

## ***In-vitro* Anthelmintic Activity of Condensed Tannins from *Rhus glutinosa*, *Syzygium guineense* and *Albizia gummifera* Against Sheep *Haemonchus contortus***

<sup>1</sup>Mihreteab Bekele, <sup>2</sup>Tilahun Gessesse, <sup>3</sup>Yisehak Kechero and <sup>2</sup>Mesele Abera

<sup>1</sup>School of Veterinary Medicine, College of Agriculture and Veterinary Medicine,  
Jimma University, P.O. Box 307, Jimma, Ethiopia

<sup>2</sup>Department of Veterinary Medicine, Hawassa University, P.O. Box 05, Hawassa, Ethiopia

<sup>3</sup>Department of Animal Sciences, College of Agriculture and  
Veterinary Medicine, Jimma University, P.O. Box 307, Jimma, Ethiopia

---

**Abstract:** Experimental study was conducted to investigate *in-vitro* anthelmintic activities of condensed tannins on egg hatchability and larval development of sheep *Haemonchus contortus*. In view of that, three indigenous medicinal plants: *Rhus glutinosa*, *Syzygium guineense* and *Albizia gummifera* were selected based on their relatively high content of condensed tannins and their aqueous acetone extraction was used for egg hatchability and larval development inhibition assays. The results showed that various concentrations of all three condensed /extracts tannins demonstrated statistically significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) dose dependent inhibition of both egg hatchability and larval development. According to  $ED_{50}$  and  $ED_{90}$  values, the condensed tannin inhibiting egg hatching and larval development most potently was *Rhus glutinosa* followed in descending order of activity by *Syzygium guineense* and *Albizia gummifera*. Finally, the present study suggests that condensed tannins might be recommended as one of the options for the control of *Haemonchus contortus* of sheep.

**Key words:** Anthelmintic · Condensed tannins · *Haemonchus contortus* · Sheep

---

### **INTRODUCTION**

Helminth parasites play an important role in small ruminant's production leading to enormous economic losses through mortality, weight loss, reduced milk, meat and wool production [1-3]. *Haemonchus contortus* (*H. contortus*) is singly the most important of all gastrointestinal helminthes that constrain the survival and productivity of sheep owned by rural poor farmers in the developing world [4].

The control of these parasites in domestic animals is widely based on the use of pharmaceutically derived anthelmintic drugs. However, the current efficacy of these drugs has been reduced, because of the wrong use and/or widespread application of poor quality synthetic or semi-synthetic anthelmintics and consequently the development of resistant nematode strains [5-8]. *H. contortus* is prominent amongst the reports of anthelmintic resistance that has emerged in all countries of the world that produce small ruminants [4].

Moreover, the high cost of synthetic drugs, residual concern in food animals and environmental pollution have stirred up interest in medicinal plants as an alternative source of anthelmintic drugs [9-13]. Hence, the use of indigenous plant preparations as livestock de-wormers is gaining ground as one of the options and sustainable methods readily adapted to rural farming communities [12, 14].

Condensed tannins are poly-phenolic compounds derived from plants' secondary metabolism [15]. Several species of medicinal plants are recognized for their high content of condensed tannins and the anthelmintic effect of some species has been confirmed using *in vitro* tests [16-19]. Some authors have reported a relatively good effect of condensed tannins on worm burden of abomasum worms [10, 20-23] after the use of condensed tannins in ruminant diet. It has also been reported that certain plants with high condensed tannin content are accepted by browsing sheep making them possible candidate for nematode management [24].

---

**Corresponding Author:** Mihreteab Bekele, School of Veterinary Medicine, College of Agriculture and Veterinary Medicine, Jimma University, P.O. Box 307, Jimma, Ethiopia, Tel: +2511471110102, Mob: +2511911316089, E-mails: mihreteab124@yahoo.com & mihreteab.bekele@ju.edu.et

Ethnoveterinary surveys conducted so far in Ethiopia indicate that several traditional healers use medicinal plants for treatment of various animal health problems including treatment of helminth infections [3, 25-28]. However, very few efforts have been made to scientifically screen and evaluate the anthelmintic effect of condensed tannins.

