

Antimicrobial Activity of *Terminalia catappa* Extracts against Some Pathogenic Microbial Strains

Abul Manzur^{1*}, Arifuddin Raju¹, Shahedur Rahman²

¹Department of Microbiology, North South University, Dhaka, Bangladesh; ²Department of Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering, Islamic University, Kushtia, Bangladesh.
Email: *abulmanzur1975@gmail.com

Received September 4th, 2011; revised September 27th, 2011; accepted October 20th, 2011.

ABSTRACT

The methanol, acetone and *N,N*-dimethylformamide extracts of *Terminalia catappa* L. leaf were evaluated for antibacterial and antifungal activity. Piperacillin and gentamicin were used as standards for antibacterial assay, while nystatin and flucanazole were used as standards for antifungal assay. 91 clinically important strains were used for the study which were both clinical isolates as well as identified strains. The antimicrobial activity of all the extracts was determined by agar disc diffusion method. The antibacterial activity was more pronounced against bacteria than fungal strains. The Gram positive bacteria were more susceptible than Gram negative bacteria. The methanol extract showed best antibacterial activity. *T. catappa* leaf extracts showed better antibacterial activity than commercially used antibiotics.

Keywords: *Terminalia catappa*, Antibacterial Activity, Antifungal Activity, Clinical Strains, Organic Solvents

1. Introduction

Traditional medicine has been practiced for many centuries in many parts of the world, including Bangladesh especially in rural areas due to availability and low cost. Nature has provided a source of medicinal agents for thousands of years and an impressive number of modern drugs have been isolated from natural sources, many based on their use in traditional medicine [1]. There has been an increasing incidence of multiple resistances in human pathogenic microorganisms, largely due to the indiscriminate use of commercial antimicrobial drugs commonly employed in the treatment of infectious diseases [2]. The development of bacterial resistance to presently available antibiotics has necessitated the search for new antibacterial agents. Numerous studies have been conducted with the extracts of various plants, screening antimicrobial activity as well as for the discovery of new antimicrobial compounds [3-6]. The efforts of scientists in establishing plants with promising antimicrobial property is yielding fruitful results as a number of plants with high antimicrobial property have been elucidated [7-13].

Terminalia catappa L. belongs to the family Combretaceae. *T. catappa* is used primarily as an ornamental,

shade, and salt-tolerant street tree, but the leaves provide food for the Tasar silkworm, and the seeds are edible like almonds with similar oils. On the Malay peninsula and through the Canary islands this tree is known as the tropical almond. *T. catappa* has been claimed to have therapeutic effects for liver related diseases [14]. In Java, it is attributed with cholagogue action. In India, it is used as cardiac stimulant. Its leaves are widely used as a folk medicine in Southeast Asia for the treatment of dermatosis and hepatitis [15]. More and more pharmacological studies have reported that the extract of *T. catappa* leaves and fruits have anticancer, antioxidant, anti-HIV reverse transcriptase, anti-inflammatory, antidiabetic effects and hepatoprotective activities [16-19] but the effective components and related mechanisms remain unknown.

In the present work, antimicrobial activity of *T. catappa* leaf extracts were investigated against an array of clinically isolated as well as standard microbial cultures.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Plant Material

The leaves of *T. catappa* were collected from the Boro Parulia, Gopalganj and Arappur, Madaripur of Bangla-

desh, in January 2009 and identified by Dr. Masudur Rahman, Bangladesh National Herbarium, Dhaka.

2.2. Extraction

The leaves of *T. catappa* were air dried and then powdered in a homogenizer and 10 g was used for different solvent extraction N,N-dimethylformamide DMF, acetone and methanol, the sample was extracted in solvent kept on a rotary shaker overnight, and then the filtrate was collected and centrifuged at 5000 rpm. The solvent was then evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure and the extracted compound left was used for the antimicrobial assay. The percentage yield of N,N-dimethylformamide DMF, acetone and methanol extracts were 20.92, 4.96 and 14.48 respectively.

