA |  | 56/72 |  |  |
| H1H07 | AAATTGTTGATTTTAACTAACCAAGA | $(\mathrm{GA})_{27}$ |  | 165 | 192 |
| H1H08H1H11 | GTGAGACACATGAGTGCAAAAA | $(\mathrm{GA})_{24} \mathrm{AA}(\mathrm{GA})_{6}$$(\mathrm{GA})_{15}$ | 60/72 | 167 | 120/167 |
|  | GTGAGACACATGAGTGCAAAAA |  |  |  |  |
|  | TGATTTTTGCTGGAATCAAT |  | 57/72 | 152 | 152 |
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Table 1 (Contd.)

| Primer <br> pair name | Primer pairs ( $5^{\prime}-3^{\prime}$ ) | SSR loci ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Annealing/ elongation Tem ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ | Fragment size ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (bp) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Expected | Observed ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| H1M07 | GAAAAACACTTTTGACAGAAACG | (CA) ${ }_{8}$ | 56/72 | 192 | 192 |
| H1N12 | AAAAATTGGTTCTCAAGAGTAAA | $(\mathrm{CA})_{5} 46 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{CA})_{5}$ | 57/65 | 187 | 187 |
|  | ATGAGGATTGGACGTAATCA |  |  | 194 |  |
| H1O01 | AGCCCGTAAGTGGTATCCAA |  |  |  |  |
| H1O06 | CGTTCTCGAATCAAAGAGGA | $(\mathrm{GAA})_{21} 69 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{AT})_{7} 14 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{AT})_{12}$ | 60/72 | 226 | 183/188/226 |
|  | AGGAAGGCAGAAAGGAAACA |  |  |  |  |
| H1O09 | CATGGGATGATTCAGAGGAA | (GAA) 132 | 60/72 | 478 | 133 |
|  | TCATTTCCCATTTGTTGACAT |  |  |  |  |
| H1O10 | TGGTTTTTCCAAGAATGCAA | $(\mathrm{GAA})_{10}$ | 57/72 | 197 | 175/197 |
|  | TTTTGGATGATGAATAAAGGAA |  |  |  |  |
| H1O12 | GAAAATGGAAATGCAGCAGA | $(\mathrm{CTT})_{2} 9 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{CTT})_{11}$ | 60/72 | 224 | 224 |
| H1O14 | GCCACTTTCACTTGAAACCA | $(\mathrm{GAA})_{5}$ | 56/72 | 148 | 148 |
|  | TGTCACTGATACTGCCTCCTG |  |  |  |  |
| H1P01 | CAAAGCAGAATGTCCATCAAA | $(\mathrm{GAA})_{6}$ | 56/72 | 146 | 146 |
|  | CTGTTTTTGCCATCAAGCAT |  |  |  |  |
| H1P181 | ATGGCAACATGGGATGATT | $(G A A))_{90} 30 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{GAA})_{4}$ | 57/65 | 321 | 81 |
|  | TTTTGGTTTTGCCATTAAGC |  |  |  |  |
| H1P182 | TATGCTTAATGGCAAAACCA | $(\mathrm{GAA})_{90} 30 \mathrm{bp}(G A A)_{4}$ | 54/72 | 100 | 100/198 |
|  | TTTTGGTCATTTTTGTCCTT |  |  |  |  |
| H1P02 | AAGAGGAAAGCTCCTCCAAC | $(\mathrm{GAA})_{5}$ | 60/72 | 128 | 128 |
|  | TCTTCAACAGGTTTGCCTTT |  |  |  |  |
| H1P091 | GTCTCGGTTTTGCATGACAC | $\begin{aligned} & (G A A)_{6} 61 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{TTA})_{16} \mathrm{TCA}(\mathrm{TTA})_{22} \\ & \mathrm{TTG}(\mathrm{TTA})_{5} \end{aligned}$ | 60/72 | 146 | 126/146 |
|  | GGAACACCGGTTCCCATC |  |  |  |  |
| H1P092 | GAACCGGTGTTCCCTTTTT | $\begin{aligned} & \left(\mathrm{GAA}_{6} 61 \mathrm{bp}(T T A)_{16} T C A(T T A)\right. \\ & 22 T T G(T T A)_{5} \end{aligned}$ | 57/65 | 229 | 163/229 |
|  | GAAAGGTATAGAATGTGTTTAATGGA |  |  |  |  |
| H1P17 | TGCCTCCCACTTACATTAGG | $(\mathrm{CAA})_{12}(\mathrm{GAA})_{8}$ | 60/72 | 205 | 205 |
| H1P23 | TTGCACGAAGACCATTAGAA ACCAAAGGCTCAAGGAGAAA | $(\mathrm{TAA})_{5}$ | 60/72 | 193 | 193 |
|  | TGGAACTTGAGGTGTTGCTT |  |  |  |  |
| H2A02 | TGATATTATTGTGATATTGGATGTCG | $(\mathrm{TGA})_{4}$ | 60/72 | 199 | 196/347/372/396/406 |
|  | TCCTCGGAATCACTAGGTTTG |  |  |  |  |
| H2A04 | GATTTTCTTGAAAACAACATATAGTCA VTGTGTTGGAGGTTTGTTGA | $(\mathrm{TA})_{7}(\mathrm{TGA})_{5}$ | 60/72 | 134 | 134 |
| H2A08 | AGGCAATTATTAGGGGTTAGTG | (GA) ${ }_{9}$ | 60/72 | 136 | 136/139 |
|  | TTGGTTTATGCTGCACGTTT |  |  |  |  |
| H2A11 | GCATGTTCGATGGAAGAGAA | $(\mathrm{CAA})_{5}$ | 60/72 | 133 | 133 |
|  | TAGAACCAGAGGCAGAGCAA |  |  |  |  |
| H2B02 | GCCATGAAATTACACAAAATGG | $(\mathrm{GAA})_{6} 24 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{GAA})_{10}$ | 62/72 | 200 | 140/192/200 |
|  | GGTGGTTTACGGGTTGTTCT |  |  |  |  |
| H2B061 | TCTTGAAGCAAAAGAAGTCAAAAG CAAGTGATAAGTAGGAAGGCAGAA | $(G A A)_{2} G(G A A)_{19} 70 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{TA})_{7} 14 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{TA})_{11}$ | 60/72 | 163 | 120/128/163 |
| H2B18 | CAATTTAATCGGAACATTGTCTTC | (TTG) ${ }_{7}$ | 60/72 | 170 | 130/137/160/203/221 |
|  | TTCACAAACATCACAACCATAAAC |  |  |  |  |


