



## **LEAFLET 8**

# Range of cultivated and wild host plants of the main mango fruit fly species in Benin



## Background

Mango production losses in Benin in 2005 and 2006 due to attack by fruit flies (Diptera Tephritidae, classified as quarantine pests), exceeded 50% by the middle of the crop season. Fruits of other cultivated and wild host plants (Photo 1) harbor larvae of Tephritidae all year round as reservoir hosts (Vayssières et al., 2005; Mwatawala et al., 2006; Rwomushana et al., 2008). Such cultivated and wild fruit plant hosts are often found near to our studied orchards. Knowing about these other fruit plants is vital for integrated control methods to be effective (see Leaflet No. 6). Indeed, if these fruit plant hosts are not included in the programme, the control measures in the orchards will merely be partial.

## Main objectives

- 1. <u>Provide all people involved in the fruit production sector</u> with identification keys for the different hosts of fruit flies.
- 2. Highlight the importance of other host plants (especially wild) of mango fruit flies.
- 3. <u>Gain knowledge of the parasitoid species</u> (= natural enemies) that are present and also their parasitism rate.

## DEFINITIONS

\_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_

We can classify host plants of Tephritidae from high to low importance based on both ecological (abundance of fruit hosts, number of pupae per kg of fresh fruits, rate of larval survival, etc.) and economic (impact on fruit production and export, etc) characteristics.

But the varying status of fruit plant species can be evaluated according to agro-ecological zone. In Benin, this variability is obvious between northern (Table I) and southern (Table II) parts of the country. This classification is relative.

- A primary fruit-host will be the most abundant, leading to the greatest fruit fly damage, and will favor the development of high fruit fly populations (equivalent symbol = ++++).
- A secondary fruit-host will be abundant, causing significant fruit fly damage, and will favor the development of significant populations of fruit flies (equivalent symbol = +++).
- A tertiary fruit-host will be relatively rare, resulting in low fruit fly populations (equivalent symbol = ++) and low levels of associated damage.
- An accidental fruit-host will be rare and cause only sporadic fruit fly damage while causing the lowest populations of fruit flies to develop (equivalent symbol = +).

# **MATERIAL AND METHODS: Fruit sampling**

- Sampled fruits are brought to the laboratory in paper bags or, if possible, in flexible containers covered with fine net or mesh (Photo 2).
- Sample 10 to 20 fruits per batch depending on the fruit size (Photo 2).



# **Regional Fruit Fly Control Project in West Africa (WAFFI)**





**Photo 2**: Collected fruits are separated for transport to the laboratory



**Photo 3**: Each fruit sample is weighed separately in the laboratory

#### > Laboratory monitoring of sampled fruits

- Fruit batches are numbered and weighed (Photo 3) with individual sheets for each sample
- Each sheet should indicate the collection site, date, number of sampled fruits, weight, etc

• The different batches are then placed in flexible containers covered with netting and a bottom layer of sand (Photo 4). They are placed on shelves (Photo 5) and protected against ants.

• The sand is sieved every four days and pupae are collected with flexible tweezers, placed with a sequence number into small hatchery boxes (Photo 6) and incubated in the dark.

• Number of pupae is recorded on the sheet and the fly species identified as adults emerge from the pupae

• Fruit sample batches are incubated for at least six weeks. Before being discarded, fruits should be dissected to check for any pupae left inside the dry fruits



Photo 4: Boxes for fruit monitoring

Photo 5: Different fruit batches

Photo 6: Pupae collection per batch

#### > Calculation of fruit infestation rate and fruit fly parasitism

 $\Rightarrow$  <u>Infestation rate</u> (**Ir**) of sampled fruits = total number of pupae adjusted for sample weight. The infestation rate should be evaluated first for each sample, then for all samples and finally for each fruit plant species.

=> <u>Parasitism rate</u> (**Pr**) = **Pr** = [100\***p**] / [**n** + **o** + **p**] (WAFFI, IITA-CIRAD project) with **p** = total number of parasitoids in the sample,

 $\mathbf{n}$  = total number of *Ceratitis*, and  $\mathbf{o}$  = total number of *Bactrocera*.

# **Regional Fruit Fly Control Project in West Africa (WAFFI)**

Tal	ble I HOST PLANT	RANG	E OF FR	UIT FLIES FRO	OM SUDANIA	AN AREA (sen	su lato) OF BE	NIN		
C : cultivated W : wild E : exotic A : african				Principal mango fruit fly species						
Family / species	Local name	Mode	Origin	B. invadens	C. cosyra	C. quinaria	C. silvestrii	C. fasciventris	C. capitata	
Anacardiaceae										
Anacardium occidentale	Cashew nut	С	Е	++	+++	+++	+++			
Mangifera indica	Mango	С	Е	++++	++++	+++	+++	++	+	
Sclerocarya birrea (Ph. 7)	Marula plum	w	А	++	++++					
Spondias mombin	Tropical plum	С	Е	+++	+			+		
Anonaceae										
Annona muricata	Sour sop	С	Е	++	+			++		
Annona senegalensis (Ph. 8)	Wild custard apple	w	А	+	++++			+		
Caesalpiniaceae										
Cordyla pinnata	Cayor pear tree	w	А	+	++++					
Irvingiaceae										
Irvingia gabonensis (Ph. 9)	African wild mango	с	А	+++						
Loganiaceae										
Strychnos spinosa	Monkey ball tree	w	А		+			+++		
Myrtaceae										
Psidium guajava	Common guava	С	Е	++++	++			+++	+	
Oxalidaceae										
Averrhoa carambola	Starfruit	С	Е	+						
Rubiaceae										
Sarcocephalus latifolius	African peach	W	А	+	++++					
Rutaceae										
Citrus reticulata	Tangerine	С	Е	+				+		
Citrus sinensis	Sweet orange	С	Е	++				+		
Citrus x paradisi	Grapefruit	С	Е	+						
Sapotaceae										
Chrysophyllum albidum	African star-apple	С	А	+++				+		
Vitellaria paradoxa (Ph. 10)	Sheanut	w	А	++++	++	++++	++++	+		
Solanaceae										
Capsicum frutescens	Chili	С	Е	+					++++	
Lycopersicum esculentum	Tomato	С	Е	+						