2.3. Microorganisms Studied

91 clinically important microbial strains which included 20 Gram positive, 55 Gram negative and 16 fungal strains were studied for the antimicrobial activity. These strains included both clinical isolates as well as identified strains. The identified strains were provided by Department of Microbiology, North South University and clinical

isolates were obtained from Lab Aid Diagnostic Laboratory, Dhaka, Bangladesh (Tables 1-5). The bacteria were grown in the nutrient broth and maintained on nutrient agar slants at 4°C while fungal strains were grown in Sabouraud broth and maintained on MGYP slants yeast and potato dextrose agar slants mould at 4°C.

2.4. Antimicrobial Assay

The Dimethylformamide extract TDE, acetone extract TAE and Methanol extract TME were dissolved in DMSO. The antimicrobial activity was evaluated at a concentration of 250 µg/disc. Antimicrobial activity was performed by agar disc diffusion method [20,21]. The bacterial strains were grown in nutrient broth while fungal strains were grown in MGYP Malt glucose yeast peptone broth. Mueller Hinton Agar No. 2 was the media used to study the antibacterial susceptibility while Sabouraud agar was used to study the antifungal susceptibility test. The cultures were grown for 24 h, and the turbidity of the culture was maintained according to the 0.5 MacFarland standards. The inoculum's size was 1×10^8 cells/ml. The media Mueller Hinton Agar No. 2 and MRS media and the test bacterial cultures were poured into

Table 1. Antibacterial activity of *Terminalia catappa* leaf extracts against some Gram positive bacteria.

Sr. No.	Strain (Location of collection)	Zone of inhibition (mm) ^a				
		TME	TAE	TDE	G	Pc
1	Staph-1 (Sputum)	14.67 ± 0.33	9.66 ± 0.33	10 ± 0.58	-	-
2	<i>S. aureus</i> (Pus)	14 ± 0	11 ± 0.58	9 ± 1.15	18.67 ± 0.33	17.33 ± 0.33
3	<i>S. aureus</i> (Urine)	13 ± 0.58	9 ± 0.58	8 ± 0.58	-	-
4	<i>S. aureus</i> (Pus)	16 ± 0.58	8 ± 0.58	14 ± 0.58	-	-
5	Staph-2 (Pus)	-	-	-	-	-
6	<i>S. aureus</i> (Sputum)	-	-	-	-	-
7	<i>S. aureus</i> (Tracheal)	15 ± 0.58	10 ± 0.58	9.67 ± 0.33	-	-
8	<i>S. aureus</i> (Tracheal)	15 ± 0.58	12 ± 0.59	13 ± 0.58	-	-
9	Staph-3 (Sputum)	14.33 ± 0.66	12.33 ± 0.88	10 ± 1.73	14.67 ± 0.33	-
10	<i>S. aureus</i> (Ear swab)	16.67 ± 1.53	14 ± 2.89	10 ± 1.73	-	-
11	<i>S. aureus</i> (Sputum)	18.67 ± 0.33	14 ± 0.58	13 ± 0.58	20.67 ± 0.33	-
12	<i>S. aureus</i> (Pus)	-	-	-	-	-
13	<i>S. aureus</i> (Pus)	-	-	-	10.33 ± 0.33	-
14	<i>S. aureus</i> (ATCC25923)	14.5 ± 0.28	8.5 ± 0.86	10 ± 1.73	-	-
15	<i>S. epidemidies</i> (ATCC12228)	11 ± 0.58	-	-	-	-
16	<i>S. subflava</i> (NCIM2178)	19 ± 0.58	13.5 ± 1.44	11.5 ± 0.28	-	20.17 ± 0.44
17	<i>B. cereus</i> (ATCC11778)	11.5 ± 0.28	9.5 ± 0.28	11 ± 0.58	20.17 ± 0.16	18.83 ± 0.16
18	<i>B. subtilis</i> (ATCC6633)	9 ± 1.15	8.5 ± 0.86	-	18.33 ± 0.33	17.83 ± 0.93
19	<i>B. mega</i> (ATCC9885)	-	-	-	-	-
20	<i>M. flavus</i> (ATCC10240)	14 ± 0.58	8.5 ± 0.86	15 ± 1.15	27.67 ± 0.33	12.67 ± 0.33

^aValues are Mean ± SEM, n = 3, zone includes disc diameter 7mm, G: Gentamicin (10 µg/disc), Pc: Piperacillin (100 µg/disc), TME: Methanol extract, TAE: Acetone extract, TDE: N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) extract, means no activity; Staph: *Staphylococcus* species.