| (TA) $6_{6} 22 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{TA})_{6}$ | 60/72 | 144 | 144 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} (T A)_{7} A A(T A)_{8} 49 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{TGA})_{6} \\ 89 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{CTA})_{5} \mathrm{GTG}(\mathrm{TA})_{7} \end{gathered}$ | 60/72 | 159 | 159 |
| $(\mathrm{TA})_{7} \mathrm{AA}(\mathrm{TA})_{8} 49 \mathrm{bp}(T G A)_{6}$ <br> $89 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{CTA})_{5}$ GTG (TA) $)_{7}$ | 60/72 | 117 | 117 |
| $\begin{aligned} & (\mathrm{TA})_{7} \mathrm{AA}(\mathrm{TA})_{8} 49 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{TGA})_{6} \\ & 89 \mathrm{bp}(C T A)_{5} G T G(T A)_{7} \end{aligned}$ | 60/72 | 148 | 148 |
| $(\mathrm{CAA})_{4}$ | 60/72 | 130 | 130 |
| $(\mathrm{TAG})_{5}$ | 60/72 | 149 | 149/205 |
| (GA) ${ }_{9}$ | 60/72 | 154 | 154 |
| $(\mathrm{TAA})_{27}$ | 58/65 | 186 | 186 |
| $(\mathrm{GA})_{15}$ | 54/65 | 170 | 170 |
| $(\mathrm{GA})_{12}$ | 60/72 | 144 | 144 |
| $(\mathrm{GTT})_{3} \mathrm{GT}(\mathrm{GTT})_{4}$ | 58/65 | 186 | 186 |
| (TAA) ${ }_{35}$ | 60/72 | 225 | 225 |
| $(\mathrm{TTG})_{2} \mathrm{TGG}_{(\mathrm{TTG}}^{4} 4$ TTA $(\mathrm{TTG})_{2}$ | 60/72 | 177 | 177/155/165/197 |
| $(\mathrm{TTA})_{13}$ CTA (TTA) ${ }_{19}$ | 60/72 | 190 | 190 |
| $(\mathrm{TGA})_{4}(\mathrm{TG})_{3}$ | 60/72 | 190 | 190 |
| $(\mathrm{GA})_{18}$ | 58/65 | 183 | 183 |
| $(\mathrm{TGA})_{4}$ | 60/72 | 193 | 193 |
| $(\mathrm{TGA}) 4$ | 60/72 | 169 | 169 |
| (TTG) ${ }_{4}$ | 60/72 | 151 | 151 |
| $(\mathrm{TTA})_{30} \mathrm{CTA}(\mathrm{TTA})_{5}$ | 58/65 | 207 | 207 |
| $(G A)_{6} 58 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{TTTA})_{8}(\mathrm{TTA})_{29}$ | 60/72 | 151 | 151 |
| $(\mathrm{GA})_{6} 58 \mathrm{bp}(T T T A)_{8}(T T A)_{29}$ | 60/72 | 204 | 204 |
| $(\mathrm{TA})_{4} 165 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{TG})_{3}$ | 60/72 | 253 | 253 |
| $(\mathrm{CA})_{3}(\mathrm{ATC})_{3} 253 \mathrm{bp}(T C)_{6} 5 \mathrm{bp}(T C)_{17}$ | 60/72 | 252 | 252 |
| $(\mathrm{TTA})_{20}$ | 60/72 | 246 | 246 |

Table 1 (Contd.)

