

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

YIELD AND QUALITY OF STARFRUIT (*Averrhoa carambola* CV. B10) UNDER PROTECTED CULTIVATION

ZABEDAH MAHMOOD

FP 2007 26



YIELD AND QUALITY OF STARFRUIT (Averrhoa carambola CV. B10) UNDER PROTECTED CULTIVATION

ZABEDAH MAHMOOD

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

2007



YIELD AND QUALITY OF STARFRUIT (Averrhoa carambola CV . B10) UNDER PROTECTED CULTIVATION

By

ZABEDAH MAHMOOD

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

September 2007



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

YIELD AND QUALITY OF STARFRUIT (*Averrhoa carambola* CV. B10) UNDER PROTECTED CULTIVATION

By

ZABEDAH MAHMOOD

September 2007

- Chairman : Associate Professor Mohd Ridzwan Abd. Halim, PhD
- Faculty : Agriculture

Starfruit (*Averrhoa carambola*) is an important export fruit with an annual export value of RM30 million. The fruit is mainly exported to Europe. A critical constraint to the future expansion of the starfruit industry is its high labour requirement especially in wrapping of individual fruits, which takes up about 45% of the labour cost. Besides the labour requirement, from 2004 onward all fresh produce to be exported to Europe must meet the EUREPGAP requirement, which encompasses a good agriculture practice such as minimum usage of agrochemicals to maintain consumer confidence in food quality and safety. Thus cultivation of starfruit under protected structure offers an alternative production technique that can be considered.

Three experiments were conducted to study the agronomic performance of starfruit under protected structure. The first study was on the effect of crop load on plant's physiological performance, yield and fruit quality. The rate of



stomatal conductance and transpiration of matured leaf (35 day old) was significantly affected by the crop load treatments. The stomatal conductance of the high and medium crop load were 83-93 % higher ($P \le 0.05$) than the low crop load. At the same time the transpiration rate of the middle and high crop load were 91 % higher than the lowest crop load ($P \le 0.05$). The yield increased significantly ($P \le 0.05$) with increase in crop load from 6.3 t/ha at the lowest to 22 t/ha at the highest crop load. The number of exportable size fruit increased with increase in number of 'M' and 'S' size fruits at the highest crop load.

Increase in crop load did not affect the fruit chemical properties such as soluble solids and ascorbic acid concentration. The fruit firmness was not significantly influenced by the crop load treatments. Thus maintaining crop load of starfruit up to 600 fruit per trees per harvesting season was able to increase exportable yield of starfruit without affecting fruit chemical properties and fruit firmness.

The second experiment was on the effect of fruit microenvironment on fruit development, qualities and nutrient concentration. The position of fruit under the tree canopy influenced the fruit microenvironment such as heat units and cumulative irradiance impinging on the fruits. The difference in heat units and cumulative irradiance had significant influence on fruit development, and fruit chemical properties. The fruits that were exposed to higher irradiance (PAR m.m⁻²) were lower in fruit fresh weight (P ≤ 0.05) compared to fruits



protected under the canopy. Thus at harvest these fruits were small and mainly of the 'S' size, while fruits protected under the tree canopy were bigger mainly of 'M' size.

Exposure to higher irradiance (PAR m.m⁻²) did not influence the soluble solids concentration. Although exposure to higher irradiance could increase the ascorbic acid and carotenoid concentrations, the fruits lacked lustre. Exposure to higher heat unit caused a reduction in fruit calcium, which was the only element that was found to influence firmness of starfruit.

Hence a third study was conducted to determine the effect of foliar calcium on fruit quality improvement. Application of calcium onto the fruit during the early fruit development stage resulted in increase in fruit calcium concentration from 228 mg/kg to 287 mg/kg ($P \le 0.05$). The calcium treated fruits had smaller cells with thicker cell walls when examined under the electron microscope. The application of calcium also increased the wing tip thickness ($P \le 0.05$).