Therefore, the objective of the present research was to investigate the *in-vitro* anthelmintic activities of condensed tannins from *Rhus glutinosa* (*R. glutinosa*), *Syzygium guineense* (*S. guineense*) and *Albizia gummifera* (*A. gummifera*) on the egg hatchability and larval development of sheep *H. contortus*.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Collection of Plant Materials and Extraction Protocol:

Plant samples of *R. glutinosa*, *S. guineense* and *A. gummifera* with known high content of tannins were collected from their natural habitat in and around Jimma area including Gibe river basin. The plant materials were dried in a well-aerated room protected from sun and dust. Then an aqueous acetone (70%) extraction of each plant was performed by decoction. Briefly, dried (finely ground) plant material (200 mg) was taken in a glass beaker of approximately 25 ml capacity. Ten ml of aqueous acetone (70%) was added and the beaker was suspended in an ultrasonic water bath and subjected to ultrasonic treatment for 20 min at room temperature. The content of the beaker was then transferred to centrifuge tubes, cooled by keeping on ice and was subjected to centrifugation for 15 min at 2000 rpm. Then the extracts were stored at 4°C for biological tests.

### Phytochemical Analysis and Total Tannin Quantification of the Extract:

The phytochemical test to detect the presence of tannins was performed following the method described by Matos [29]. The test is based on visual observation of color change or precipitate formation after addition of specific reagents. The total tannin quantification was then performed by the Folin-Denis spectrophotometric method according to Pansera *et al.* [30]. For this test, 5 mg of the extract was diluted in 100 ml distilled water and 2 ml of this solution was added to 2 ml of Folin-Denis reagent. Subsequently, the mixture was vigorously shaken and left for 3 min. Then, 2 ml of 8% sodium carbonate aqueous solution was added to the mixture, which was shaken again and left for 2 h. Solutions ranging from 2 to 24 mg/ml of tannic acid diluted in water were prepared to quantify total tannins. The absorbance was measured at 725 nm and a negative control was

performed at each reading. The readings with three replicates per sample were performed in a spectrophotometer. An analytical calibration curve was plotted from the results.

### Collection of Adult Parasites and Egg Recovery Technique:

To collect adult female parasites of *H. contortus*, the abomasa of naturally infected sheep from Jimma municipal abattoir were incised along the curvature and washed slowly under tap water several times. Then, adult worms were picked manually using forceps and put in a universal bottle containing phosphate buffered saline (PBS, pH: 7.2) and were transported in cold chain (4°C) to Jimma University College of Agriculture and Veterinary Medicine, School of Veterinary Medicine, parasitology and pathology laboratory. The eggs recovery was performed according to the method described previously by Jabbar *et al.* [7]. Female adult worms were crushed using pestle and mortar. After liberation, the eggs were cultured in a 250 ml jar filled with autoclaved sheep feces for eight days at room temperature.

**Infecting Sheep With *H. Contortus* Larvae:** About 2500 larvae were inoculated to two de-wormed sheep that were maintained in a partitioned animal house of the College of Agriculture and Veterinary Medicine, Jimma University to be served as donor of *H. contortus* eggs for the *in-vitro* tests.

### Collection and Counting of Eggs from Donor Sheep:

Feces collected from *H. contortus* egg donor sheep were processed and then centrifuged in test tubes for 1 minute at 2000 RPM and supernatant was discarded. Tubes were then agitated on a vortex mixer to loosen the sediment and saturated sodium chloride solution was added until a meniscus formed above the tube. A cover slip was placed and was plucked off carefully after 5 minutes from tubes and eggs were washed off into a conical glass centrifuge tube. The tube was filled with water and centrifuged for 1 minute at 2000 RPM. The supernatant was decanted and eggs were re-suspended in saline solution. The concentration of recovered egg samples was determined using a modified McMaster technique. Results were reported as eggs per gram (epg).

**Egg Hatchability Inhibition Test:** The egg hatchability inhibition test was conducted according to the procedure described by Coles *et al.* [31] with little modifications. Condensed extracts tannins from the three plants were used as the test treatments. Albendazole dissolved in Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and diluted in distilled water

was used as positive control while untreated eggs in distilled water were used as negative control. The test was conducted in 5ml test tubes. In the assay, approximately 150-250 eggs in 1.5ml of water were placed in each test tube. Various serial concentrations (0.0156, 0.0312, 0.0625, 0.125, 0.25, 0.5 and 1 mg/ml) of each plant extract were added in total volume of 0.5ml distilled water. The test tubes were covered and kept in an incubator at 27°C for 48 hrs. The experiment was repeated three times. Hatched larvae and unhatched eggs were then counted under dissecting microscope at 40x magnification.

