Published on Feedipedia (http://www.feedipedia.org)

African baobab (Adansonia digitata)



Common names

African baobab, baobab, dead rat tree, monkey bread tree [English], calebassier du Sénégal, pain de singe [French], baobab, árbol botella, pan de mono [Spanish], baobá, baobás, embondeiros, imbondeiros, calabaceiras [Portuguese], ئادانسونيا [Arabic], बाओबाब [Hindi], 猴面包树 [Chinese], Bao báp châu Phi [Vietnamese], paparapulia, anaipuli, anaipuliya-maram [Tamil], mbuyu, mkuu hapingwa, mkuu hafungwa, muuyu [Swahili].

Products:

- Baobab leaves
- Baobab oil meal, baobab seed cake

Related feed(s)

Feed categories

- Forage trees
- Other forage plants
- Plant products and by-products

Species

Adansonia digitata L. [Bombacaceae]

Description

The African baobab (*Adansonia digitata* L.) is one of the eight species of baobab (*Adansonia*) and the only one native to mainland Africa. Like other baobabs, the African baobab is a massive deciduous fruit tree, up to 20-30 m high, with a lifespan of several hundred years. Its swollen and often hollow trunk looks like a huge bottle and can be as broad as 3-7 m in diameter. It bears short, stout and tortuous branches and has a thin canopy. Baobab is strongly anchored in the soil by an extensive and strong root system that grows two m deep and whose diameter may be higher than the tree height. The leaves are simple or digitally compound, dark-green on top, and borne at the end of a 16 cm-long petiole. The leaflets are between 5-15 cm long and 1.5-7 cm broad. Baobab shed its leaves during the early dry season and new leaves appear after flowering. The pentamerous flowers are white, large (20 cm in diameter and 25 cm long), and hang from stalks on pedicel s up to 90 cm long. The fruit is a voluminous (35 cm long and 17 cm in diameter) ovoid capsule with a hard woody envelope containing a pulp and black seeds. Once ripe, the fruit envelope becomes brittle and the pulp takes on

a chalky consistency. The tree starts producing fruits 8-10 years after planting but consistent production only occurs after 30 years (<u>Ecocrop, 2011</u>; <u>FAO, 2011</u>; <u>Orwa et al., 2009</u>; <u>Bosch et al., 2004</u>; <u>Jansen et al., 1991</u>).

The baobab is mainly used for food. The fruits, flowers, leaves, shoots, roots of seedlings and even the tree roots are edible. The leaves can be used either fresh, as a cooked vegetable, or dried and powdered as a functional ingredient (thickener) of soups and sauces. The flowers, shoots and roots of seedlings are eaten (Bosch et al., 2004). The fruits, called monkey-bread, contain a white, mealy, acidic tasting nutritious flesh that can be eaten as a sweet, used to make refreshing drinks and ice-creams, or used to adulterate and curdle milk. The seeds yield an edible and pleasant tasting oil, and oil extraction results in an oil meal. The bark is used for fibre or as firewood. The roots, that are boiled and eaten in times of famine, contain tannins that provide a useful red dye (Orwa et al., 2009). In the Sahel, black bark and red bark baobabs are preferred for their fruits, while dark leaf types are mainly used as a leaf vegetable and grey bark types are used for fibre (Bosch et al., 2004). Burning baobab fruit pulp produces an acrid smoke used to deter insects troublesome to livestock (Orwa et al., 2009).

Baobab trees provide fodder for animals: young leaves, fruits, seeds and the oil meal are consumed by livestock (<u>Bosch et al., 2004</u>). During drought, donkeys and game animals chew the bark and the fibrous wood for sap. Livestock and game often destroy young trees. Elephants can badly damage baobabs when they rub themselves against the trunk (<u>FAO, 2011</u>; <u>Orwa et al., 2009</u>).

Distribution

The origin of the baobab is still debated. They may have originated from the savannas and savanna woodlands of Sub-Saharan Africa, or they may have occured first in Madagascar (which has six endemic *Adansonia* species), from where they would have spread to continental Africa and Australia (Wickens et al., 2008; Watson, 2007). Baobab was introduced in many tropical and subtropical regions: central African countries, many Asian countries (e.g. India, Sri Lanka, Indonesia and the Philippines), the Middle East and the West Indies. The African baobab is found from sea level up to 1500 m in regions with a dry season lasting about 4-10 months split into 1 or 2 periods. Baobabs often grow close to villages (Orwa et al., 2009; ICUC, 2002; Bosch et al., 2004; Ecocrop, 2011).

Optimal growth conditions are average day temperatures ranging from 19°C to 35°C, annual rainfall between 300–500 mm and fertile, slightly acidic, sandy topsoil overlaying loamy subsoil. However, the African baobab may withstand much lower and more irregular rainfall conditions (90-1500 mm) and grow on poorly drained soils with a heavy texture, though not on deep sands probably due to the lack of anchorage (<u>Orwa et al., 2009</u>; <u>Bosch et al., 2004</u>). It cannot withstand seasonal flooding, waterlogging or severe frost, which may kill even mature trees (<u>Ecocrop. 2011</u>; <u>Bosch et al., 2004</u>).