| Primer pair name | Primer pairs ( $5^{\prime}-3^{\prime}$ ) | SSR loci ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Annealing/ elongation Tem ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ | Fragment size ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (bp) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Expected | Observed ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| H3A09 | CTGTGATAGGTCTGAAACTCGAA | $\begin{aligned} & (\mathrm{TTA})_{23} \operatorname{TTG}(\mathrm{TTA})_{2} \operatorname{TTT}(\mathrm{TTA})_{2} \\ & (\mathrm{TTG})_{3}(\mathrm{TTA})_{12} \mathrm{TTT}(\mathrm{TTA})_{15} \end{aligned}$ | 58/65 | 391 | 391 |
| H3A10 | TTCGGTATTAAATTCTTCGCAAC TTTAAGGCTTCAGGTATTGATTTCT TCACACATGCCAACTTAAAATAAAA | $(\mathrm{TTA})_{24}$ | 58/65 | 246 | 246 |
| H3A12 | AACCTTAGACTGTGTTCGCTGA <br> TCAATCTTTTGTTGTTACTATGAATCTG | $(\mathrm{GA})_{11}$ | 60/72 | 179 | 179 |
| H3B01 | AGTTGCGACGAGAGTAGTTATTTTT AATGTTTTTCTTTCACTCACACTTG | $(\mathrm{TA})_{5} \mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{TA})_{3}$ | 60/72 | 254 | 254 |
| H3B04 | TGTTTCCTGATGTTGAGAAACTC TATTTTATGATATCCGCGGTGAC | $(\mathrm{TC})_{9}(\mathrm{AC})_{7}$ | 60/72 | 197 | 197 |
| H3B08 | TGTAATAAAACAAAATCCTCACACC AGATTAAGCCTGAATTGGTTGAA | $(\mathrm{ATC})_{5}$ | 58/65 | 199 | 199 |
| H3C041 | GGTGAAGAGAGAGAGAAAGTGAAAC | $(G A)_{5} 11 b p(G A)_{5} 35 b p(G A)_{4} 13 b p$ $(G A)_{9} 23 \mathrm{bp}(G A)_{4} 21 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{GA})_{4} 13 \mathrm{bp}$ $(\mathrm{GA})_{4} 20 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{GA})_{4} 31 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{ATG})_{4}$ | 60/72 | 199 | 153/188/199 |
| H3C042 | CTCTCTCTCATCAATCAATCAGTTTT <br> AAACGTGAGAGAGATAGAAGAAACG | $(\mathrm{GA})_{5} 11 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{GA})_{5} 35 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{GA})_{4} 13 \mathrm{bp}$ $(\mathrm{GA})_{9} 23 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{GA})_{4} 21 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{GA})_{4} 13 \mathrm{bp}$ $(\mathrm{GA})_{4} 20 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{GA})_{4} 31 \mathrm{bp}(A T G)_{4}$ | 60/72 | 206 | 206 |
| H3C06 | CTTTTAGGTGAAACTTCCTCTTGAC AATTTCGTGAATCATTAAAAATAGAGG CACATGACTATCTAGACATTTTATTTATC | (TAA) ${ }_{23}$ | 58/65 | 171 | 171 |
| H3C08 | TTGTTTGAGAAGAAGATGGGTTT ATGCACAGACTGCATTAAATGAT | $(\mathrm{GA})_{4} 15 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{GA})_{4} 22 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{TGA})_{4}$ | 60/72 | 183 | 183 |
| H3C10 | TTTTGTCTATTGTGTTGAATTACTTTTT AGGTTGATATCCTAAACAAGGACTCT | $(\mathrm{TAA})_{33} 40 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{TAA})_{4}$ | 60/72 | 224 | 165/196/224 |
| H3C11 | GCCCATATTCAATTCTTACCATTATTA ACCTTTAACGCTAATAGAGTGAGTTTA | (TTA) $4_{40}$ | 60/72 | 205 | 142/205/240 |
| H3D05 | AGACGTGTTCCCTTTCTTTTAACTA GCCGACACAAAGTTTATGATTTT | $(\mathrm{TAA})_{41}$ | 58/65 | 307 | 307 |
| H3D09 | GGCAAAATCTCTCCATAAGAGG CACACTTTAGCACAATGCAGAA | $(\mathrm{TC})_{10}(\mathrm{TA})_{12}$ | 55/65 | 224 | 224 |
| H3E04 | GATTTAACGTGTCGCGTCTTC GCCTTATGTGTTTTCCTTAGTGATT | $(\mathrm{TTA})_{36}(\mathrm{CTA})_{5}$ | 58/65 | 313 | 313 |
| H3E052 | TAGACCCTTGCTTCTTGTTCCT | $\begin{aligned} & (\mathrm{TTC})_{3}(\mathrm{TAC})_{2} 22 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{TC})_{22}(\mathrm{AC})_{11} 251 \mathrm{bp} \\ & (T T C)_{3} 20 \mathrm{bp}(T C)_{7} 5 \mathrm{bp}(C A)_{5} C C(C A)_{4} \end{aligned}$ | 60/72 | 184 | 184 |
| H3E08 | AATCTTGTTGGTTCTTTGGTCA CGTCGTTAAATGTGTGGAATTT GCAGGAAGAACACACAAACAAT | $(\mathrm{GAT})_{4}$ | 60/72 | 270 | 270 |
| H3F08 | AAACACCCGTGATTCTCTAAAGTT TGACACCTAATTTTATTCGGTTTTT | $(\mathrm{TTA})_{34}$ | 58/65 | 246 | 246 |
| H3F09 | AGCATGTAGTAGGAGGCAAGTATG GTAGGTTCCCGCTACATTACTTTTA | (TTA) $4_{44}$ | 60/72 | 241 | 241 |
| H3G031 | CCCAAGAACTTGGAAAAATATG | $\begin{aligned} & (G A)_{3} A A(G A A)_{5}(G A A A)_{3} 25 \mathrm{bp}(G A)_{5} \\ & 50 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{TTA})_{31}\left[\mathrm{TTTAA}(\mathrm{TAA})_{3}\right]_{2} \mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{TA})_{4} \end{aligned}$ | 60/72 | 201 | 201 |