**Larval Development Inhibition Assay:** The test was conducted with a modification of the technique described by Costa *et al.* [32]. Condensed extracts tannins from the three plants were used as the test treatments. Ivermectin 1% (10 mg/ml) dissolved in DMSO and diluted in distilled water was used as positive control while untreated eggs in distilled water were used as negative control. After incubating the eggs at 27°C for 24 hours, an aliquot of 1ml, containing 95-125 first stage larvae (L<sub>1</sub>) of *H. contortus* was mixed with 5gm of feces that was collected from a de-wormed sheep free of gastrointestinal nematodes. Various serial concentrations of each condensed tannin extract (1.562, 3.125, 6.25, 12.5, 25 and 50mg/ml) were prepared in distilled water to make total volume of 7 ml together with water containing L<sub>1</sub> and volume of egg free feces. The test materials were then incubated for 6 days at room temperature. At the end of 6<sup>th</sup> day the wall of each cup containing the sample was thoroughly rinsed with 10ml of water to collect the larvae. Then one drop of Lugol's iodine solution was added and all L<sub>3</sub> stage larvae were counted under dissecting microscope at 40x magnification.

**Statistical Analysis:** All data from egg hatchability inhibition test and larval development inhibition assay were entered into an Excel spreadsheet and was transferred to SPSS 16 for analysis. The results of the *in-vitro* tests were expressed as mean efficacy percentage of egg hatching or larval development inhibition ± standard deviation. The concentrations of the extracts required to inhibit 50% (ED<sub>50</sub>) and 90 % (ED<sub>90</sub>) of egg hatching as well as larval development; and the relative median potency estimates of the condensed tannin extracts on egg hatchability and larval development inhibition as compared to the positive control were calculated by probit analysis. Comparison of the mean egg hatchability and larval development inhibition was carried out by a one-way ANOVA. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant at 95% confidence level for all analysis.

## RESULTS

Phytochemical analysis and total tannin quantification of the three plant extracts were performed and the result indicated that *R. glutinosa* showed the highest tannin content whereas *A. gummifera* was the least (Table 1).

The results of mean inhibition percentage (±SD) of condensed tannin extracts (Table 2 and 3) showed that all three condensed tannin extracts demonstrated various degrees of dose dependent inhibition on both egg hatchability and larval development with *R. glutinosa* being the highest followed by *S. guineensa* whereas *A. gummifera* showed the lowest inhibition.

The ED<sub>50</sub> and ED<sub>90</sub> values of condensed tannin extract on egg hatchability and larval development is shown in Table 4 and Table 5. Accordingly, the highest

Table 1: Tannin contents of the three plant extracts

Plant samples	Tannin contents (%) of the extracts	95% confidence interval	
		Lower bound	Upper bound
<i>A. gummifera</i>	7.20	2.13	12.27
<i>S. guineensa</i>	17.20	9.80	24.60
<i>R. glutinosa</i>	18.80	11.14	26.46

Table 2: Mean inhibition percentage (±SD) of different concentrations of condensed tannin extracts on egg hatchability of sheep *H. contortus*

Concentrations (mg ml <sup>-1</sup> )	Mean inhibition %			
	<i>A. gummifera</i>	<i>S. guineensa</i>	<i>R. glutinosa</i>	Albendazole
0.0156	1.64±1.75	2.07±2.01	3.26±2.50	3.82±2.54
0.0312	2.93±2.46	3.14±2.41	3.29±2.52	7.07±3.66
0.0625	3.35±2.50	6.15±3.43	7.23±3.61	15.99±4.83
0.125	10.9±4.01	15.68±5.01	22.87±5.76	81.46±4.99
0.25	25.96±5.82	30.33±6.20	59.47±6.55	100±0.00
0.5	52.07±6.65	57.33±6.41	87.34±4.31	100±0.00
1	78.41±5.35	88.62±4.35	99.08±1.39	100±0.00

Table 3: Mean inhibition percentage ( $\pm$ SD) of different concentrations of condensed tannin extracts on larval development of sheep *H. contortus*.