Environmental impact

Soil improver

When the baobab sheds its leaves during the dry season, this enriches the soil by enhancing soil moisture and organic matter content. Decaying wood of baobab that has died of old age may be used as fertilizer. The ashes from shells, bark and seeds are rich in K and also useful fertilizers (Orwa et al., 2009).

Shade

The tree can be grown for shade (Ecocrop, 2011; ICUC, 2002).

Biodiversity

The hollow trunks of baobab are ideal nesting sites for birds such as rollers, hornbills, parrots and kestrels while their branches welcome eagles, vultures and storks nests (ICUC, 2002).

Water storage

Baobabs play a vital role in water storage in the drier areas: the hollowed trunk may be carved out in 3-4 days and may contain and hold up to 7 m3 water. The water can remain several years within the trunk if it is kept sealed (Orwa et al., 2009).

Fire and water stress resistance

Baobab is a pioneer species: it can withstand extreme drought and it is suitable on degraded lands where other species cannot survive (<u>ICUC</u>, <u>2002</u>). Its thick and fibrous bark is remarkably fire resistant, and the tree continues to live and regrows even if its interior is completely burnt out.

Nutritional attributes

Seeds

Seeds Of the DM of whole baobab seeds, about 13-18 % is crude protein, up to 26 % is crude fibre and 10-13 % is oil (Anjos, 2005; Feedipedia, 2013; Osman, 2004). Dehulled seeds are richer in protein (26-38 % DM), poorer in fibre (17 % DM) and richer in oil (23-24 % DM) (Igboeli et al., 1997; Belewu et al., 2008). Variations in seed composition can be attributed to soil, climate and variety (Osman, 2004). The seed protein contains about 5 % lysine, 1 % methionine and 1.5 % cystine (Osman, 2004). Seeds contain notable amounts of antinutritional factors (See **Potential constraints**).

Oil meal

The nutritive value of baobab oil meal depends on the process. The dry matter contains 17-36 % crude protein, 15-25 % crude fibre and 5-14 % residual oil (<u>Madzimure et al., 2011</u>; Feedipedia, 2013). The oil meal may contain antinutritional factors.

Leaves

Baobab leaves contain about 11 % DM with a crude protein of 5-17 %. (Feedipedia, 2013; Belewu et al., 2007). Fibre variation is large, ranging from 10 to 19 % DM (NDF 21-49 % DM, ADF 16-41 % DM and lignin [ADL] 6-20 % DM) I (Feedipedia, 2013). The leaves are rich in calcium (1-4 % DM; Feedipedia, 2013) but it is questionable to what extent it is available to monogastric animals as they also contain gums which may impede absorption (Göhl, 1982). Leaves are particularly rich in tannins(See Potential constraints).

Potential constraints

Seeds

Baobab seeds contains high concentrations of oxalates (10 %), phytate (2 %) and saponins (3-7 %) (Nkafamiya et al., 2007; Belewu et al., 2008). Trypsin inhibitors have also been found (5.7 TIU/mg; Osman, 2004) but can be reduced by 85 % by alkali treatment (Bosch et al., 2004). Whole seeds contain amylase inhibitors and tannins (Iboeli et al., 1997; Osman, 2004). No tannins or condensed tannins where found in the dehulled seed (Belewu et al., 2008). Roasting, hot water, hot alkali, and acid treatments significantly reduce the tannin content while roasting, dehulling, cold water, and hot alkali treatments also reduce amylase inhibitor activity (Igboeli et al., 1997). It is probable that some

of these antinutritional factors are also found in the oil meal.

Leaves

Baobab leaves contain large amounts of tannins. Reported tannin content (as tannic acid) is in the 2-12 % range (<u>AFZ, 2011</u>; <u>Fall Touré et al., 1998</u>; <u>Bosch et al., 2004</u>). Condensed tannins (as catechin) are in the 0.03-0.1 % range (<u>AFZ, 2011</u>).

Ruminants

Browse and leaves

In vitro DM and OM digestibilities of baobab browse were 54-58 % and 44-50 % respectively while in sacco DM and N degradability were 65 and 71 % respectively. This latter value makes baobab browse potentially useful as a source of protein supplementation during the dry season (<u>Fall Touré</u>, 1991). Browsing baobab had a notable effect on total diet *in vivo* digestibility and optimal DM digestibility was obtained with low levels of browse (30% or less) in the diet. This may result from the high tannin content, or may be due to the presence of an emollient which could cause transit acceleration in the ruminant digestive tract, as observed in human food containing baobab leaves commonly consumed in West Africa (<u>Fall Touré et al.</u>, 1998). In young goats, a mixed diet containing 40 % of baobab leaf meal maintained normal blood indices and kept all animals in a healthy condition. Dry matter intake was higher with baobab leaf meal than with leaf meals of *Blighia sapida*, *Etanda africana* and *Gliricidia sepium* (<u>Belewu et al.</u>, 2007).

Bark

Baobab bark was found to be poorly degradable and it was concluded that its use would require processing and/or nutrient supplementation with low-fibre feeds (<u>Aina et al., 2004</u>).

Oil meal

In dairy cows, baobab oil meal partially replacing soybean meal and included at 5 to 15 % in the diet decreased milk yield and butterfat content but increased milk protein and total solids contents. Overall, baobab oil meal has potential in dairy rations for replacing conventional and expensive protein sources, provided its content of antinutritional factors is reduced to safe levels through processing (Madzimure et al., 2011).