Table 2. Antibacterial activity of *Terminalia catappa* leaf extracts against some *Pseudomonas* species.

Sr. No.	Strain (Location of collection)	Zone of inhibition (mm) ^a				
		TME	TAE	TDE	G	Pc
1	<i>Ps. aeruginosa</i> (ATCC27853)	-	-	-	17 ± 1.15	12.33 ± 0.66
2	<i>Ps. Aeruginosa</i> (Sputum)	-	-	-	16.67 ± 0.67	-
3	<i>Ps. aeruginosa</i> (Pus)	-	-	-	19.67 ± 0.33	-
4	<i>Ps. Fluorescence</i> (Tracheal)	8.67 ± 0.33	-	12.67 ± 1.44	-	-
5	<i>Ps. fluorescence</i> (Pus)	13.67 ± 3.18	8 ± 0.58	-	-	-
6	<i>Ps. fluorescence</i> (Urine)	-	-	-	-	-
7	<i>Ps. testosteroni</i> (NCIM 5098)	-	-	-	22.33 ± 0.66	-
8	<i>Ps. pseudoalcaligenes</i> (ATCC17440)	15.5 ± 0.28	12.5 ± 0.86	14.5 ± 0.28	19.33 ± 0.6	-
9	Pseudo-1 (Sputum)	11 ± 2.31	13 ± 0.58	11.67 ± 0.33	14 ± 0.58	-
10	Pseudo-2 (Pus)	13.67 ± 3.18	8 ± 0.58	-	-	-
11	Pseudo-3 (Urine)	14.67 ± 1.45	16 ± 0.58	14.67 ± 0.33	-	-
12	Pseudo-4 (Pus)	14 ± 0.58	10.6 ± 2.34	9.33 ± 1.23	-	-
13	Pseudo-5 (Tracheal)	-	-	-	-	-
14	Pseudo-6 (Wound swab)	-	-	-	-	-
15	Pseudo-7 (Pus)	16 ± 0.56	10 ± 0.58	12 ± 1.15	-	-
16	Pseudo-8 (Tracheal secretion)	14 ± 1.15	9 ± 1.15	9 ± 1.15	-	-
17	Pseudo-9 (Pus)	11.67 ± 0.88	9.33 ± 1.20	-	-	-
18	Pseudo-10 (Sputum)	17 ± 0.58	12 ± 0.33	13.67 ± 0.88	-	-
19	Pseudo-11 (Sputum)	18.33 ± 0.33	16.33 ± 1.45	13 ± 0.58	20 ± 0.58	-

^aValues are Mean ± SEM, n = 3, zone includes disc diameter 7 mm, G: Gentamicin (10 µg/disc), Pc: Piperacillin (100 µg/disc), TME: Methanol extract, TAE: Acetone extract, TDE: N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) extract, means no activity; Pseudo: *Pseudomonas* species.

Table 3. Antibacterial activity of *Terminalia catappa* leaf extracts against some *E. coli* isolates.