气

$$
\stackrel{\stackrel{\infty}{4}}{\frac{\infty}{2}} \frac{\infty}{\approx}
$$

$$
\text { t. } \stackrel{\sim}{\square} \quad \stackrel{\infty}{n}
$$




$\underset{T(T A)_{4}}{(\mathrm{GA})_{5}} 50 \mathrm{bp}(T T A)_{31}\left[\text { TTTAA }(T A A)_{3}\right]_{2}$
$(\mathrm{TA})_{8}(\mathrm{TAA})_{19}$
$(\mathrm{GA})_{10}$

$\left.{ }_{(G A)}\right)_{8} 235 \mathrm{bp}$ (CAAA) 25 bp (TC)
$\left.\underset{25}{(\mathrm{GA})_{8}} 235 \mathrm{bp}(T T C)_{3}(T C)_{4}\right) 25 \mathrm{bp}(T C)_{11}$
$(\mathrm{TTA})_{33} 27 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{TA})_{9} 9 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{TAA})_{3}$
(TAA) ${ }_{16}$
E

$\underset{228 \mathrm{bp}(T C)_{10} 44 \mathrm{bp}(T T C)_{3}}{(\mathrm{TTTA})_{21} 8 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{TTGAA})_{3}(\mathrm{TTC})_{4}}$

$(T T A)_{17}$
$(T T A))_{32}$
(TTC) ${ }_{4}$

(ATC) $426 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{GAA})_{4}$ $(\mathrm{ATC})_{4} 226 \mathrm{bp}(G A A)_{4}$ $(\mathrm{GAT})_{4}$ $(\mathrm{TTA})_{29} \mathrm{TTT}(\mathrm{TTA})_{16}(\mathrm{CTA})_{3} \mathrm{CTG}(\mathrm{CTA})$
$2(\mathrm{TTA})_{8} \mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{TTA})_{11} \mathrm{TTTT}(\mathrm{TTA})_{4} \mathrm{TTT}(\mathrm{TTA})_{3}$ ${ }_{(T A)}^{7} 14 \mathrm{bp}(T A)_{4}(A T)_{4}(G T)_{3} 70 \mathrm{bp}$
$(\mathrm{TA})_{4} \mathrm{AAT}^{(\mathrm{TA})}{ }_{9} 37 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{TA})_{8}(\mathrm{CA})_{8}$
$\left.\underset{(T A)_{4} A A T}{(T A)} 14\right)_{9}(T A)_{4} 37 b p(T A)_{8}(C A)_{8}$ ®
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Table 1 (Contd.)