In sheep, baobab oil meal could be incorporated at up to 12.5 % in the diet, reducing the amount of soybean meal, even though it reduced DM and protein digestibility. Total substitution depressed daily weight gain (Belewu et al., 2009).

Seeds and pulp

It has been reported that seeds from which the lignified skin has been removed mixed with the pulp and often fed to goats and sheep (<u>Göhl</u>, 1982).

Poultry

Leaves

The high tannin content of baobab leaves prevents them from being used as a major ingredient in poultry diets. However, baobab leaf meal has been used with success as a source of pigments in diets

for laying hens. The yolk color increased with an inclusion rate of 1 % or 2 % baobab leaf meal, with no adverse effect of feed intake, egg production and egg quality (<u>Butswat et al.</u>, 1997).

Oil meal

Baobab oil meal has been tested in young guinea fowls. Feed intake and growth were not affected by baobab oil meal at a 5 % inclusion rate, but they decreased at 10 % and 15 %, with an average body weight reduction of 9 % at 6 weeks of age (Mwale et al., 2008).

Seeds

Scavenging chickens fed a diet containing 25 % raw baobab seeds and 75 % maize grain had a 60 % lower body weight gain and a higher mortality than the group fed with a commercial diet. It was concluded that antinutritional factors were probably the cause for these poor results and that heat treatment of the seeds is probably required (Anjos, 2005).

Other species

Apiculture

The baobab tree is a source of fine quality honey. Wild bees manage to perforate the soft wood and lodge their honey in the holes. In many parts of Africa, the hollow trunks are used for beekeeping (Orwa et al., 2009).

Tables of chemical composition and nutritional value

- Baobab (Adansonia digitata), fruit pulp and seeds
- Baobab (Adansonia digitata), fruits, fresh
- Baobab (Adansonia digitata), leaves, fresh
- Baobab (Adansonia digitata), seeds

Baobab (Adansonia digitata), fruit pulp and seeds

| Crude protein Crude fibre | Ether extract Ash Other | | | | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|------|----|-----|-----|----|
| Main analysis | Unit | Avg | SD | Min | Max | Nb |
| Crude protein | % DM | 11.2 | | | | 1 |
| Crude fibre | % DM | 26.4 | | | | 1 |
| Ether extract | % DM | 7.8 | | | | 1 |
| Ash | % DM | 4.6 | | | | 1 |
| Gross energy | MJ/kg DM | 19.9 | | | | * |
| Minerals | Unit | Avg | SD | Min | Max | Nb |
| <u>Calcium</u> | g/kg DM | 3.1 | | | | 1 |
| <u>Phosphorus</u> | g/kg DM | 5.4 | | | | 1 |
| Ruminant nutritive values | Unit | Avg | SD | Min | Max | Nb |
| OM digestibility, Ruminant | % | 55.0 | | | | 1 |
| ME ruminants (FAO, 1982) | MJ/kg DM | 9.3 | | | | 1 |

| Nitrogen digestibility, ruminants | % | 48.4 | | | 1 | |
|--|-----------|----------------|-----|-----|---------|---|
| Pig nutritive values Energy digestibility, growing pig | Unit % | Avg SD 48.7 | Min | Max | Nb * | |
| DE growing nig | MJ/kg DM | 9 7 | | | * | _ |

The asterisk * indicates that the average value was obtained by an equation.