Sr. No.	Strain (Location of Collection)	Zone of inhibition (mm) ^a				
		TME	TAE	TDE	G	Pc
1	<i>E. coli</i> (Pus)	10 ± 1.53	8.66 ± 0.88	7.66 ± 0.33	-	-
2	<i>E. coli</i> (Urine)	12.33 ± 2.73	9.66 ± 1.45	-	-	-
3	<i>E. coli</i> (Urine)	16 ± 0.58	12.33 ± 0.88	11.67 ± 0.33	-	-
4	<i>E. coli</i> (Urine)	15 ± 0.88	10 ± 0.33	13 ± 0.58	-	-
5	<i>E. coli</i> (Urine)	15 ± 0.88	11 ± 0.58	14 ± 0.33	-	-
6	<i>E. coli</i> (Pus)	10 ± 0.58	14 ± 0.88	13 ± 1.15	-	-
7	<i>E. coli</i> (Urine)	14.33 ± 1.20	12 ± 0.58	14 ± 1.15	-	-
8	<i>E. coli</i> (Stool)	15.67 ± 0.33	10.67 ± 0.33	13 ± 0.58	21 ± 0.58	-
9	<i>E. coli</i> (Pus)	12 ± 0.58	11.33 ± 0.88	14.67 ± 0.33	-	-
10	<i>E. coli</i> (Urine)	14.33 ± 0.33	10.67 ± 0.33	14 ± 0.58	18.67 ± 0.33	-
11	<i>E. coli</i> (Pus)	12.67 ± 0.66	11.67 ± 0.33	11.33 ± 0.66	-	-
12	<i>E. coli</i> (Urine)	15.33 ± 0.88	12.67 ± 0.33	14 ± 0.58	20.33 ± 0.33	-
13	<i>E. coli</i> (Vaginal swab)	13.5 ± 0.28	-	12.67 ± 0.33	-	-
14	<i>E. coli</i> (Urine)	-	-	-	-	-
15	<i>E. coli</i> (Blood)	14.5 ± 0.28	-	-	-	-
16	<i>E. coli</i> (ATCC25922)	14 ± 0.58	10 ± 1.73	-	17.83 ± 0.16	14.5 ± 0.50

^aValues are Mean ± SEM, n = 3, zone includes disc diameter 7mm, G: Gentamicin (10 µg/disc), Pc: Piperacillin (100 µg/disc), TME: Methanol extract, TAE: Acetone extract, TDE: N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) extract, means no activity.

Table 4. Antibacterial activity of *Terminalia catappa* leaf extracts against some Gram negative bacteria.

Sr. No.	Strain (Location of Collection)	Zone of inhibition (mm) ^a				
		TME	TAE	TDE	G	Pc
1	Ent-1 (Tracheal)	8.33 ± 0.88	-	-	-	-
2	Ent-2 (Tracheal)	11 ± 1.15	-	8 ± 0.58	19.67 ± 0.88	-
3	<i>E. aerogenes</i> (ATCC 13048)	-	-	-	-	-
4	Kleb-1 (Urine)	13.67 ± 0.88	11 ± 0.58	11 ± 0.58	22 ± 0.58	-
5	Kleb-1 (Sputum)	14 ± 0.58	10.33 ± 0.33	10 ± 0.58	-	-
6	<i>K. aerogenes</i> (Pus)	8 ± 0.58	-	8.67 ± 0.88	-	-
7	Kleb-2 (Urine)	14 ± 0.58	12.33 ± 0.33	14.67 ± 0.33	-	-
8	<i>K. aerogenes</i> (Urine)	13.67 ± 0.33	10.67 ± 0.33	13.33 ± 0.33	-	-
9	<i>K. pneumoniae</i> (NCIM2719)	-	-	-	-	24.67 ± 0.33
10	<i>P. mirabilis</i> (Wound swab)	18 ± 1.20	10.33 ± 0.33	12.67 ± 0.33	-	14 ± 0.58
11	Prot-1 (Pus)	14.67 ± 0.33	10 ± 0.58	13.33 ± 0.33	-	-
12	<i>P. mirabilis</i> (NCIM2241)	-	-	-	18.67 ± 0.33	-
13	<i>P. vulgaris</i> (NCTC8313)	14.5 ± 0.28	-	-	18 ± 1.00	-
14	<i>P. morgani</i> (NCIM2040)	-	-	-	-	-
15	<i>P. rettgeri</i> (Pus)	16.33 ± 0.88	10.67 ± 0.33	11.67 ± 0.33	-	-
16	Citro-1 (Pus)	12 ± 0.58	9 ± 0.58	10 ± 1.16	-	-
17	<i>C. freundii</i> (Pus)	-	-	-	12.33 ± 0.33	-
18	<i>C. freundii</i> (ATCC10787)	-	-	-	-	-
19	<i>A. fecalis</i> (ATCC8750)	-	-	-	18.33 ± 0.66	-
20	<i>S. typhimurium</i> (ATCC23564)	12 ± 0.58	8.5 ± 0.86	10.5 ± 0.86	18.5 ± 0.28	-

^aValues are Mean ± SEM, n = 3, zone includes disc diameter 7mm, G: Gentamicin (10 µg/disc), Pc: Piperacillin (100 µg/disc), TME: Methanol extract, TAE: Acetone extract, TDE: N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) extract, means no activity; Ent: *Enterobacter* species; Kleb: *Klebsiella* species; Citro: *Citrobacter* species; Prot: *Proteus* species.