In conclusion, when cultivated under protected structure yield of starfruit can be increased by increasing the crop load up to 600 fruits per tree without adverse effect on fruit quality. Best quality fruits were those protected under the tree canopy. Application of the foliar calcium on to the fruit further enhanced fruit quality, resulting in fruit with thicker wings and increased in firmness.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Doktor Falsafah

HASIL DAN KUALITI BELIMBING (Averrhoa carambola CV. B10) DI BAWAH STRUKTUR PELINDUNG

Oleh

ZABEDAH MAHMOOD

September 2007

- Pengerusi : Professor Madya Mohd Ridzwan Abd. Halim, PhD
- Fakulti : Pertanian

Belimbing (*Averrhoa carambola*) merupakan tanaman eksport yang penting. Nilai eksport tahunannya dianggarkan RM30 juta dan destinasi utamanya ialah negara-negara Eropah. Masalah utama dalam perkembangan industri belimbing ialah keperluan tenaga buruhnya yang tinggi terutama untuk membungkus buah yang dianggarkan 45% dari keseluruhan kos tenaga buruh. Di samping itu, dari tahun 2004 semua produk segar yang dieksport ke negara-negara Eropah mesti memenuhi syarat-syarat EUREPGAP, yang merangkumi sistem amalan ladang yang mampan, seperti penggunaan racun kimia yang kurang demi mengekalkan keyakinan pelanggan. Tanaman dalam struktur pelindung merupakan satu alternatif yang perlu diteliti dan dikaji.



Tiga ujikaji telah dijalankan untuk memahami keperluan agronomi tanaman belimbing di bawah struktur pelindung. Kajian pertama merupakan kajian kelebatan buah ke atas fisiologi tumbuhan, hasil, dan kualiti buah. Kelebatan buah telah mempengaruhi kadar konduktans stomata dan kadar transpirasi daun matang (35 hari). Kadar konduktans stomata pada kelebatan tinggi dan sederhana adalah 83 – 93 % lebih tinggi dari kelebatan rendah ($P \le 0.05$). Pada masa yang sama kadar transpirasi daun pada kelebatan sederhana dan tinggi adalah 91 % lebih tinggi daripada kelebatan rendah ($P \le 0.05$). Hasil belimbing bertambah dari 6.3 tan sehektar pada kelebatan terendah kepada 22 tan sehektar pada kelebatan tinggi. Hasil eksport bertambah dengan bertambahnya bilangan buah saiz 'M' dan 'S'.

Kelebatan buah tidak memberi kesan kepada kandungan kimia buah seperti kandungan jumlah pepejal larut dan asid askorbik. Ciri-ciri fizikal buah seperti kerangupan buah tidak terjejas. Dengan menambah kelebatan buah pada tahap 600 biji buah sepokok, hasil eksport dapat ditambah tanpa menjejaskan kualiti buah.

Kajian kedua bertujuan untuk melihat kesan persekitaran mikro buah pada perkembangan buah, kualiti dan kandungan nutrien buah. Posisi buah memberi kesan kepada persekitaran mikro buah seperti unit haba dan jumlah irradians pada buah. Hal ini memberi kesan ketara kepada perkembangan buah, kandungan kimia dan kandungan nutrien. Buah yang terkena irradians (PAR m.m⁻²) yang lebih tinggi adalah lebih kecil dengan berat segar yang



lebih rendah ($P \le 0.05$) berbanding dengan buah yang terlindung di bawah kanopi. Dengan itu buah-buah ini kebanyakan kecil dengan saiz 'S'. Buah yang terlindung di bawah kanopi bersaiz 'M'.

Pendedahan kepada irradians yang tinggi juga tidak menjejas kandungan jumlah pepejal larut. Walaupun pendedahan kepada irradians dapat menambah kandungan asid askorbik dan karotin, buahnya kurang menarik kerana tidak berkilat. Pendedahan kepada unit haba yang tinggi pula menyebabkan pengurangan kandungan kalsium buah. Kalsium merupakan satu-satunya elemen yang mempengaruhi kerangupan buah.

Dengan itu kajian ketiga dijalankan untuk mengesan peranan semburan kalsium ke atas kualiti buah. Hasil kajian menunjukkan semburan kalsium telah menambahkan kandungan kalsium buah dari 228 mg/kg kepada 287 mg/kg ($P \le 0.05$). Apabila dilihat di bawah mikroskop elektron, sel – sel buah yang disembur dengan kalsium adalah bersaiz lebih kecil dengan dinding sel yang lebih tebal berbanding buah yang tidak disembur. Ketebalan tip kepak buah telah bertambah ($P \le 0.05$).