References

French, 1944

Last updated on 24/10/2012 00:43:43

Baobab (Adansonia digitata), fruits, fresh

| Main analysis Unit Avg SD Min Max Nb Dry matter % as fed 27.8 14.0 10.0 55.0 13 Crude protein % DM 15.1 4.2 9.6 25.7 15 Crude fibre % DM 23.4 6.6 15.1 34.8 14 NDF % DM 44.8 1 2 2 1 1 | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------|------------------------|-------|-------|--------|-------|----|
| Dry matter % as fed 27.8 14.0 10.0 55.0 13 Crude protein % DM 15.1 4.2 9.6 25.7 15 Crude fibre % DM 23.4 6.6 15.1 34.8 14 NDF % DM 44.8 1 ADF % DM 34.7 1 Lignin % DM 12.1 1 Ether extract % DM 4.0 2.2 1.6 9.4 14 Ash % DM 11.7 6.3 4.1 30.1 14 Gross energy MJ/kg DM 17.9 * Minerals Unit Avg SD Min Max Nb Calcium g/kg DM 11.9 8.3 2.4 33.3 14 Phosphorus g/kg DM 3.0 1.0 2.0 5.5 14 Potassium g/kg DM 0.0 | Crude protein NDF Et | ther extract Ash Other | | - | | | |
| Dry matter % as fed 27.8 14.0 10.0 55.0 13 Crude protein % DM 15.1 4.2 9.6 25.7 15 Crude fibre % DM 23.4 6.6 15.1 34.8 14 NDF % DM 44.8 1 ADF % DM 34.7 1 Lignin % DM 12.1 1 Ether extract % DM 4.0 2.2 1.6 9.4 14 Ash % DM 11.7 6.3 4.1 30.1 14 Gross energy MJ/kg DM 17.9 * Minerals Unit Avg SD Min Max Nb Calcium g/kg DM 11.9 8.3 2.4 33.3 14 Phosphorus g/kg DM 3.0 1.0 2.0 5.5 14 Potassium g/kg DM 0.0 | Main analysis | I Init | Δνσ | SD | Min | May | Nh |
| Crude protein % DM 15.1 4.2 9.6 25.7 15 Crude fibre % DM 23.4 6.6 15.1 34.8 14 NDF % DM 44.8 1 1 ADF % DM 34.7 1 1 Lignin % DM 12.1 1 1 Ether extract % DM 4.0 2.2 1.6 9.4 14 Ash % DM 11.7 6.3 4.1 30.1 14 Gross energy MJ/kg DM 17.9 * * Minerals Unit Avg SD Min Max Nb Calcium g/kg DM 1.0 2.0 9.2 1.5 4.2 3.3 14 Phosphorus g/kg DM 3.0 1.0 2.0 5.5 14 Potassium g/kg DM 3.0 1.0 2.0 5.5 14 Sodium g/kg DM 0.0 1 | - | | _ | | | | |
| Crude fibre % DM 23.4 6.6 15.1 34.8 14 NDF % DM 44.8 1 ADF % DM 34.7 1 Lignin % DM 12.1 1 Ether extract % DM 4.0 2.2 1.6 9.4 14 Ash % DM 11.7 6.3 4.1 30.1 14 Gross energy MJ/kg DM 17.9 * * Minerals Unit Avg SD Min Max Nb Calcium g/kg DM 11.9 8.3 2.4 33.3 14 Phosphorus g/kg DM 3.0 1.0 2.0 5.5 14 Potassium g/kg DM 3.0 1.0 2.0 5.5 14 Sodium g/kg DM 0.0 1 1 4 1.5 41.5 14 Sodium g/kg DM 4.8 1.7 2.3 7.7 14 | | | | | | | |
| NDF % DM 44.8 1 ADF % DM 34.7 1 Lignin % DM 12.1 1 Ether extract % DM 4.0 2.2 1.6 9.4 14 Ash % DM 11.7 6.3 4.1 30.1 14 Gross energy MJ/kg DM 17.9 * * Minerals Unit Avg SD Min Max Nb Calcium g/kg DM 11.9 8.3 2.4 33.3 14 Phosphorus g/kg DM 3.0 1.0 2.0 5.5 14 Potassium g/kg DM 3.0 1.0 2.0 5.5 14 Sodium g/kg DM 0.0 1 1 1 14 5 41.5 14 5 41.5 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 | | | | | | | |
| ADF % DM 34.7 1 Lignin % DM 12.1 1 Ether extract % DM 4.0 2.2 1.6 9.4 14 Ash % DM 11.7 6.3 4.1 30.1 14 Gross energy MJ/kg DM 17.9 * * Minerals Unit Avg SD Min Max Nb Calcium g/kg DM 11.9 8.3 2.4 33.3 14 Phosphorus g/kg DM 3.0 1.0 2.0 5.5 14 Potassium g/kg DM 3.0 1.0 2.0 5.5 14 Potassium g/kg DM 22.0 9.2 11.5 41.5 14 Sodium g/kg DM 0.0 1 1 Magnesium g/kg DM 4.8 1.7 2.3 7.7 14 Manganese mg/kg DM 18 1 1 Copper mg/kg DM <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.0</td><td>15.1</td><td>31.0</td><td>1</td></t<> | | | | 0.0 | 15.1 | 31.0 | 1 |
| Lignin % DM 12.1 1 Ether extract % DM 4.0 2.2 1.6 9.4 14 Ash % DM 11.7 6.3 4.1 30.1 14 Gross energy MJ/kg DM 17.9 * * Minerals Unit Avg SD Min Max Nb Calcium g/kg DM 11.9 8.3 2.4 33.3 14 Phosphorus g/kg DM 3.0 1.0 2.0 5.5 14 Potassium g/kg DM 3.0 1.0 2.0 5.5 14 Potassium g/kg DM 0.0 1.5 41.5 14 Sodium g/kg DM 0.0 1 1 Magnesium g/kg DM 4.8 1.7 2.3 7.7 14 Manganese mg/kg DM 18 1 1 Copper mg/kg DM 13 1 Secondary metabolites Unit <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> | | | | | | | 1 |
| Ether extract % DM 4.0 2.2 1.6 9.4 14 Ash % DM 11.7 6.3 4.1 30.1 14 Gross energy MJ/kg DM 17.9 * * Minerals Unit Avg SD Min Max Nb Calcium g/kg DM 11.9 8.3 2.4 33.3 14 Phosphorus g/kg DM 3.0 1.0 2.0 5.5 14 Potassium g/kg DM 22.0 9.2 11.5 41.5 14 Sodium g/kg DM 0.0 1 1 41.5 14 Sodium g/kg DM 0.0 1 1 41.5 14 Magnesium g/kg DM 4.8 1.7 2.3 7.7 14 Manganese mg/kg DM 18 1 1 Copper mg/kg DM 13 1 Secondary metabolites Unit Avg SD | | | | | | | |
| Ash % DM 11.7 6.3 4.1 30.1 14 Gross energy MJ/kg DM 17.9 * Minerals Unit Avg SD Min Max Nb Calcium g/kg DM 11.9 8.3 2.4 33.3 14 Phosphorus g/kg DM 3.0 1.0 2.0 5.5 14 Potassium g/kg DM 22.0 9.2 11.5 41.5 14 Sodium g/kg DM 0.0 1 1 Magnesium g/kg DM 4.8 1.7 2.3 7.7 14 Manganese mg/kg DM 18 1 1 Zinc mg/kg DM 30 1 1 Copper mg/kg DM 13 1 1 Secondary metabolites Unit Avg SD Min Max Nb Tannins (eq. tannic acid) Unit Avg SD Min Max Nb <tr< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>2. 2.</td><td>16</td><td>9 4</td><td></td></tr<> | | | | 2. 2. | 16 | 9 4 | |