| Primer pair name | Primer pairs ( $5^{\prime}-3^{\prime}$ ) | SSR loci ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Annealing/ elongation Tem ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Fragment size ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (bp) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Expected | Observed ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| H4D02 | CAAATCCCTTTTATTTTTCCTTCATA | $\begin{aligned} & (\mathrm{TAA})_{5} \text { CAA }(\mathrm{TAA})_{4} \\ & \text { TAG }(\mathrm{TAA})_{5} \text { TAG }(\mathrm{TAA})_{43} \end{aligned}$ | 58/65 | 288 | 288 |
| H4D07 | CTCCCTAAGTAGAACTCACCAATTGTA AGAGTGAGTTTTGCGAAGTCTG CCACTGATTCTCCGTAGGTAAA | $(\mathrm{GA})_{9}$ | 60/72 | 205 | 200/205/213 |
| H4D08 | TGTCCTTTATTTCTTAAGCACACAT GAGATGGATGTTATTGGACTCATC | $(\mathrm{TAA})_{4} 9 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{TAA})_{25}$ | 58/65 | 189 | 189 |
| H4D11 | TCTTATGCACACATTTATTCTGAAATC CATCTGTTAAAATATGGTTGCCTATAA | $(\mathrm{TAA})_{48} 14 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{TAA})_{3}$ | 58/65 | 276 | 141/173/276 |
| H4D12 | GTGGCAGCCATAATAATCAATGT TTTGTTTCATATTTCTTGTTTCGTT | (TAA) ${ }_{23}$ | 57/60 | 200 | 200 |
| H4E04 | ACTTTATGATGTAAAATATGCATGGTC TCTTTTGTTTTACTAATGCTCATGTCT | $(\mathrm{TTA})_{56}(\mathrm{TTTA})_{3}$ | 58/65 | 346 | 346 |
| H4E09 | TGCTATTTGTACTAGGACTTAAGGAAA TGTTTAAAGTACCCATTAAAAACGTAA | (TTA) 35 | 58/65 | 253 | 253 |
| H4F01 | ATTCACTTTGCTTTGGTGTGAT <br> ATTGAGCATTGACAACAAAAGG | (TC) ${ }_{9}$ | 60/72 | 217 | 206/217 |
| H4F02 | ATAAATAGCTAATGGCCTATTGTGTTC TCTTTTGCACAAGTAAAATAATGATAA | (TTA) ${ }_{35}$ | 58/65 | 181 | 151/181 |
| H4F03 | ССТTTAACAAACAAAACAAACTTCC GGTCGTCTGTAACATCCTATATCAA | $(\mathrm{TAA})_{25}$ | 58/65 | 284 | 284 |
| H4F07 | AACGCCTGCATTTTATTTTTGT GGATTCTATTCAAAGCCCAATC | $(\mathrm{GAT})_{5}$ | 60/72 | 170 | 170 |
| H4F09 | TCATCGACTGTATTGAGGAAAAA GCACCTTCAGTTTGAATTGTGT | (TC) ${ }_{11}$ | 60/72 | 266 | 266 |
| H4G01 | ATTGACTTTATGTTGTGATTTTCTTCA TATCATGCATATTTTCAACTTGTCCTA | $(\mathrm{TTA})_{29} \mathrm{TTG}_{(\mathrm{TTA})}^{19}$ | 58/65 | 255 | 255 |
| H4G02 | AAATAACTCATTACGTACCCCGAAC | $(\mathrm{ATC})_{7}(\mathrm{AAC})_{5} \mathrm{~A}(\mathrm{CAA})_{5}$ <br> $(\mathrm{CACAA})_{2} \mathrm{CAAT}(\mathrm{CAA})_{4} 6 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{CAA})_{3}$ | 60/72 | 188 | 188 |
| H4G04 | TGCATAAAATTAATTCAACAACCA GTTTGAATGTGTGGCATTTGATA | $(\mathrm{CA})_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{CA})_{3}$ | 60/72 | 200 | 94/105/153 |
| H4G05 | TGCTAAACTATCTTCTGACCTTTTTG AAAAATGCTATTACTGGATAAACACAA | $(\mathrm{TAA})_{17}(\mathrm{CAA})_{3}$ | 57/60 | 202 | 202 |
| H4G07 | ATTAGAGGCAAACAAGAACTTGAAAC TGACACCTAATTTTATTCGGTTTTTAT | $(\mathrm{TAA})_{33}$ | 57/60 | 265 | 197/265 |
| H4G08 | AAATGAAAAATGGGGTTAGGAA CGTTCTTTGACTTGAAGGATTT | $(\mathrm{GAT})_{6}$ | 58/65 | 239 | 239 |
| H4G09 | GGGGATGAGTGAAATTTTTGAG TTTCAACTTCAGTGCAAACTCAT | $(\mathrm{TTG})_{3} \mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{GTT})_{6}$ | 60/72 | 176 | 152/162 |
| H4G10 | CACAAATCAGTATACAACACATCACTC | $(\mathrm{CTA})_{2}(\mathrm{TTA})_{29} \mathrm{ATA}(\mathrm{TTA})_{2}$ TCA (TTA) ${ }_{9}\left[\text { TCA }(\mathrm{TTA})_{2}\right]_{2}$ TCA 25 bp $(\mathrm{TA})_{5} 9 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{TAA})_{3}$ | 58/65 | 279 | 167 |
| H4G11 | ATCTAAGTGAGCGGCTACTAAATCA GTAGTCATGCAGCCTATAAAAACAA | (TAA) ${ }_{18}$ | 58/65 | 195 | 195 |
| H4H01 | TAGTATTTTTCTTTCATTTCCTTCGTT TTGCTTTAATTCATTATGGTATATTTATG | $(\mathrm{TTA})_{43} \mathrm{CTA}(\mathrm{TTA})_{30}$ | 58/65 | 299 | 299 |

$$
(\mathrm{TAA})_{36}
$$

| $(\mathrm{TA})_{8} \mathrm{~A}(\mathrm{TA})_{2}(\mathrm{TAA})_{5} \mathrm{TAT}(\mathrm{TAA})_{8}$ | 60／72 | 174 | 174 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(\mathrm{CA})_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{CA})_{3}$ | 60／72 | 182 | 182 |
| （TTTA）${ }_{6}$ | 60／72 | 213 | 213 |
| $(\mathrm{TAA})_{16}(\mathrm{AT})_{3}$ | 60／72 | 235 | 204 |
| $\left.(\mathrm{TAA})_{29} \mathrm{GAA}^{(\mathrm{CAA}}\right)_{6}(\mathrm{TAA})_{17}(\mathrm{CAA})_{4}$ | 58／65 | 329 | 329 |
| $(\mathrm{GAT})_{3} 23 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{GAT})_{3} \mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{GAT})_{3}$ | 60／72 | 200 | 200 |
| $(\mathrm{ATC})_{7}$ | 58／65 | 212 | 204／212 |
| $(\mathrm{GAA})_{7}$ | 60／72 | 193 | 193 |
| （TAA）${ }_{16}$ | 58／65 | 201 | 201 （＋smear：163－173） |
| $(\mathrm{TTA})_{18} \mathrm{CCA}(\mathrm{TTA})_{8} \mathrm{TT}(\mathrm{TTA})_{3}$ | 58／65 | 218 | 218 |
| $(\mathrm{TAA})_{11}$ ATAAAA $(\mathrm{TAA})_{25}$ | 57／60 | 211 | 211 |
| $(\mathrm{TC})_{6} \mathrm{TT}(\mathrm{TC})_{5}$ | 60／72 | 266 | 266 |
| $(\mathrm{TTA})_{50}$ | 58／65 | 267 | 123 |
| $(G A)_{7} 280 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{CAA})_{5}$ | 60／72 | 185 | 185 |
| $(\mathrm{GA})_{7} 280 \mathrm{bp}(\text { CAA })_{5}$ | 60／72 | 199 | 199 |
| $(\mathrm{CAT})_{6}$ | 60／72 | 142 | 142 |
| $(\mathrm{CAA})_{5}$ | 57／60 | 183 | 183 |
| $(\mathrm{GA})_{4} \mathrm{GG}(\mathrm{GA})_{4}$ | 60／72 | 192 | 192 |
| $(\mathrm{GA})_{5}$ | 58.65 | 178 | 178 |
| （TTA）${ }_{34}$ | 58／65 | 218 | 218 |
| $(T T A)_{5} 238 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{GAT})_{6} 22 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{TTG})_{4}$ | 60／72 | 173 | 173 |
| $(T T A)_{31} 167 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{TTA})_{4} \mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{TTA})_{4} 18 \mathrm{bp}$ $(\mathrm{TTA})_{9} \mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{TTA})_{2}(\mathrm{AT})_{3}$ TAT $(\mathrm{TTA})_{2}$ TAT $(\mathrm{TTA})_{7}$ | 58／65 | 234 | 234 |
| $(\mathrm{ATC})_{5}$ | 60／72 | 198 | 190／198 |
| $(\mathrm{TC})_{4} 7 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{TCA})_{8}$ | 60／72 | 192 | 192 |
| $(\mathrm{TAA})_{36}$ | 57／60 | 201 | 104／201 |