Photo 7: S. birrea



**Photo 8**: *A. senegalensis* 



Photo 9: I. gabonensis



Photo 10 : V. paradoxa

#### RESULTS

- In the Sudanian zone (Table I), <u>B. invadens has three main primary hosts</u> (mango, guava and shea), three main secondary hosts (S. mombin, I. gabonensis and C. albidum) and four main tertiary hosts (A. occidentale, S. birrea, A. muricata and C. sinensis).
- In this Sudanian zone (Table I), <u>C. cosyra has five main primary hosts</u> (M. indica, S. birrea, A. senegalensis, C. pinnata and S. latifolius), one main secondary host (A. occidentale) and two main tertiary hosts (P. guajava and V. paradoxa).
- <u>Parasitoids belonging to six species of Braconidae have been recorded since 2005 emerging from</u> <u>C. cosyra</u>. These are: *Fopius caudatus* (Szépligeti), *Fopius* cf. silvestrii, *Psyttalia cosyrae* (Wilkinson), *Psytallia concolor* (Szépligeti), *Psyttalia* spp., *Diachasmimorpha fullawayi* (Silvestri), one specie of Eulophidae *Tetrastichus giffardianus* Silvestri and a specie of Pteromalidae *Pachycrepoîdeus vindemniae* (Rondani). These are all African species with a low rate of global parasitism on mango fruit flies.

# **Regional Fruit Fly Control Project in West Africa (WAFFI)**

	cultivated W : wild E : exotic A : african				Principal mango fruit fly species					
Family / species	Local name	Mode	Origin	B. invadens	C. cosyra	C. quinaria	C. silvestrii	C. fasciventris	C. capit	
Anacardiaceae Mangifera indica Anonaceae	Mango	с	Е	++++	+			++		
Annona muricata (Ph. 11)	Sour sop	с	E	+++				++		
Caricaceae Carica papaya Combretaceae	Рарауа	с	С	+++						
Terminalia catappa	Tropical almond	С	Е	++++						
Ebenaceae Diospyros montana	Mountain persimmon	с	E	+++				+		
Irvingia gabonensis Lauraceae	African wild mango	С	А	++++						
Persea americana	Avocado	С	Е	+				+		
Musaceae <i>Musa</i> sp Myrtaceae	Banana	С	Е	+						
Psidium guajava Sizygium malaccense Ovalidaceae	Common guava Malay apple	C C	E E	++++ +				++		
Averrhoa carambola	Starfruit	с	Е	++						
Rutaceae Citrus reticulata	Tangerine	с	Е	+++				+		
Citrus sinensis	Sweet orange	С	Е	+++				+	+	
Citrus x tangelo Sapotaceae	Tangelo	С	E	++++				+		
Chrysophyllum albidum Manilkara zapota	African star-apple Bully tree	C C	A A	+++				++ +		

# **RESULTS...**

• In the Guinean zone (Table II), <u>B. invadens has five main primary</u> hosts (M. indica, T. catapa, I. gabonensis, P. guajava, and Tangelo); six main secondary hosts (A. muricata, C. papaya, D. montana, C. reticulata, C. sinensis and C. albidum); and two main tertiary hosts (A. carambola and M. zapota).

• C. cosyra is infrequently found in this zone (Table II) because it is a species of the Sudano-Sahelian zone.

• For these Tephritidae species, the host plants vary depending on the country and agro-ecological zone. For instance, *Capparis* spp., are not included here because they are species of the Sahelian zone. Since this list is not intended to be exhaustive, only the main mango fruit fly hosts in Benin are included. An article on this topic will be completed soon with G. Goergen.



#### **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- Vayssières J.F., Goergen G., Lokossou O., Dossa P., Akponon C., 2005. A new Bactrocera species in Benin among mango fruit fly (Diptera: Tephritidae) species. Fruits, 60, 1-9.
- Mwatawala M.W., De Meyer M., Makundi R.H., Maerere A.P., 2006. Seasonality and host utilisation of the invasive fruit fly Bactrocera invadens in Central Tanzania. J. Appl. Entomol. 130, 530-537.
- Rwomushana I., Ekesi S., Gordon I., Ogol C., 2008. Host plants and host plant preference studies for Bactrocera invadens in Kenya, a new invasive fruit fly species in Africa. Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am. 101, 331-340.

Authors: Jean-François Vayssières\*, Antonio Sinzogan, Appolinaire Adandonon. \*CIRAD, UR HortSys, Montpellier, F-34398 France; IITA, Cotonou, Bénin. Layout: Alliance Tossou

For further information: Jean-François Vayssières. Tel: + 229 21 35 01 88 / e-mail: j.vayssieres@cgiar.org