| Gross energy MJ/kg DM 17.9 * Minerals Unit Avg SD Min Max Nb Calcium g/kg DM 11.9 8.3 2.4 33.3 14 Phosphorus g/kg DM 3.0 1.0 2.0 5.5 14 Potassium g/kg DM 22.0 9.2 11.5 41.5 14 Sodium g/kg DM 0.0 1 1 Magnesium g/kg DM 4.8 1.7 2.3 7.7 14 Manganese mg/kg DM 18 1 1 Zinc mg/kg DM 30 1 1 Copper mg/kg DM 13 1 1 Secondary metabolites Unit Avg SD Min Max Nb Tannins (eq. tannic acid) 2/kg DM 1.5 1 1 Ruminant nutritive values Unit Avg SD Min Max Nb a(N) <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> | | | | | | | |
| Minerals Unit Avg g/kg DM SD Min Max Nb Max Nb Min Max Nb Min Max Nb Min Max Nb Min | | | | 0.5 | | 30.1 | |
| Calcium g/kg DM 11.9 8.3 2.4 33.3 14 Phosphorus g/kg DM 3.0 1.0 2.0 5.5 14 Potassium g/kg DM 22.0 9.2 11.5 41.5 14 Sodium g/kg DM 0.0 1 1 Magnesium g/kg DM 4.8 1.7 2.3 7.7 14 Manganese mg/kg DM 18 1 1 Zinc mg/kg DM 30 1 1 Copper mg/kg DM 13 1 1 Secondary metabolites Unit Avg SD Min Max Nb Tannins (eq. tannic acid) g/kg DM 1.5 1 Ruminant nutritive values Unit Avg SD Min Max Nb a (N) % 64.0 1 1 b (N) % 64.0 1 1 | Steed Charley | 1710/115 2171 | 17.5 | | | | |
| Phosphorus g/kg DM 3.0 1.0 2.0 5.5 14 Potassium g/kg DM 22.0 9.2 11.5 41.5 14 Sodium g/kg DM 0.0 1 Magnesium g/kg DM 4.8 1.7 2.3 7.7 14 Manganese mg/kg DM 18 1 Zinc mg/kg DM 30 1 Copper mg/kg DM 13 1 Secondary metabolites Unit Avg SD Min Max Nb Tannins (eq. tannic acid) g/kg DM 1.5 1 Ruminant nutritive values Unit Avg SD Min Max Nb a (N) % 64.0 1 1 b (N) % 64.0 1 b (N) % 11.0 1 | Minerals | Unit | Avg | SD | Min | Max | Nb |
| Potassium g/kg DM 22.0 9.2 11.5 41.5 14 Sodium g/kg DM 0.0 1 Magnesium g/kg DM 4.8 1.7 2.3 7.7 14 Manganese mg/kg DM 18 1 Zinc mg/kg DM 30 1 Copper mg/kg DM 13 1 Secondary metabolites Unit Avg SD Min Max Nb Tannins (eq. tannic acid) g/kg DM 1.5 1 Ruminant nutritive values Unit Avg SD Min Max Nb a(N) % 64.0 1 1 b(N) % 11.0 1 | Calcium | g/kg DM | 11.9 | 8.3 | 2.4 | 33.3 | 14 |
| Sodium g/kg DM 0.0 1 Magnesium g/kg DM 4.8 1.7 2.3 7.7 14 Manganese mg/kg DM 18 1 Zinc mg/kg DM 30 1 Copper mg/kg DM 13 1 Secondary metabolites Unit Avg SD Min Max Nb Tannins (eq. tannic acid) g/kg DM 1.5 1 Ruminant nutritive values Unit Avg SD Min Max Nb a (N) % 64.0 1 1 b (N) % 64.0 1 b (N) % 11.0 1 | Phosphorus | g/kg DM | 3.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 5.5 | 14 |
| Magnesium g/kg DM 4.8 1.7 2.3 7.7 14 Manganese mg/kg DM 18 1 Zinc mg/kg DM 30 1 Copper mg/kg DM 13 1 Secondary metabolites Unit Avg SD Min Max Nb Tannins (eq. tannic acid) g/kg DM 1.5 1 Ruminant nutritive values Unit Avg SD Min Max Nb a (N) % 64.0 1 1 b (N) 11.0 1 | Potassium | g/kg DM | 22.0 | 9.2 | 11.5 | 41.5 | 14 |
| Manganese mg/kg DM 18 1 Zinc mg/kg DM 30 1 Copper mg/kg DM 13 1 Secondary metabolites Unit Avg SD Min Max Nb Tannins (eq. tannic acid) g/kg DM 1.5 1 Ruminant nutritive values Unit Avg SD Min Max Nb a (N) % 64.0 1 1 b (N) % 11.0 1 | Sodium | g/kg DM | 0.0 | | | | 1 |
| Zincmg/kg DM301Coppermg/kg DM131Secondary metabolitesUnitAvgSDMinMaxNbTannins (eq. tannic acid)g/kg DM1.51Ruminant nutritive valuesUnitAvgSDMinMaxNba (N)%64.01b (N)%11.01 | Magnesium | g/kg DM | 4.8 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 7.7 | 14 |
| Coppermg/kg DM131Secondary metabolitesUnitAvgSDMinMaxNbTannins (eq. tannic acid)g/kg DM1.51Ruminant nutritive valuesUnitAvgSDMinMaxNba (N)%64.01b (N)%11.01 | Manganese | mg/kg DM | 18 | | | | 1 |
| Secondary metabolitesUnitAvgSDMinMaxNbTannins (eq. tannic acid) g/kg DM1.51Ruminant nutritive valuesUnitAvgSDMinMaxNb a (N)%64.01 b (N)%11.01 | Zinc | mg/kg DM | 30 | | | | 1 |
| Tannins (eq. tannic acid) g/kg DM 1.5 1 Ruminant nutritive values Unit Avg SD Min Max Nb a (N) % 64.0 1 b (N) % 11.0 1 | Copper | mg/kg DM | 13 | | | | 1 |
| Tannins (eq. tannic acid) g/kg DM 1.5 1 Ruminant nutritive values Unit Avg SD Min Max Nb a (N) % 64.0 1 b (N) % 11.0 1 | | | | | | | |
| Ruminant nutritive values Unit Avg SD Min Max Nb a (N) % 64.0 1 b (N) % 11.0 1 | Secondary metabolites | Unit | Avg | SD | Min | Max | Nb |
| a (N) % 64.0 1 b (N) % 11.0 1 | Tannins (eq. tannic acid) | g/kg DM | 1.5 | | | | 1 |
| a (N) % 64.0 1 b (N) % 11.0 1 | Ruminant nutritive values | I Init | Avo | SD | Min | May | Nh |
| <u>b (N)</u> % 11.0 1 | | | _ | עט | 141111 | IVIAA | 1 |
| | | | | | | | 1 |
| | <u>c (N)</u> | h-1 | 0.100 | | | | 1 |