Petri dishes Hi-Media. The test strain 200 µl was inoculated into the media inoculum size 10⁸ cells/ml when the temperature reached 40°C - 42°C. The test compound 20 µl was impregnated in to sterile discs 7 mm Hi-Media and was then allowed to dry. The disc was then introduced into medium with the bacteria. For each microbial strain negative controls were maintained where pure solvent DMSO was used instead of the extract since it does not possess any antimicrobial effect [22] and for positive control the standard antimicrobics Gentamicin 10 µg/disc and piperacillin 100 µg/disc for bacteria, nystatin 100 units/disc and flucanazole 10 µg/disc Himedia Labs for fungus were used for comparative studies. The plates were incubated overnight at 37°C for bacterial strains and 42°C for fungal strains. The experiment was performed under strict aseptic conditions. Microbial growth was determined by measuring the diameter of the zone of inhibition. The experiment was performed in triplicates and the mean values of the result are shown in **Tables 1-5**.

3. Results and Discussion

Herbal medicine in developing countries is commonly

used for the traditional treatment of health problems [23]. In recent years multiple drug resistance in human pathogenic microorganisms have developed due to the indiscriminate use of commercial antimicrobial drugs commonly used in the treatment of infectious diseases [24]. In addition to this problem, antibiotics are sometimes associated with adverse effects on host including hypersensitivity, immune suppression and allergic reactions [25]. Therefore there is a need to develop alternative antimicrobial drugs for the treatment of infections obtained from various sources such as medicinal plants [26,27].

In the present study *T. catappa* leaf extracts extracted in DMF TDE, acetone TAE and methanol TME were investigated for their antimicrobial potentiality against 91 clinically important microbial strains. Drug resistance is a new problem, but it is not a new phenomenon. Soon after the introduction of penicillin, *Staphylococci* were found to be very resistant to many of the antibiotics. Although recognized earlier that antibiotics resistance was only in the hospitals, now resistance in the community is also seen. Bacteria such as *Staphylococcus* have emerged with resistance to six and more different antibiotics [28].

Table 5. Antifungal activity of *Terminalia catappa* leaf extracts.

Sr.No.	Fungus (Location of collection)	Zone of inhibition (mm) ^a				
		TME	TAE	TDE	Fu	Ns
1	<i>Candida spp.</i> (Sputum)	-	-	-	-	14 ± 0.58
2	<i>C. albicans</i> (Urine)	-	7.5 ± 0.29	10 ± 1.73	-	11.33 ± 0.33
4	<i>C. albicans</i> (Sputum)	-	-	-	-	18 ± 0.58
5	<i>Candida spp.</i> (Sputum)	-	-	-	-	14 ± 0.58
6	<i>Candida spp.</i> (Urine)	-	-	-	-	10 ± 0.58
7	<i>C. albicans</i> (ATCC2091)	8.5 ± 0.87	8.5 ± 0.87	-	17.67 ± 0.33	13 ± 0.58
8	<i>C. albicans</i> (ATCC18804)	-	-	-	-	14.33 ± 0.33
9	<i>C. glabrata</i> (NCIM3448)	-	-	-	39.67 ± 0.88	22 ± 0.58
10	<i>C. tropicalis</i> (ATCC4563)	-	-	-	-	8.33 ± 0.33
11	<i>C. apicola</i> (NCIM3367)	19.33 ± 0.33	13 ± 1.15	14.33 ± 0.33	-	21.33 ± 0.88
12	<i>C. neoformans</i> (ATCC34664)	-	-	-	21.33 ± 0.33	17 ± 0.58
13	<i>C. luteolus</i> (ATCC32044)	17.5 ± 2.60	8.5 ± 0.86	-	23.66 ± 0.88	17.66 ± 0.88
14	<i>T. beigelii</i> (NCIM3404)	12 ± 0.58	12 ± 0.58	7.5 ± 0.29	-	-
15	<i>A. flavus</i> (NCIM538)	-	-	-	-	-
16	<i>A. candidus</i> (NCIM883)	-	-	-	-	-
17	<i>A. niger</i> (ATCC6275)	-	-	-	-	-