Hasil belimbing dapat ditambah apabila kelebatan buah ditambah sehingga 600 biji sepokok tanpa menjejaskan kualiti. Kualiti buah terbaik diperolehi dari buah yang terlindung di bawah kanopi. Semburan kalsium pada buah menghasilkan buah yang lebih rangup dengan tip kepak buah yang lebih tebal.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to express my sincere thanks and appreciation to the chairman of my supervisory committee Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mohd Ridzwan b. Abd. Halim for undertaking the position, guidance and support throughout the preparation of this manuscript. Special thanks to Dr. Mohd Yusof Abdullah of MARDI for being a member of the committee. His guidance, ideas, invaluable discussion and constructive comments throughout my study are appreciated.

I would like to acknowledge my other supervisors Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mohd. Fauzi Ramlan and Assoc. Prof. Dr. Siti Aishah Hassan for their guidance and encouragement.

I am also grateful to the director of Horticulture Research MARDI, Dr. Abd. Razak Shaari for his patience and encouragement in guiding me throughout my research period. My sincere thanks are also due to Dr. Pauziah Muda and Dr. Salma Idris of MARDI for their technical expertise and advice.

I appreciate the technical assistance of Azimah Ali, Hisham Yacob, Zainatul 'Asyqin Samsu, Ku Asmah Ku Abdullah, Wan Rozita Wan Engah and Mohd Sharizan Jasman. Their assistance and support was invaluable to the completion of this thesis.



For statistical advice, I would like to thank the senior statisticians of MARDI, Pn. Zaharah Talib and En. Ahmad Shokri Othman.

Last but not least, special thanks are due to my beloved husband, Md. Sharif Bidin for his patience, support, care and encouragement and my seven children - Muhammad Syafiq, Muhammand Hafiz, Muhammad Zharif, Muhammad Hariz, Khadijah, Siti Hajar and Abdul Rahman - for their support, love, understanding and inspiration





This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Mohd Ridzwan Abd Halim, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Agriculture Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Mohd. Fauzi Ramlan, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Agriculture Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

Siti Aishah Hassan, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Agriculture Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

Mohd. Yusoff Abdullah, PhD

Deputy Director Malaysian Agriculture Research And Development Institute (MARDI) (Member)

AINI IDERIS, PhD

Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 13 December 2007



DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or other institutions.

ZABEDAH BINTI MAHMOOD

Date: 10 October 2007



TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	ii	
ABSTRAK	v	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	viii	
APPROVAL	x	
DECLARATION	xii	
TABLE OF CONTENTS	xiii	
LIST OF TABLES	xvi	
LIST OF FIGURES	xviii	
LIST OF PLATES	xxi	

CHAPTER

1		INTRODUCTION	1
2		LITERATURE REVIEW	8
	2.1	Origin, Botany, and Characteristics of Starfruit (Averrhoa carambola)	8
	2.2	Crop Load	9
	2.3	The Effect of Pre harvest Microenvironment on Fruit Quality	27
	2.4	The Effect of Calcium Application on Fruit Quality	44
	2.5	Conclusion	55
3		THE EFFECT OF CROP LOAD ON LEAF PHYSIOLOGICAL PERFORMANCE, YIELD, FRUIT QUALITY AND PLANT NUTRIENT STATUS (<i>Averrhoa</i>	
		carambola) cv. B10	57
	3.1	Introduction	57
	3.2	Methodology	59

Page

	3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4	Location and Treatments Crop Maintenance Study Parameter 3.2.3.1 Leaf Physiological Characteristics 3.2.3.2 Yield and Fruit Size 3.2.3.3 Fruit Physical Properties 3.2.3.4 Fruit Chemical Properties 3.2.3.5 Plant nutrient status Experimental Design and Statistical Analysis	59 61 62 64 65 67 68 71
3.3	Result 3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 3.3.4 3.3.5	Leaf Physiological Parameter Yield and Fruit Size Fruit Physical Properties Fruit Chemical Properties Plant Nutrient Status	72 72 79 85 86 87
3.4	Discus	sion	100
3.5	Conclu	ision	115
	THE EF FRUIT AND C CONC caram	FECT OF FRUIT CANOPY POSITION ON MICROENVIRONMENT, FRUIT PHYSICAL HEMICAL DEVELOPMENT AND NUTRIENT ENTRATION OF STARFRUIT (<i>Averrhoa</i> <i>bola</i> CV.B10)	117
4.1 4.2	Introdu Method 4.2.1 4.2.2	ction lology Treatments Study Parameter	117 118 118 119
		 4.2.2.1 Irradiance (PAR m.m⁻²) and Heat Units Measurements 4.2.2.2 Fruit Development 4.2.2.3 Fruit Chemical Properties 4.2.2.4 Fruit Colour 4.2.2.5 Fruit Nutrient Concentration at 	120 124 126 127
	4.2.3	Various Fruit Development Stages Experimental Design and Statistical Analysis	128 128
4.3	Result 4.3.1 4.3.2	Irradiance (PAR m . m ⁻²) Impinging on the Fruits Fruit Temperature and Heat Units	130 130 134