| Nitrogen degradability (effective, k=4%) | 0/0 | 72 | * |
|--|----------|------|---------------|
| Nitrogen degradability (effective, k=6%) | % | 71 | * |
| Pig nutritive values | Unit | Avg | SD Min Max Nb |
| Energy digestibility, growing pig | 0/0 | 53.3 | * |
| DE growing pig | MJ/kg DM | 9.5 | * |

The asterisk * indicates that the average value was obtained by an equation.

References

CIRAD, 1991; Fall Touré, 1991

Last updated on 24/10/2012 00:43:43

Baobab (Adansonia digitata), leaves, fresh

| Crude protein NDF Ether extract | Ash Other | | _ | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|------|------|------|------|----|
| Main analysis | Unit | Avg | SD | Min | Max | Nb |
| Crude protein | % DM | 10.9 | 3.8 | 5.1 | 17.3 | 18 |
| Crude fibre | % DM | 14.6 | 3.0 | 10.0 | 18.6 | 13 |
| NDF | % DM | 35.7 | 8.6 | 20.9 | 48.7 | 12 |
| ADF | % DM | 28.7 | 7.4 | 16.1 | 41.2 | 12 |
| Lignin | % DM | 12.1 | 4.6 | 6.0 | 20.2 | 12 |
| Ether extract | % DM | 5.0 | 2.3 | 1.6 | 8.1 | 13 |
| Ash | % DM | 12.2 | 2.4 | 7.8 | 16.3 | 16 |
| Gross energy | MJ/kg DM | 17.4 | | | | * |
| | | | | | | |
| Minerals | Unit | Avg | SD | Min | Max | Nb |
| <u>Calcium</u> | g/kg DM | 21.6 | 12.0 | 10.8 | 39.7 | 5 |
| <u>Phosphorus</u> | g/kg DM | 2.7 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 4.0 | 5 |
| <u>Potassium</u> | g/kg DM | 19.6 | | 19.0 | 20.1 | 2 |
| Sodium | g/kg DM | 0.1 | | | | 1 |
| <u>Magnesium</u> | g/kg DM | 4.9 | | 4.1 | 5.8 | 2 |
| Manganese | mg/kg DM | 112 | | 105 | 120 | 2 |
| Zinc | mg/kg DM | 29 | | 15 | 42 | 2 |
| Copper | mg/kg DM | 15 | | 12 | 18 | 2 |
| | | | | | | |
| Secondary metabolites | Unit | Avg | SD | Min | Max | Nb |
| Tannins (eq. tannic acid) | g/kg DM | 44.6 | 23.1 | 17.3 | 90.2 | 12 |
| Tannins, condensed (eq. catechin) | g/kg DM | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 4 |
| | | | | | | |
| Ruminant nutritive values | Unit | Avg | SD | Min | Max | Nb |
| <u>a (N)</u> | % | 65.0 | | | | 1 |
| <u>b (N)</u> | % | 27.0 | | | | 1 |

| <u>c (N)</u> | h-1 | 0.020 | 1 |
|--|------------|-------|---|
| Nitrogen degradability (effective, k=4%) | % 0 | 74 | * |
| Nitrogen degradability (effective, k=6%) | % | 72 | * |

The asterisk * indicates that the average value was obtained by an equation.