H4H02会 $\stackrel{\circ}{\text { 운 }}$ H4H07 H4H08

焉壱 $\stackrel{ \pm}{3}$会 H5B04 H5B06 H5B09 | $\bar{I}$ |
| :--- |
|  | H5C122 H5D02 H5E02会象需亏

荅
号 포
号
号
 45F11
H5F11
H5G01 H5G12


AATGATATCTTTTTAAAACCAGAAGG
GAGAAATTTTATTTGTGGGGATG
荡

0
4
4
0
0
2
2
0
4
0
0
0
0
3
CAAATTTTGTAATTTGGTAAGTAGC
GAAAATATATTAAAAAATACAATTGGACGA CGTGACAACATCTTCCACTTATG
GGTGATGTGAAAAATTGGTGATGA
ACCACTAAGCAATGTCTTCCTTC CGTGACAACATCTTCCACTTATG
GGTGATGTGAAAAATTGGTGATGA
ACCACTAAGCAATGTCTTCCTTC 0
4
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
4
4
0
0
0
0
4
4
4〇LVOVVVOVVLOLLDOLLDVLOVDLLS CTIGAGThGitgctictac
Table 1 (Contd.)

| Primer pair name | Primer pairs ( $5^{\prime}-3^{\prime}$ ) | SSR loci ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Annealing/ elongation Tem ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ | Fragment size ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (bp) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Expected | Observed ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| H5H02 | CATATTGTTGTACTGTCCTTTTGAG | $\begin{aligned} & (\mathrm{TTG})_{3} \operatorname{TTT}(\mathrm{TTG})_{5} \operatorname{TTT}(\mathrm{TTG})_{2} \\ & \text { TTT }(\mathrm{TTC})_{3} \end{aligned}$ | 60/72 | 148 | 148/190/197 |
| H5H032 | TATAATAAATACCTTCGGGGTTGAA AATACCCCAATTTTGTCTGATACAT TGAAGCAAACCGAATAAAAGTTATC | $(\mathrm{TC})_{32}(\mathrm{TA})_{12} 241 \mathrm{bp}(T A)_{13}$ | 58/65 | 213 | 213 |
| H5H06 | ACTTATTTTGCTCAACATCAAGACAC CACCGAAAATAATTGATTTAAGTAACA | (TTA) ${ }_{3}$ | 58/65 | 221 | 187/196/208/221 |
| H5H12 | TTGTTACAATGCATATTTTTTTAGC | $(\mathrm{GA})_{7} \mathrm{GC}(\mathrm{GA})_{4}$ AAGG (GA) ${ }_{4} \mathrm{CA}$ $(\mathrm{GA})_{9} \mathrm{GG}(\mathrm{GA})_{5} \mathrm{GG}(\mathrm{GA})_{7}$ | 60/72 | 183 | 183 |
| H6A03 | GGGAGCTTAATTATTTCTTCATTACA ATGAATTCACTCAAAGACACAGATT | $(\mathrm{ATC})_{3} 27 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{TC})_{4}$ | 60/72 | 181 | 181 |
| H6B11 | agCTCTCCATATCTGAGGCTTT TCACTGTATCGGAGTTCTACTGC | $(\mathrm{TTC}){ }_{6}$ | 60/72 | 193 | 170/193/202 |
| H6B12 | TTTCTCACCTCGTTGGTATATGA CGTTTGATTGATGATAGTGATGC | $(\mathrm{ATC})_{4}$ | 60/72 | 183 | 183 |
| H6C06 | ACAATGCAATACTAATATGCAAAATTC AACCAGATTAAATAGGTGATTTTATGA | $(\mathrm{TAA})_{3}$ TGTA $(\mathrm{TAA})_{33}$ | 60/72 | 226 | 184 |
| H6C07 | CAAAAGTGCAATTAAGCCTACATAATA | $\begin{aligned} & (\mathrm{GAT})_{3}(\mathrm{TAA})_{17} \mathrm{TCA}(\mathrm{TAA})_{2} \text { TCA } \\ & (\mathrm{TAA})_{13} \mathrm{TCA}(\mathrm{TAA})_{5} \end{aligned}$ | 58/65 | 201 | 201 |
| H6C09 | CCATTTTGTTTTAACACATATTTAACG TATGTGCGGTGAGACACTTATTT TTTGAGAAAGAGAGTGGAGGAAC | $(\mathrm{GTT})_{3}$ | 60/72 | 186 | 186 |
| H6C11 | TTGGGTTATCCAAAGAATAAAATATAA TTATATGGACTATGTATGTCAATTAAATC | $(\mathrm{TAA})_{23}(\mathrm{CAA})_{2}(\mathrm{TAA})_{2}$ | 58/65 | 188 | 188/191/212/218 |
| H6D02 | CTTCCGAATATGGACTTGGTTT <br> CATAAATCTAAGTTACGGGTCTGGT | $(\mathrm{TA})_{7} \mathrm{AC}(\mathrm{TA})_{7}$ | 58/65 | 185 | 170/185 |