4



		4.3.4 4.3.5	Fruit Physical Development at Various Canopy Positions Relationship between the Fruit Physical and	139
			Chemical Development, Heat Units and Irradiance	142
	4.4	Discuss	sion	161
	4.5	Conclu	sion	171
5		THE EF FRUIT (LIFE OF	FECT OF PRE HARVEST CALCIUM ON YIELD, QUALITY AND POST HARVEST STORAGE STARFRUIT (<i>Averrhoa carambola</i> CV. BI0)	173
	5.1	Introdu	iction	173
	5.2	Method 5.2.1 5.2.2	ololgy Treatments Study Parameter 5.2.2.1 Evaluation of Fresh Fruit 5.2.2.2 Evaluation on the Fruits after Four	175 175 176 177
		5.2.3	Experimental Design and Statistical Analysis	181
	5.3	Result		182
	5.4	Discuss	sion	194
	5.5	Conclu	sion	201
6		GENER	AL DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	203
BIBL	IOGRA	РНҮ		210
LIST	OF AB	BREVIA	TIONS FOR APPENDICES	241
APPE	ENDICE	S		242
BIOD	Ο ΑΤΑ	F THE A	UTHOR	254



LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
3.2.1	The soil chemical properties, nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), potassium (K), calcium (Ca), magnesium (Mg), cation exchage capasity (c. e. c.) of the starfruit plot under protected cultivation	60
		04
3.2.2	The crop load levels	61
3.3.1	Effect of crop load on fruit density and firmness	85
3.3.2	Effect of crop load on thickness of wing tip and width of wing base	86
3.3.3	Effect of crop load on fruit chemical properties (ascorbic acid and soluble solids concentration)	87
4.2.1	The treatments at the various canopy positions	119
4.3.1	The cumulative irradiance values impinging on the fruits at the various canopy positions (morning sun, evening sun and under canopy) during the fruit development period (days after anthesis)	133
4.3.2	The heat units impinging on the fruits at the various canopy positions (morning sun, evening sun and under canopy) during the fruit development period (days after anthesis)	136
4.3.3	Correlation coefficients between cumulative heat units, cumulative irradiance (PAR) and fruit physical (fruit length, fresh weight) and chemical (soluble solids concentration – SSC, ascorbic acid) characteristics	138
4.3.4	The correlation coefficient between carotenoid concentration (carot.), fruit colour (L*, C*, h ^o) PAR and Heat units (H.U)	148
4.3.5	The effects of fruit canopy positions on fruit colour Chroma (C*) values at various fruit maturity indices	155