Concentrations (mg ml <sup>-1</sup> )	Mean inhibition %			
	<i>A. gumifera</i>	<i>S. guineensa</i>	<i>R. glutinosa</i>	Alvermectin
1.562	29.72 $\pm$ 8.66	36.62 $\pm$ 9.09	43.52 $\pm$ 9.35	46.89 $\pm$ 9.24
3.125	38.51 $\pm$ 9.22	48.10 $\pm$ 8.87	55.59 $\pm$ 9.29	58.41 $\pm$ 9.43
6.25	50.00 $\pm$ 9.75	61.95 $\pm$ 8.95	66.77 $\pm$ 8.8	80.52 $\pm$ 8.23
12.5	61.67 $\pm$ 9.53	70.81 $\pm$ 8.61	78.70 $\pm$ 7.58	82.12 $\pm$ 7.16
25	73.27 $\pm$ 8.23	78.89 $\pm$ 7.52	86.25 $\pm$ 6.56	89.81 $\pm$ 5.81
50	84.16 $\pm$ 6.92	89.41 $\pm$ 5.67	91.07 $\pm$ 5.28	93.91 $\pm$ 4.37

Table 4: The ED<sub>50</sub> and ED<sub>90</sub> in mg ml<sup>-1</sup> of condensed tannin extracts on egg hatchability of sheep *H. contortus*

Condensed tannins	ED <sub>50</sub> (mg ml <sup>-1</sup> )	95% confidence interval		ED <sub>90</sub> (mg ml <sup>-1</sup> )	95% confidence interval	
		Lower bound	Upper bound		Lower bound	Upper bound
<i>A. gummifera</i>	0.50	0.36	0.68	1.65	1.15	2.76
<i>S. guineensa</i>	0.41	0.30	0.54	1.19	0.87	1.87
<i>R. glutinosa</i>	0.21	0.17	0.26	0.49	0.39	0.68
Albendazole	0.08	0.06	0.11	0.23	0.17	0.37

Table 5: The ED<sub>50</sub> and ED<sub>90</sub> in mg ml<sup>-1</sup> of the condensed tannin extracts on larval development of sheep *H. contortus*

Condensed tannins	ED <sub>50</sub> (mg ml <sup>-1</sup> )	95% confidence interval		ED <sub>90</sub> (mg ml <sup>-1</sup> )	95% confidence interval	
		Lower bound	Upper bound		Lower bound	Upper bound
<i>A. gummifera</i>	5.89	4.08	8.11	106.41	69.59	184.47
<i>S. guineensa</i>	3.45	2.36	4.73	62.22	42.58	100.88
<i>R. glutinosa</i>	2.27	1.51	3.16	39.34	27.76	60.90
Alvermectin	0.66	0.38	1.03	11.85	8.68	16.73

Table 6: Relative median potency estimates of the condensed tannin extracts on egg hatchability of sheep *H. contortus* as compared to the positive control

Condensed tannins and control	Estimates	95% confidence interval	
		Lower bound	Upper bound
<i>A. gummifera</i>	0.16	0.04	0.39
Albendazole	6.12	2.58	25.04
<i>S. guineensa</i>	0.20	0.05	0.45
Albendazole	4.94	2.23	18.60
<i>R. glutinosa</i>	0.39	0.19	0.63
Albendazole	2.54	1.58	5.42

Table 7: Relative median potency estimates of the condense tannin extracts on larval development of sheep *H. contortus* as compared to the positive control

Condensed tannins and control	Estimates	95% confidence interval	
		Lower bound	Lower bound
<i>A. gummifera</i>	0.11	0.06	0.19
Ivermectin	8.95	5.22	8.09
<i>S. guineensa</i>	0.19	0.11	0.30
Ivermectin	5.23	3.31	9.30
<i>R. glutinosa</i>	0.30	0.18	0.45
Ivermectin	3.35	2.21	5.52

Table 8: One-way ANOVA for egg hatchability and larval development inhibition test of *A. gummiifera* against sheep *H. contortus*

Egg hatchability inhibition assay							
Descriptives	Treatments	N*	Mean egg hatchability inhibition	Standard deviation	95% confidence interval for mean		
					Lower bound	Upper bound	
	A. gummiifera	9	62.93	72.50	7.20	118.66	
	Albendazole	9	118.56	98.71	42.68	194.43	
	Distilled water	9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
ANOVA		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	P-value	
		Between Groups	63329.24	2	31664.62	6.33	0.006
		Within Groups	119996.17	24	4999.84		
Larval development inhibition test							
Descriptives	Treatments	N*	Mean larval development inhibition	Standard deviation	95% confidence interval for mean		
					Lower bound	Upper bound	
	A. gummiifera	7	51.90	29.03	25.06	78.75	
	Ivermectin	7	76.86	36.53	43.07	110.64	
	Distilled water	7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
ANOVA		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	P-value	
		Between Groups	21522.07	2	10761.04	14.83	0.000
		Within Groups	13061.68	18	725.65		