^aValues are Mean ± SEM, n = 3, zone includes disc diameter 7mm, Ns: Nystatin (100 units/disc), Fu: Fluconazole (10 µg/disc) TME: Methanol extract, TAE: Acetone extract, TDE: N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) extract, means no activity; Fu: Fluconazole, Ns: Nystatin.

All the three extracts of *T. catappa* TDE, TAE and TME were active against 70% of the total Gram positive bacteria studied while only 63% of Gram negative bacteria were inhibited **Table 1-4**, on the other hand, the three extracts of *T. catappa* were active against only 25% of fungal strains **Table 5**. The best antibacterial activity was shown by the methanol extract. Similar results were also shown by Babayi *et al.*, [29]. The Gram positive bacteria were more susceptible than Gram negative bacteria. This is in agreement with previous reports that plant extracts are more active against Gram positive bacteria than Gram negative bacteria [30-32]. These differences may be attributed to the fact that the cell wall in Gram positive bacteria is of a single layer, whereas the Gram negative cell wall is multilayered structure [33]. The most striking feature of the present findings is that many of the clinical isolates were resistant to the standard antimicrobics used while the plant extracts showed moderate to good antibacterial activity. The need of the hour is to find new antimicrobics because the microorganisms are getting resistant to the existing antibiotics [34,35]. The persistent increase in multi drug resistant strains compels the search for more potent new antibiotics. Thus there is a need for a continuous search for new effective and affordable antimicrobial drugs. The results of present study signify the potentiality of *T. catappa* leaf as a source of therapeutic agents which may provide leads in the ongo-

ing search for antimicrobial botanicals.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. M. Cragg and D. J. Newman, "Drugs from Nature: Past Achievements, Future Prospects," In: M. M. Iwu and J. C. Wootton, Eds., *Ethnomedicine and Drug Discovery*, Elsevier Science, Amsterdam, 2002, pp. 23-37.
- [2] A. A. Allero and A. J. Afolayan, "Antimicrobial Activity of *Solanum tomentosum*," *African Journal of Biotechnology*, Vol. 5, No. 4, 2006, pp. 369-372.
- [3] B. Abu-Shanab, G. Adwan, D. Abu-Safiya, N. Jarrar and K. Adwan, "Antibacterial Activities of Some Plant Extracts Utilized in Popular Medicine in Palestine," *Turkish Journal of Biology*, Vol. 28, No. 2-4, 2004, pp. 99-102.
- [4] M. R. F. De Lima, J. De Souza Luna, A. F. Dos Santos, M. C. C. De Andrade, A. E. G. Sant Ana, J. P. Genet, B. Marquez, L. Neuville and N. Moreau, "Antibacterial Activity of Some Brazilian Medicinal Plants," *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, Vol. 105, No. 1-2, 2005, pp. 137-147. doi:10.1016/j.jep.2005.10.026
- [5] J. Parekh, N. Karathia and S. Chanda, "Screening of Some Traditionally Used Medicinal Plants for Potential Antibacterial Activity," *Indian Journal of Pharmaceutical Science*, Vol. 68, No. 6, 2006, pp. 832-834. doi:10.4103/0250-474X.31031
- [6] J. Parekh and S. Chanda, "Antibacterial and Phytochemical Studies on Twelve Species of Indian Medicinal Plants," *African Journal of Biomedical Research*, Vol. 10,