4.3.6	Correlation coefficients between fruit firmness, fruit calcium concentration (Ca), heat units (H. U) and cumulative irradiance (PAR)	158
5.2.1	The treatment combinations	176
5.3.1	Effect of pre harvest calcium (Ca) and crop load on yield of starfruit	183
5.3.2	Effect of pre harvest calcium (Ca) treatment and crop load on number of fruits of various sizes, very small ('VS'), small ('S'), medium ('M'), large ('L'), extra large '(XL')	184
5.3.3	Effect of pre harvest calcium (Ca) treatment on the percentage of fruits of various sizes, very small ('VS'), small ('S'), medium ('M'), large ('L'), extra large '(XL')	185
5.3.4	Effect of pre harvest calcium (Ca) and crop load on fruit firmness and fruit nutrients concentration (Calcium – Ca, Nitrogen –N, Phosporus – P, Photassium –K, Magnesium – Mg)	186
5.3.5	Correlation coefficient between fruit firmness values and fruit nutrients concentration (Calcium –Ca, Nitrogen –N, Phosporus – P, Photassium –K, Magnesium – Mg)	187
5.3.6	Effect of pre harvest calcium (Ca) and crop load on firmness of fruit after four weeks storage	190
5.3.7	Effect of pre harvest calcium (Ca) and crop load on wing tip thickness	191
5.3.8	Effect of pre harvest calcium (Ca) and crop load on fruit chemical properties - soluble solids concentration (SSC) and ascorbic acid (fresh fruit)	191
5.3.9	Effect of pre harvest calcium (Ca) treatment and crop load on fruit chemical properties - soluble solids concentration (SSC) and ascorbic acid (four weeks after storage)	192
5.3.10	Effect of pre harvest calcium (Ca) and crop load on fruit colour (L* C* h°) (Fresh Fruit)	193
5.3.11	Effect of pre harvest calcium (Ca) treatment and crop load on fruit colour (L* C* h°) of fruits stored for four weeks	193



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
3.3.1	Effect of crop load on leaf stomatal conductance (mmol $.m^{-2}$. s ⁻¹) at 21, 28, 35, 42 and 56 days after emergence	73
3.3.2	Effect of crop load on leaf transpiration rate (mmol . m ⁻² s ⁻¹) at 21, 28, 35, 42 and 56 days after emergence	75
3.3.3	Effect of crop load treatment on specific leaf weight (g. m ⁻²) at 14, 21, 28 and 35 days after emergence	76
3.3.4	Effect of crop load on leaf reducing sugar concentration $(\mu mol \ . \ g^{-1})$ of 35 day old leaves taken when fruits were at maturity index 1, 2, 3, 4 and after fruit harvest (AH)	78
3.3.5	Effect of crop load on yield of starfruit	80
3.3.6	Effect of crop load on number of fruits of various sizes 'S' = small, 'M' = medium and 'L' = large	81
3.3.7	Effect of crop load on percentage of fruit of various sizes 'S' = small, 'M' = medium and 'L' = large	83
3.3.8	Effect of crop load on percentage of fruit of various sizes, 'VS' = very small, 'XL' = extra large and bleached fruits	84
3.3.9 a	Effect of crop load on percentage of nitrogen in leaf, fruit and branch	88
3.3.9 b	Effect of crop load on percentage of phophorus in leaf, fruit and branch	89
3.3.9 c	Effect of crop load on percentage of potassium in leaf, fruit and branch	90
3.3.9 d	Effect of crop load on percentage of calcium in leaf, fruit and branch	91
3.3.9 e	Effect of crop load on percentage of magnesium in leaf, fruit and branch	92
3.3.10	Effect of crop load on total dry weight of pruned leaves, harvested fruits and pruned branches	94



3.3.11a	Effect of crop load on average amount of nitrogen per tree (g/tree) in pruned leaves, harvested fruits and pruned branches	95
3.3.11b	Effect of crop load on average amount of phosphorus per tree (g/tree) in pruned leaves, harvested fruits and pruned branches	96
3.3.11c	Effect of crop load on average amount of potassium per tree (g/tree) in pruned leaves, harvested fruits and pruned branches	97
3.3.11d	Effect of crop load on average amount of calcium per tree (g/tree) in pruned leaves, harvested fruits and pruned branches	98
3.3.11e	Effect of crop load on average amount of magnesium per tree (g/tree) in pruned leaves, harvested fruits and pruned branches	99
3.3.12	Effect of crop load on the total amount of nutrients N =nitrogen, P = phosphorus, K = potassium, Ca = calcium and Mg = magnesium removed in harvested fruits, pruned branches and leaves after harvest	101
4.3.1	Total daily photosynthetically active irradiance (PAR m . m ⁻²) impinging on the fruits at various canopy positions from 0 – 85 days after anthesis	131
4.3.2	Total irradiance (PAR m . m ⁻²) from sunrise through noon (7:00-12:00) impinging on fruits at various canopy positions from 0 – 85 days after anthesis	131
4.3.3	Total irradiance (PAR m . m ⁻²) from noon to evening (12:01-19:00) impinging on fruits at various canopy positions from 0 – 85 days after anthesis	132
4.3.4	Daily average rainfall pattern at various throughout the fruiting season	132
4.3.5 a	Daily average fruit temperature pattern at various fruit canopy positions from 0 – 85 days after anthesis	135
4.3.5 b	Daily maximum fruit temperature pattern at various fruit canopy positions from 0 – 85 days after anthesis	135