N\*=Number of serial dilution

Table 9: One-way ANOVA for egg hatchability and larval development inhibition test of *S. guineensa* against sheep *H. contortus*

Egg hatchability inhibition assay							
Descriptives	Treatments	N*	Mean egg hatchability inhibition	Standard deviation	95% confidence interval for mean		
					Lower bound	Upper bound	
	S. guineensa	9	66.41	74.51	9.13	123.68	
	Albendazole	9	118.55	98.71	42.68	194.43	
	Distilled water	9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
ANOVA		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	P-value	
		Between Groups	63554.38	2	31777.19	6.23	0.007
		Within Groups	122360.62	24	5098.36		
Larval development inhibition test							
Descriptives	Treatments	N*	Mean larval development inhibition	Standard deviation	95% confidence interval for mean		
					Lower bound	Upper bound	
	S. guineensa	7	63.05	32.26	33.21	92.89	
	Ivermectin	7	76.86	36.53	43.07	110.64	
	Distilled water	7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
ANOVA		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	P-value	
		Between Groups	23503.03	2	11751.51	14.84	0.000
		Within Groups	14252.95	18	791.83		

N\*=Number of serial dilutions

Table 10: One-way ANOVA for egg hatchability and larval development inhibition test of *R. glutinosa* against sheep *H. contortus*

Egg hatchability inhibition assay							
Descriptives	Treatments	N*	Mean larval development inhibition	Standard deviation	95% confidence interval for mean		
					Lower bound	Upper bound	
	R. glutinosa	9	85.74	86.16	19.52	151.97	
	Albendazole	9	118.55	98.71	42.68	194.43	
	Distilled water	9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
ANOVA		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	P-value	
		Between Groups	67451.12	2	33725.56	5.89	0.008
		Within Groups	137325.06	24	5721.88		

Table 10: Continue

Larval development inhibition test						
Descriptives	Treatments	N*	Mean larval development inhibition	Standard deviation	95% confidence interval for mean	
					Lower bound	Upper bound
	R. glutinosa	7	66.43	34.90	34.16	98.70
	Ivermectin	7	76.86	36.53	43.07	110.64
	Distilled water	7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
ANOVA		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	P-value
	Between Groups	24333.238	2	12166.62	14.30	0.000
	Within Groups	15313.016	18	850.72		

N\*=Number of serial dilutions

ED<sub>50</sub> and ED<sub>90</sub> values for egg hatchability and larval development inhibition were recorded with *A. gummifera* followed by *S. guineensa* whereas the lowest value was recorded with *R. glutinosa*. Hence, the condensed tannin inhibiting egg hatching and larval development most potently was *R. glutinosa* followed in descending order of activity by *S. guineensa* and *A. gummifera*. The results suggest that all the 3 condensed tannin extracts exhibited various potencies to inhibit the egg hatching and larval development.

Probit analysis was used to compare egg hatchability and larval development inhibition of the condensed tannin extracts by comparing their relative potency with that of the standard counterparts (positive controls); thus, *R. glutinosa* was 3.7 and 5.9 times more potent in inhibiting egg hatchability than *S. guineensa* and *A. gummifera* respectively. Similarly, *R. glutinosa* was 2.5 and 7.3 times more potent in inhibiting larval development than *S. guineensa* and *A. gummifera* respectively (Table 6 & 7).

The values F (2, 24) =6.33, P<0.006; and F (2, 18) =14.83, P<0.000 in Table 8 indicate a one-way ANOVA for mean efficacy on egg hatchability and larval development inhibition of *A.gummifera* as compared to albendazole and a negative control. Accordingly, there was a statistically significant difference in the mean egg hatchability and larval development inhibition respectively across the three groups. However, Tukey's HSD post-hoc test revealed that the observed difference in the mean egg hatchability and larval development inhibition between *A. gummifera* (Mean=62.93, SD=72.50) and Albendazole (Mean=118.56, SD=98.71) was not statistically significant (P=0.24). Similar results with their corresponding descriptive and ANOVA values were observed in Table 9 and 10 pertaining to *S. guineensa* and *R. glutinosa*.

## DISCUSSION

Our *in vitro* study was aimed at investigating the direct effects of condensed tannins on the egg hatchability and larval development of sheep *H. contortus*. In view of that, three indigenous medicinal plants were selected for this study based on their relatively high content of condensed tannins. The effect of condensed tannin extracts which was demonstrated in our study is in accordance with a series of *in vitro* studies that supported the anthelmintic property of condensed tannins [16-21, 33-37].