References

CIRAD, 1991; Fall Touré, 1991; Mongodin et al., 1976; Nsahlai et al., 1999

Last updated on 24/10/2012 00:43:43

Baobab (Adansonia digitata), seeds

| outract Aph | | | | | |
|-------------|--|--|---|---|--|
| xtract Asn | | | | | |
| Unit | Avg | SD | Min | Max | Nb |
| % as fed | 90.0 | 2.1 | 87.9 | 92.0 | 3 |
| % DM | 21.3 | 14.1 | 12.7 | 37.6 | 3 |
| % DM | 22.9 | 5.5 | 16.5 | 26.5 | 3 |
| % DM | 84.0 | | | | 1 |
| % DM | 17.0 | | | | 1 |
| % DM | 15.9 | 5.7 | 12.1 | 22.5 | 3 |
| % DM | 4.2 | | 4.0 | 4.4 | 2 |
| MJ/kg DM | 22.2 | | | | * |
| | | | | | |
| Unit | Avg | SD | Min | Max | Nb |
| g/kg DM | 2.5 | | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2 |
| g/kg DM | 5.9 | | 5.9 | 5.9 | 2 |
| g/kg DM | 12.2 | | | | 1 |
| g/kg DM | 3.8 | | | | 1 |
| | | | | | |
| Unit | Avg | SD | Min | Max | Nb |
| g/kg DM | 0.0 | | | | 1 |
| | | | | | |
| Unit | Avg | SD | Min | Max | Nb |
| | | | | | |
| % 0/0 | 54.2 | | | | * |
| | % as fed % DM MJ/kg DM Unit g/kg DM g/kg DM g/kg DM g/kg DM g/kg DM | Unit Avg % as fed 90.0 % DM 21.3 % DM 22.9 % DM 84.0 % DM 17.0 % DM 15.9 % DM 4.2 MJ/kg DM 22.2 Unit Avg g/kg DM 2.5 g/kg DM 5.9 g/kg DM 12.2 g/kg DM 3.8 Unit Avg g/kg DM 0.0 | Unit Avg SD % as fed 90.0 2.1 % DM 21.3 14.1 % DM 22.9 5.5 % DM 84.0 % DM 17.0 % DM 15.9 5.7 % DM 4.2 MJ/kg DM 22.2 Unit Avg SD g/kg DM 5.9 g/kg DM 5.9 g/kg DM 3.8 Unit Avg SD g/kg DM 3.8 | Unit Avg SD Min % as fed 90.0 2.1 87.9 % DM 21.3 14.1 12.7 % DM 22.9 5.5 16.5 % DM 17.0 % DM 15.9 5.7 12.1 % DM 4.2 4.0 MJ/kg DM 22.2 Unit Avg SD Min g/kg DM 5.9 5.9 g/kg DM 3.8 Unit Avg SD Min g/kg DM 3.8 Unit Avg SD Min g/kg DM 0.0 | Unit Avg SD Min Max % as fed 90.0 2.1 87.9 92.0 % DM 21.3 14.1 12.7 37.6 % DM 22.9 5.5 16.5 26.5 % DM 84.0 % DM 17.0 % DM 15.9 5.7 12.1 22.5 % DM 4.2 4.0 4.4 MJ/kg DM 22.2 Unit Avg SD Min Max g/kg DM 5.9 5.9 5.9 g/kg DM 12.2 g/kg DM 3.8 Unit Avg SD Min Max g/kg DM 3.8 Unit Avg SD Min Max g/kg DM 0.0 Min Max g/kg DM 0.0 |

The asterisk * indicates that the average value was obtained by an equation.