- No. 2, 2007, pp. 175-181.
- [7] S. Dash, L. K. Nath, S. Bhise and B. Nihar, "Antioxidant and Antimicrobial Activities of *Heracleum nepalense* D Don Root," *Tropical Journal of Pharmaceutical Research*, Vol. 4, No. 1, 2005, pp. 341-347.
- [8] R. Nair, T. Kalariya and S. Chanda, "Antibacterial Activity of Some Selected Indian Medicinal Flora," *Turkish Journal of Biology*, Vol. 29, 2005, pp. 41-47.
- [9] J. Parekh, R. Nair and S. Chanda, "Preliminary Screening of Some Folklore Plants from Western India for Potential Antimicrobial Activity," *Indian Journal of Pharmacology*, Vol. 37, No. 6, 2005, pp. 408-409.
[doi:10.4103/0253-7613.19085](https://doi.org/10.4103/0253-7613.19085)
- [10] R. Nair and S. Chanda, "Antibacterial Activity of some Medicinal Plants against Some Medically Important Bacterial Strains," *Indian Journal of Pharmacology*, Vol. 38, No. 2, 2006, pp. 142-144. [doi:10.4103/0253-7613.24625](https://doi.org/10.4103/0253-7613.24625)
- [11] M. B. Tadhani and R. Subhash, "In Vitro Antimicrobial Activity of *Stevia rebaudiana* Bertoni Leaves," *Tropical Journal of Pharmaceutical Research*, Vol. 5, No. 1, 2006, pp. 557-560.
- [12] S. Mandal, M. D. Mandal and N. Pal, "Antibacterial Potential of *Azadirachta indica* Seed and *Bacopa monniera* Leaf Extracts against Multidrug Resistant *Salmonella enterica* Serovar Typhi Isolates," *Archives of Medical Science*, Vol. 3, No. 1, 2007, pp. 14-18.
- [13] R. Nair and S. Chanda, "In-Vitro Antimicrobial Activity of *Psidium guajava* L. Leaf Extracts against Clinically Important Pathogenic Microbial Strains," *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology*, Vol. 38, No. 3, 2007, pp. 452-458.
[doi:10.1590/S1517-83822007000300013](https://doi.org/10.1590/S1517-83822007000300013)
- [14] N. Y. Chiu and K. H. Chang, "The Illustrated Medicinal Plants of Taiwan," Vol. 1, SMC Publishing, Inc., Taipei, 1986, p. 129.
- [15] C.C. Lin, Y. L. Chen, J. M. Lin and T. Ujiie, "Evaluation of the Antioxidant and Hepatoprotective Activity of *Terminalia catappa*," *American Journal of Chinese Medicine*, Vol. 25, No. 2, 1997, pp. 153-161.
[doi:10.1142/S0192415X97000172](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0192415X97000172)
- [16] A. N. Nagappa, P. A. Thakurdesai, N. Venkat Rao and J. Singh, "Antidiabetic Activity of *Terminalia catappa* Linn Fruits," *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, Vol. 88, No. 1, 2003, pp. 45-50. [doi:10.1016/S0378-8741\(03\)00208-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-8741(03)00208-3)
- [17] Y. M. Fan, L. Z. Xu, J. Gao, Y. Wang, X. H. Tang, X. N. Zhao and Z. X. Zhang, "Phytochemical and Anti-Inflammatory Studies on *Terminalia catappa*," *Fitoterapia*, Vol. 75, No. 3-4, 2004, pp. 253-260.
[doi:10.1016/j.fitote.2003.11.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fitote.2003.11.007)
- [18] Y. F. Zhai, J. Yao, Y. M. Fan, L. Z. Xu, J. Gao and X. N. Zhao, "Inhibitory Effects of LR-98 on Proliferation of Hepatocarcinoma Cells," *Journal of Nanjing University of Natural Science*, Vol. 37, No. 2, 2001, pp. 213-217.
- [19] L. Z. Xu, J. Gao, L. Zhu, M. Xu, S. Y. Lu, X. N. Zhao and Z. X. Zhang, "Protective Effects of LR-98 on Hepatotoxicity Induced by Carbon Tetrachloride and D-Galactosamine in Mice," *Journal of Nanjing University of Natural Science*, Vol. 36, No. 2, 2000, pp. 197-201.
- [20] A. W. Bauer, W. M. M. Kirby, J. C. Sherris and M. Truck, "Antibiotic Susceptibility Testing by a Standardized Single Disk Method," *American Journal of Clinical Pathology*, Vol. 45, No. 4, 1966, pp. 426-493.