| H6D05 | TCTTTATCAAATCATTCAACTTACGA | $(\mathrm{GA})_{4} \mathrm{AC}(\mathrm{GA})_{3} \mathrm{GG}(\mathrm{GA})_{5}$ $\mathrm{TA}(\mathrm{GA})_{3}\left[\mathrm{CA}(\mathrm{GA})_{3}\right]_{3}$ | 60/72 | 210 | 210 |
| H6D11 | AAAGATGGGAACTTGAGATGTTG AATAGCTACTCAAGGCTGAAGAAA | $(\mathrm{TAA})_{11}$ | 58/65 | 200 | 278 |
| H6E07 | GTTTAAATGGGTCTAAACAGTCGATTT GTGTGTGCATGCATATGTGTATAAT | (TTA) $4_{1}$ | 58/65 | 240 | 240 |
| H6F01 | AAAGCAGAATGTCCATCAAATAAA TTGTTGACATAAATGACATGGTTC | $(\mathrm{GAA})_{10}$ | 60/72 | 204 | 192 |
| H6F09 | GCTGATTCAGAGTATGAGAAATTCA AGAAATGTGATTCCAACAATTCAA | $(\mathrm{TC})_{8}(\mathrm{TA})_{8}(\mathrm{TG})_{9}$ | 60/72 | 222 | 222 |
| H6F10 | TCATCACAAATTTATAACATCACTGTC TTTGATTAGATGAGTTTACGTGAGG | $(\mathrm{ATC})_{4} 46 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{ATC})_{4} 45 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{ATC})_{4}$ | 60/72 | 232 | 232/245 |
| H6G01 | ATTGAAGAAGGAACTAAACAACTATCG | $(\mathrm{TAA})_{10} \mathrm{CAA}(\mathrm{TAA})_{14}\left[\mathrm{TTA}(\mathrm{TAA})_{3}\right]_{2}$ TTA $(\text { TAA })_{6}$ TAT (TAA) $14(\mathrm{CT})_{2}$ $(\mathrm{CA})_{2}(\mathrm{CT})_{2}$ | 60/72 | 321 | 168 |
| H6G06 | AAACCTATCAGCCCTAATTCAAA GACGAAGAATAAGAACCCAGATG | $(\mathrm{ATC})_{4}$ | 60/72 | 193 | 193 |
| H6G07 | TCTATCAGAGATATTAAGTTGAACG | $(\mathrm{TAA})_{23}$ | 60/72 | 189 | 189 |


| H6G10 | AAGACCTTCAATGGTAAAATTCG | $(\mathrm{GA})_{12}$ | 60/72 | 200 | 200 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AGAGGATAAATCACCCATTTTGA |  |  |  |  |
| H6H04 | TTCAACCCTCAATTCTCTTTGAT | (TC) ${ }_{4}$ TTCCC (TC) $45 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{CAT})_{4}$ CACC (CAA) $39 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{TC})_{4} 23 \mathrm{bp}$ (TC) $4_{4} 10 \mathrm{bp}$ (TC) 4 | 60/72 | 241 | 241 |
|  | GAGACCTCAATTGGGTACAAGAG |  |  |  |  |
| H6H111 | AGTCATCAGAAAGAAAAGGCAAG | $(G A T)_{5} 99 \mathrm{bp}(\mathrm{GA})_{4}$ | 60/72 | 182 | 182 |
|  | TGTCAAAAGAAGGAAACATTCCA |  |  |  |  |
| H6H112 | TCTCACTTTCTTGTGTTTTCCAG | $(\mathrm{GAT})_{5} 99 \mathrm{bp}(G A)_{4}$ | 60/72 | 171 | 171 |
|  | TTACCCTAATAGATGGGTGTGGA |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ When more than one SSR was detected for a given locus, the SSR flanked by the specified primer pair is shown in italics ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Based on data of genomic DNA from the kabuli chickpea cv. Hadas |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Determined on $4.25 \%$ polyacrylamide gels, using the ABI GeneScan histogram |  | A Sequencer system. Amplicon size | ished | the | licon |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |



Fig. 2 Amplification products of the primer pairs H1H15, H4G07, and H 5 H 06 , using genomic DNA of cv. Hadas as template. The amplicons are depicted as blue-lined peaks in the histogram of readings of fluorescently labeled dUTP detected with the ABI PRISM 377-XL DNA Sequencer and analyzed with the GeneScan software. Red lines correspond to the internal size standard
independently among the recombinant inbred lines generating two and three markers, respectively.