Demonstration of various degrees of dose dependent inhibition on both egg hatchability and larval development by all condensed tannin extracts is in agreement with the previous studies by different authors [15, 36, 38-43]. There are two hypotheses proposed to elucidate the anthelmintic effects of condensed tannins. Primarily, the direct hypothesis, that is the ability of these compounds to interact with proteins of the cuticle, oral cavity, esophagus, cloaca and vulva of nematodes, changing their chemical and physical properties. Secondly, the indirect hypothesis, that is the capacity of condensed tannins to bind dietary proteins and protect them from rumen degradation increasing protein flow and amino acid absorption by the small intestine improving host immune response against worms [40, 42].

The effective dose (ED<sub>50</sub> and ED<sub>90</sub>) is defined as the concentration of drug or extract producing 50% and 90% respectively inhibition of egg hatching or larval development [44]. Consequently, the three condensed tannin extracts in this study revealed a range of efficacies to inhibit the egg hatching and larval development. The observed differences in potencies among the extracts might be associated with the corresponding variation in their tannin contents. Related study with *in vitro*

inhibitory effect of condensed tannins on egg hatchability and larval development of *H. contortus* was reported by Minho *et al.* [21].

It has been stated that controls of *H. contortus* could not be resolved mere by the use of conventional anthelmintic drugs [21] as there is worldwide problem regarding the development of anthelmintic-resistant worm populations. The three species of plants were chosen for the current research trial based on their relatively high tannin contents and their wide availability in the study area. The promising results of the present study concerning *in vitro* dose dependent inhibitory effect of the three plants on egg hatchability and larval development of sheep *H. contortus* support the possibility of considering condensed tannins as one of the alternatives in the packages towards the control of haemonchosis in sheep. Thus the findings of the present study need to be supported by further *in vivo* studies.

**Conclusion and Recommendation:** All three condensed tannin extracts demonstrated various degrees, yet very close dose dependent inhibition of both egg hatchability and larval development. According to ED<sub>50</sub> and ED<sub>90</sub> values, the condensed tannin inhibiting egg hatching and larval development most potently was *R. glutinosa* followed in descending order of activity of *S. guineensis* and *A. gummifera*. Finally, our work suggests that condensed tannins might be recommended as one of the options for the control of *H. contortus* of sheep.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The study was carried out with the technical and other supports from the School of Veterinary Medicine College of Agriculture and Veterinary Medicine, Jimma University in collaboration with VLIR-IUC JU project (VLIR-UOS Institutional University Cooperation program with Jimma University). Authors also would like to acknowledge Hawassa University Department of Veterinary Medicine for partially sponsoring this work.

#### REFERENCES

1. Githiori, J.B., J. Hogleung, P.J. Waller and R.L. Baker, 2003. The anthelmintic efficacy of the plant, *Albizia anthelmintica*, against the nematodes parasites *Haemonchus contortus* and *Heligmosomoides polygyrus* of mice. *Veterinary Parasitol.*, 116: 23-34.

2. Troell, K., P. Waller and J. Hogleung, 2005. The development and overwintering survival of free-living larvae of *Haemonchus contortus* in Sweden. *J. Helminthol.*, 79: 373-379.
3. Eguale, T., G. Tilahun, A. Debella, A. Fleke and E. Makonnen, 2007. *Haemonchus contortus*: *in vitro* and *in vivo* anthelmintic activity of aqueous and hydro-alcoholic extracts of *Hedera Helix*. *Experimental Parasitol.*, 116: 340-345.
4. Sawleha, Q., A.K. Dixit and D. Pooja, 2010. Use of medicinal plants to control *Haemonchus contortus* infection in small ruminants. *Veterinary World*, 3: 515-518.
5. Chartier, C., F. Soubirac, I. Pors, A. Silvestre, J. Hubert, C. Couquet and J. Cabaret, 2001. Prevalence of anthelmintic resistance in gastrointestinal nematodes of dairy goats under extensive management conditions in southwestern France. *J. Helminthol.*, 75: 325-330.
6. Bartley, D., E. Jackson, K. Jackson, R.L. Coop, B.B. Mitchell, J. Sales and F. Jackson, 2003. A survey of anthelmintic resistant nematode parasites in Scottish sheep flocks. *Veterinary Parasitol.*, 117: 61-71.
7. Jabbar, A., Z. Iqbal, D. Kerboeuf, G. Muhammad, M.N. Khan and M. Afaq, 2006. Anthelmintic resistance: The state of play revisited. *Life Sci.*, 79: 2413-2431.
8. Artho, R., M. Schnyder, L. Kohler, P.R. Torgerson and H. Hertzberg, 2007. Avermectin resistance in gastrointestinal nematodes of Boer goats and Dorper sheep in Switzerland. *Veterinary Parasitol.*, 144: 64-73.
9. Pessoa, L.M., S.M. Morais, C.M.L. Bevilaqua and J.H.S. Luciano, 2002. Anthelmintic activity of essential oil of *Ocimum gratissimum* Linn. and eugenol against *Haemonchus contortus*. *Veterinary Parasitol.*, 109: 59-63.
10. Hordegen, P., H. Hertzberg, J. Heilmann, W. Langhans and V. Maurer, 2003. The anthelmintic efficacy of five plant products against gastrointestinal Trichostrongyloids in artificially infected lambs. *Veterinary Parasitol.*, 117: 51-60.
11. Githiori, J.B., S. Athansiadou and S.M. Thamsborg, 2006. Use of plants novel approaches for control of gastro-intestinal helminths in livestock with emphasis on small ruminants. *Veterinary Parasitol.*, 139: 308-320.