- [21] J. Parekh and S. Chanda, "In Vitro Antimicrobial Activity of *Trapa natans* L. Fruit Rind Extracted in Different Solvents," *African Journal of Biotechnology*, Vol. 6, No. 6, 2007, pp. 766-770.
- [22] M. J. Pelczar, E. C. S. Chan and N. R. Krieg, "Microbiology Concepts and Applications," McGraw-Hill Inc., New York, 1993, p. 967.
- [23] M. J. Martinez, J. Betancourt, N. Alanso-Gonzalez and A. Jauregui, "Screening of Some Cuban Medicinal Plants for Antimicrobial Activity," *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, Vol. 52, No. 3, 1996, pp. 171-174.
[doi:10.1016/0378-8741\(96\)01405-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-8741(96)01405-5)
- [24] R. F. Service, "Antibiotics That Resist Resistance," *Science*, Vol. 270, No. 5237, 1995, pp. 724-727.
[doi:10.1126/science.270.5237.724](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.270.5237.724)
- [25] I. Ahmad, Z. Mehmood and F. Mohammad, "Screening of Some Indian Medicinal Plants for Their Antimicrobial Properties," *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, Vol. 62, No. 2, 1998, pp. 183-193.
[doi:10.1016/S0378-8741\(98\)00055-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-8741(98)00055-5)
- [26] G. A. Cordell, "Biodiversity and Drug Discovery a Symbiotic Relationship," *Phytochemistry*, Vol. 55, No. 66, 2000, pp. 463-480. [doi:10.1016/S0031-9422\(00\)00230-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-9422(00)00230-2)
- [27] B. Dulger and A. Gonuz, "Antibacterial Activity of the Endemic *Hypericum kazdaghensis*," *Fitoterapia*, Vol. 76, No. 2, 2005, pp. 237-239.
[doi:10.1016/j.fitote.2004.12.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fitote.2004.12.010)
- [28] L. Stuart, "Infectious Diseases and Rise of Antibiotic Resistance," *Pharmaceutical News*, Vol. 3, 1996, p. 21.
- [29] H. Babayi, I. Kolo, J. I. Okojun and U. J. J. Ijah, "The Antimicrobial Activities of Methanolic Extracts of *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* and *Terminalia catappa* against Some Pathogenic Microorganisms," *Biokemistri*, Vol. 16, No. 2, 2004, pp. 106-111.
- [30] J. E. Kelmanson, A. K. Jager and J. Van Staden, "Zulu Medicinal Plants with Antimicrobial Activity," *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, Vol. 69, No. 3, 2000, pp. 241-246.
[doi:10.1016/S0378-8741\(99\)00147-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-8741(99)00147-6)
- [31] J. Parekh and S. Chanda, "Screening of Some India Medicinal Plants for Antibacterial Activity," *Indian Journal of Pharmaceutical Science*, Vol. 68, No. 6, 2006, pp. 835-838. [doi:10.4103/0250-474X.31032](https://doi.org/10.4103/0250-474X.31032)
- [32] V. Prashanth Kumar, S. C. Neelam, H. Padh and M. Rajni, "Search for Antibacterial and Antifungal Agents from Selected Indian Medicinal Plants," *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, Vol. 107, No. 2, 2006, pp. 182-188.
[doi:10.1016/j.jep.2006.03.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jep.2006.03.013)
- [33] J. Yao and R. Moellering, "Antibacterial Agents," In: P. Murray, E. Baron, M. Pfaller, F. Tenover and R. Tenover, Eds., *Manual of Clinical Microbiology*, The American Society For Microbiology (ASM), Washington DC, 1995, pp. 1281-1290.

- [34] I. Bhattacharjee, A. Ghosh and G. Chandra, "Antimicrobial Activity of the Essential Oil of *Cestrum diurnum* L. Solanales: Solanaceae," *African Journal of Biotechnology*, Vol. 4, No. 4, 2005, pp. 371-374.
- [35] F. Scazzocchio, M. F. Cometa, L. Tomassina and M. Palmery, "Antimicrobial Activity of *Hydrastis canadensis* Extract and It's Major Isolated Alkaloids," *Planta Medica*, Vol. 67, No. 6, 2001, pp. 561-564.