## Discussion

We constructed a BAC library and a BIBAC library from chickpea, C. arietinum. The two libraries contain a total of 38,016 clones and are equivalent to ca $7.0 \times$ genomes of chickpea, providing a greater than $99.9 \%$ probability of obtaining a single-copy sequence from the libraries. These two new libraries along with the recently published BIBAC library that has 23,780 clones equivalent to $3.8 \times$ genomes of chickpea (Rajesh et al. 2004) total 61,796 clones, equivalent to $10.8 \times$ genomes. This number of genome equivalents should be sufficient for various aspects of chickpea genomics research. Since the three libraries were developed from two different restriction enzymes with complementary recognition sites in which HindIII is AT-rich and BamHI is GC-rich, it is expected that the genome coverage of these libraries would be more representative of the chickpea genome than the coverage based on a library constructed with a single enzyme. This is especially critical in the development of physical maps using the libraries (Chang et al.

2001; Tao et al. 2001; Ren et al. 2003, 2004; Wu et al. 2004a, c, d; Xu et al. 2004). Moreover, since different chickpea genotypes were employed for the construction of the libraries (Rajesh et al. (2004) used cv. FLIP 8492 C vs cv. Hadas used in the present study), the new BAC and BIBAC libraries represent different set of alleles that might be useful for future gene discovery studies. Additionally, the libraries were prepared using two different vectors, the F plasmid-based pIndigoBAC5 that was used in the chickpea BAC library and the P2 plasmid-based pCLD04541 that was used in the BIBAC libraries developed in the present study and by Rajesh et al. (2004). The use of two different vector systems further enhances the true genome coverage of the libraries (Ren et al. 2004; Wu et al. 2004b). Finally, the transformability of the BIBAC libraries via Agrobacterium in plants would further enhance the utility of the libraries for chickpea genome research, especially positional cloning and functional analysis of the chickpea genome. It has been reported in several species that DNA fragments of larger than 100 kb could be transformed into plants via BIBACs by use of the Agrobac-terium-mediated transformation (Hamilton et al. 1996, 1999; Liu et al. 1999, 2002; He et al. 2003). Application of the chickpea transformation protocols (Kar et al. 1996; Krishnamurthy et al. 2000) in combination with these plant-transformation ready BIBACs may allow the transformation of large regions containing desirable traits into cultivated chickpea lines for genetic improvement.

In the present study, we generated and characterized 233 new SSR markers for chickpea. These SSR markers significantly increase the available arsenal of 174 SSR markers previously reported by Winter et al. (1999). For 27 of the markers (11.6\%), discrepancies were observed between the expected locus and the PCR products in terms of amplicon size and/or amplicon number. Presumably, the discrepancies in fragment size might be a result of artificial deletions or insertions during the DNA duplication in the host bacterium often observed due to the repetitive nature of the loci, but further studies will be needed to answer this question. Röder et al. (1998) found that the primer pairs that amplified fragments with unexpected sizes were non-functional since they were usually monomorphic. We tested the primer pairs, using two C. arietinum cultivars, Hadas and ICC5810; 39\% of them resulted in clear polymorphic patterns (data not shown). Eleven percent of the fragments whose sizes deviated from the expected (Table 1) were found to be polymorphic among the chickpea lines, but about three to four times less than the general estimate of $39 \%$. Of the primer pairs that produced more than one amplicon, $40 \%$ were found to be polymorphic among the lines. The SSR markers developed herein have resulted in useful tools for chickpea molecular genetic map construction and gene mapping (J. Lichtenzveig et al., in preparation). The SSR markers reported here were generated from a large-insert BAC library, whereas those of Winter et al. (1999) from a
small-insert DNA library. The development of SSR markers from large-insert BACs has added several advantages to the markers (see "Introduction").

Analysis of the SSR motifs $(\mathrm{GA})_{10},(\mathrm{TA})_{10},(\mathrm{GAA})_{7}$, $(\mathrm{TAA})_{7},(\mathrm{CAA})_{7}$, and $(\mathrm{TGA})_{7}$ (prefixed with H3-, H4-, H5-, or H6- in Table 1) showed that the SSR abundances were significantly different among different motifs in the chickpea genome, with the (TAA) $)_{n}$ and (GA) $n_{n}$ being the most abundant and the (TGA) $n_{n}$ being extremely rare. The percentage ( $50.6 \%$ ) of the SSR loci containing perfect repeats is much lower than that identified from a small-insert DNA library by using $(\mathrm{TAA})_{n},(\mathrm{GA})_{n}$, and $(\mathrm{GAA})_{n}$ as probes (Winter et al. 1999). This discrepancy may be due to the different SSR oligo probe combinations, the source libraries, and/or preferential selection of strongly hybridizing clones for SSR isolation. The high percentage (49.4\%) of the SSR loci containing interrupted and compound repeat motifs within a genomic span of $1,500 \mathrm{bp}$ (the longest sequence) might suggest that the SSR loci tend to cluster in the genome. The clustering distribution of SSR loci was previously observed by genetic mapping of SSRs (Winter et al. 1999; J. Lichtenzveig et al., in preparation).
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