12. Bizimenyera, E.S., J.B. Githiori, J.N. Eloff and G.E. Swan, 2006. *In vitro* activity of *Peltophorum africanum* Sond (Fabaceae) extracts on the egg hatching and larval development of the parasitic nematode *Trichostrongylus colubriformis*. *Veterinary Parasitol.*, 142: 336-343.
13. Athanasiadou, S., J. Githiori and I. Kyriazakis, 2007. Medicinal plants for helminth parasite control: facts and fictions. *Animal J.*, 1: 1392-1400.
14. Alawa, C.B.I., A.M. Adamu, J.O. Gefu, O.J. Ajanusi, P.A. Abdu, N.P. Chiezey, J.N. Alawa and D.D. Bowman, 2003. *In vitro* screening of two Nigerian medicinal plants (*Vernonia amygdalina* and *Annona senegalensis*) for anthelmintic activity. *Veterinary Parasitol.*, 113: 73-81.
15. Oliveira, L.M.B., C.M.L. Bevilaqua, C.T.C. Costa, I.T.F. Macedo, R.S. Barros, A.C.M. Rodrigues, A.L.F. Camurça, Vasconcelos, S.M. Morais, Y.C. Lima, L.S. Vieira and A.M.C. Navarro, 2009. Anthelmintic activity of *Cocos nucifera* L. against sheep gastrointestinal nematodes. *Veterinary Parasitol.*, 159: 55-59.
16. Paolini, V., J.P. Bergeaud, C. Grisez, F. Prevot, P. Dorchies and H. Hoste, 2003. Effects of condensed tannins on goats experimentally infected with *Haemonchus contortus*. *Veterinary Parasitol.*, 113: 253-261.
17. Max, R.A., A.E. Kimambo, A.A. Kassuku, L.A. Mtenga and P.J. Buttery, 2007. Effects of tanniniferous browse meal on nematode faecal egg counts and internal parasite burdens in sheep and goats. *South African J. Animal Sci.*, 37: 97-106.
18. Alonso-Diaz, M.A., J.F.J. Torres-Acosta, C.A. Sandoval-Castro, H. Hoste and A.J. Aguilar-Caballero, 2008a. *In vitro* larval migration and kinetics of exsheathment of *Haemonchus contortus* larvae exposed to four tropical tanniniferous plant extracts. *Veterinary Parasitol.*, 153: 313-319.
19. Alonso-Diaz, M.A., J.F.J. Torres-Acosta, C.A. Sandoval-Castro, C.M. Capetillo-Leal, S. Brunet and H. Hoste, 2008b. Effects of four tropical tanniniferous plant extracts on the inhibition of larval migration and the exsheathment process of *Trichostrongylus colubriformis* infective stage. *Veterinary Parasitol.*, 153: 187-192.
20. Min, B.R. and S.P. Hart, 2003. Tannins for suppression of internal parasites. *J. Animal Science, Champaign*. 81: 102-109.
21. Minho, A.P., I.C.S. Bueno, S.M. Gennari, F. Jackson and A.L. Abdalla, 2008. *In vitro* effect of condensed tannin extract from acacia (*Acacia mearnsii*) on gastrointestinal nematodes of sheep. *Revista Brasileira de Parasitologia Veterinaria, Jaboticabal*. 17: 147-151.
22. Ibanez, S., C. Gallet, F. Dommanget and L. Despres, 2009. Plant chemical defense: a partner control mechanism stabilising plant-seed-eating pollinator mutualisms. *BMC Evolutionary Biol.*, 9: 261.
23. Martinez-Ortiz-de-Montellano, C. J.J. Vargas-Magana, H.L. Canul-Ku, R. Miranda-Soberanis, C. Capetillo-Leal, C.A. Sandoval-Castro, H. Hoste and J.F. Torres-Acosta, 2010. Effect of a tropical tannin-rich plant *Lysiloma latisiliquum* on adult populations of *Haemonchus contortus* in sheep. *Veterinary Parasitol.*, 172: 283-90.
24. Hernandez-Orduno, G., J.F.J. Torres-Acosta, C.A. Sandoval-Castro and A.J. Aguilar Caballero, 2008. Polyethylenglicol (PEG) did not modify preference for tanniniferous plants in cafeteria trials of sheep and goats with browsing experience. *Proceedings 9<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Goats, Queretaro, Mexico*.
25. Wirtu, G., G. Adugna, T. Samuel, E. Kelbessa, A. Geleto, 1999. Aspects of knowledge attitude and practices of animal health problem in central Ethiopia, ethnoveterinary medicine: alternatives for livestock development. *Proceedings of an international conference, 4-6 November 1997, Pune, India*.
26. Deressa, A., S. Mekonnen and A. Tollosa, 2003. Ethnoveterinary use of plants in North Shoa zone, Ethiopia. *Ethiop. Veterinary J.*, 7: 11-17.
27. Eguale, T., G. Tilahun, M. Gidey and Y. Mekonnen, 2006. *In vitro* anthelmintic activities of four Ethiopian medicinal plants against *Haemonchus contortus*. *Pharmacology Online*. 3: 153-165.
28. Dereje, T., E. Tadesse, G. Mirutse and M. Abiy, 2009. Ovicidal and larvicidal activity of crude extracts of *Maesa lanceolata* and *Plectranthus punctatus* against *Haemonchus contortus*. *J. Ethnopharmacol.*, 122: 240-244.
29. Matos, F.J.A., 1997. *Introdução à fitoquímica experimental*, 2 ed. Edic,ões UFC, Fortaleza. pp: 141.
30. Pansera, M.R., A.C.A. Santos, K. Paese, R. Wasum, M. Rossato, L.D. Rota, G.F. Pauletti and L.A. Serafini, 2003. Análise de taninos totais em plantas aromáticas emedicinais cultivadas no Nordeste do Rio Grande do Sul. *Rev. Bras. Farmacogn.* 13: 17-22.