References

Anon., 1913; Belewu et al., 2008; CIRAD, 1991

Last updated on 24/10/2012 00:43:43

References

- AFZ, 2011. io La Banque de données de l'Alimentation Animale / French feed database. Association Française de Zootechnie
- Aganga, A. A.; Adogla-Bessa, T.; Omphile, U. J.; Tshireletso, K., 2000. Significance of browses in the nutrition of Tswana goats. Arch. Zootec., 49 (188): 469-480
- Aina, A. B. J.; Oluwasanmi, O. R.; Oyesanya, N. K.; Farinde, A. O.; Akanbi, O. E.; Fadipe, T. A.; Sowande, O. S., 2004. Comparative rumen degradability of forages, agricultural by-products and baobab bark in sheep. Arch. Zootec., 53 (203): 321-324
- Anjos, F. R. dos, 2005. Effect of three different diets (baobab, cowpea and papaya) on the dynamic of gastrointestinal helminths and on weight gain in chickens. In: Effect of scavenging feed resource base on the prevalence of parasites and performance of chickens in Sussundenga District, Mozambique. MSc Thesis, Royal Veterinary and Agricultural University Copenhagen and Eduardo Mondlane University, Mozambique
- Anon., 1913. Baobab fruits and seeds from the East Africa protectorate. Bull. imp. Inst., Lond., 2: 583-586
- Babiker, M. S., 2012. Chemical composition of some non-conventional and local feed resources for poultry in Sudan. Int. J. Poult. Sci., 11 (4): 283-287
- Belewu, M. A.; Ojo-Alokomaro, K. O., 2007. Haematological indices of West African Dwarf goat fed leaf meal based diets. Bulgarian J. Agric. Sci., 13: 601-606
- Belewu, M. A.; Fagbemi, T.; Dosumu, O. O.; Adeniyi, M. O., 2008. Physico-chemical and antinutritional properties of some lesser known tree and leguminous seeds. Int. J. Agric. Res., 3 (3): 237-242
- Belewu, M. A.; Ibikunle, M. A., 2009. Potential of lesser known seed meal in the diet of sheep. Green Farming, 2 (10): 694-695
- Bosch, C. H.; Sié, K.; Asafa, B. A., 2004. *Adansonia digitata* L. Record from Protabase. Grubben, G.J.H. & Denton, O.A. (Editors). PROTA (Plant Resources of Tropical Africa / Ressources végétales de l'Afrique tropicale), Wageningen, Netherlands
- Butswat, I. S.; Nelson, F. N.; Oyawoye, E. O.; Okande, F. O., 1997. Utilization of baobab (*Adansonia digitata* L.) leaf-meal for egg yolk pigmentation in layers. Indian J. Anim. Sci., 67 (1): 82-83
- Ecocrop, 2011. Ecocrop database. FAO
- Fall Touré, S.; Michalet-Doreau, B.; Traore, E.; Friot, D.; Richard, D., 1998. Occurrence of digestive interactions in tree forage-based diets for sheep. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol., 74 (1): 63-78

 Fall Touré, S., 1991. *In vitro* digestibility and degradability *in situ* in the rumen of woody forage available on natural grasslands in Senegal. First results. Rev. Elev. Méd. Vét. Pays Trop., 44: 345-354
- FAO, 2011. Grassland Index. A searchable catalogue of grass and forage legumes. FAO
- French, M. H., 1944. Composition and nutritive value of pulp and seeds in the fruit of the baobab. E. Afr. Agric. For. J., 9: 144-145
- Göhl, B., 1982. Les aliments du bétail sous les tropiques. FAO, Division de Production et Santé Animale, Roma, Italy
- ICUC, 2002. Baobab. International Centre for Underutilised Crops, Factsheet No. 4, Southampton, UK.
- Igboeli, L. C.; Addy, E. O. H.; Salami, L. I., 1997. Effects of some processing techniques on the antinutrient contents of baobab seeds (*Adansonia digitata*). Bioresource Technol., 59 (1): 29-31
- Jansen, P. C. M.; Jukema, J.; Oyen, L. P. A.; van Lingen, T. G., 1991. *Adansonia digitata* L.. Record from Proseabase. Verheij, E.W.M. and Coronel, R.E. (Editors). PROSEA (Plant Resources of South-East Asia) Foundation, Bogor, Indonesia.
- Madzimure, J.; Musimurimwa, C.; Chivandi, E.; Gwiriri, L.; Mamhare, E., 2011. Milk yield and quality in Guernsey cows fed cottonseed cake-based diets partially substituted with baobab (*Adansonia digitata* L.) seed cake. Trop. Anim. Health Prod., 43:77-82

Mongodin, B.; Van Den Berg, X., 1976. Produits tropicaux utilisables comme aliments du bétail. Paris, IEMVT

Mwale, M.; Mupangwa, J. F.; Mapiye, C.; Saina, H.; Chimvuramahwe, J., 2008. Growth performance of guinea fowl keets fed graded levels of baobab seed cake diets. Int. J. Poult. Sci., 7 (5): 429-432

Nkafamiya, I. I.; Osemeahon, S. A.; Dahiru, D.; Umaru, H. A., 2007. Studies on the chemical composition and physico-chemical properties of the seeds of baobab (*Adansonia digitata*). Afr. J. Biotech., 6 (6): 756-759

Ogunbosoye, D. O.; Babayemi, O. J., 2010. Potential values of some non-leguminous browse plants as dry season feed for ruminants in Nigeria. Afr. J. Biotech., 9 (18): 2720-2726

Orwa, C.; Mutua, A.; Kindt, R.; Jamnadass, R.; Anthony, S., 2009. Agroforestree Database: a tree reference and selection guide version 4.0. World Agroforestry Centre, Kenya

Osman, M., 2008. Chemical and nutrient analysis of baobab (*Adansonia digitata*) fruit and seed protein solubility. Plant Foods Hum. Nutr., 59: 29-33

Watson, R., 2007. The African baobab. Struik Publishers, Cape Town, South-Africa

Wickens, G. E.; Lowe, P., 2008. The baobabs: pachycauls of Africa, Madagascar and Australia. Springer Science + Business Media, B. V.



Datasheet citation

Heuzé V., Tran G., Bastianelli D., Archimède H., 2013. *African baobab (Adansonia digitata)*. Feedipedia, a programme by INRA, CIRAD, AFZ and FAO. http://www.feedipedia.org/node/525 *Last updated on June 11, 2013, 10:59*



Source URL: http://www.feedipedia.org/node/525