4.3.5 c	Daily minimum fruit temperature pattern at various canopy positions from 0 – 85 days after anthesis	135
4.3.6	Effect of canopy positions on fruit length at various heat units	140
4.3.7	Effect of canopy positions on fruit fresh weight at various heat units	141
4.3.8	The soluble solids concentration of fruits at maturity index 1, 2, 3 and 4 at the various fruit canopy positions	144
4.3.9	The ascorbic acid concentration of fruits at maturity index 1, 2, 3 and 4 at the various fruit canopy positions	146
4.3.10	The fruit carotenoid concentration of fruits at maturity index 1, 2, 3 and 4 at the various fruit canopy positions	150
4.3.11	The L* value of fruits at maturity index 1, 2, 3 and 4 at the various fruit canopy positions	152
4.3.12	The hue angle value of fruits at maturity index 1, 2, 3 and 4 at the various fruit canopy positions	154
4.3.13	Effects of canopy positions on Ca concentration (mg/kg), at various heat units	157
4.3.14	Fruit firmness (N) of fruits at maturity index 1, 2, 3 and 4 at the various fruit canopy positions	160
5.2.1	Shows the sections where samples were taken for Direct Scanning Electron Microscope study	180



LIST OF PLATES

Plate		Page
1	The single channel light sensor was placed near the fruit canopy position-fruit facing the morning sun	123
2	The thermocouple was appressed on to the fruit surface for fruit temperature meeasurement	123
3	Scanning Electron Micrograph (DSEM) of starfruit mesocarp tissue, fruit treated with pre harvest calcium (A) and without pre harvest calcium (B)	189



CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

The agriculture sector has been identified by the government to be 'The third engine of growth' after the industrial and services sectors. In the 8th Malaysia Plan the agriculture industry is further developed to ensure that it becomes more competitive and viable (Anon., 1999). The agriculture industry will be able to contribute significantly through increased food export and reduced import. As Malaysia is blessed with various species of fruits, a strategic plan has been made to improve the fruit industry. Various programs have been planned by the government to encourage commercial fruit cultivation. Efficient production technologies with products that meet the stringent market requirements are important to ensure the viability and competitiveness of the fruit industry both in the local and international markets.

The Third National Agriculture Plan (1998-2010) has identified fruits as an important commodity to be further developed and commercialised. The Ministry of Agriculture has made strategic plans in technology developments to increase productivity and viability of the fruit industry. Fifteen fruit types are given priority for development namely banana, papaya, pineapple,



melons, starfruit, mango, durian, jackfruit, rambutan, citrus, duku, langsat/dokong, cempedak, guava, ciku and mangosteen. Priority will be given to 10 (8+2) fruit types namely papaya, pineapple, melons, starfruit, mango, durian, jackfruit, citrus, guava and rambutan (Siti Hawa, 2003).

The area of fruit production has increased from 112,849 ha in 1984 to 315,667 ha in 2002 (Anon., 2004). Similarly the production has doubled from 638,100 t in 1985 to 1,234,000 t in the year 2000. The fruit production areas are mainly concentrated in the state of Johor, Pahang, Perak, Terengganu and Kelantan.

The demand for Malaysian tropical fruits in the international markets has shown an increasing trend over the past decades. Tropical fruits are popular for their peculiar taste, flavour, unique features and nutritious value. Starfruit is one example with its unique star shape when cut. The export value of our fruits has shown an increasing trend from RM154.68 million in 1991 to RM225.2 million in 2003. In 2003 papaya was our highest fruit export with a value of RM100 million, followed by watermelon RM42 million, and starfruit RM30 million (Anon.,2005).

Starfruit occupies about 0.41% of the total fruit production areas. The production area has decreased from 1,934 ha in 1993 to 1500 ha in 2005. This is mainly due to acute labour shortage especially in wrapping of fruits against fruit fly. The export value of fresh starfruit has been quite stable