31. Coles, G.C., F. Jackson, W.E. Pomroy, R.K. Prichard, G. Von Samson- Himmelstjerna, A. Silvestre, M.A. Taylor and J. Vercruyse, 2006. The detection of anthelmintic resistance in nematodes of veterinary importance. Review. Veterinary Parasitol., 136: 167-185.
32. Costa, C.T.C., C.M.L. Bevilaqua, A.L.F. Camurça- Vasconcelos, M.V. Maciel, S.M. Morais, C.M.S. Castro, R.R. Braga and L.M.B. Oliveira, 2008. In vitro ovicidal and larvicidal activity of Azadirachta indica extracts on *Haemonchus contortus*. Small Ruminants Res., 74: 284-287.
33. Athanasiadou, S., I. Kyriazakis, F. Jackson and R.L. Coop, 2001. Direct anthelmintic effects of condensed tannins towards different gastrointestinal nematodes of sheep: *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies. Veterinary Parasitol., 99: 205-219.
34. Niezen, J.H., W.A.G. Charleston, H.A. Robertson, D. Shelton, G.C. Waghorn and R. Green, 2002. The effect of feeding sulla (*Hedysarum coronarium*) or Lucerne (*Medicago sativa*) on lamb parasite burdens and development of immunity to gastrointestinal nematodes. Veterinary Parasitol., 105: 229-245.
35. Molan, A.L., A.J. Duncan, T.N. Barry and W.C. McNabb, 2003. Effects of condensed tannins and crude sesquiterpene lactones extracted from chicory on the motility of larvae of deer lungworm and gastrointestinal nematodes. Parasitology International., 52: 209-218.
36. Ademola, I.O. and S.O. Idowu, 2006. Anthelmintic activities of *Leucaena leucocephala* seed extract on *Haemonchus contortus*-infective larvae. The Veterinary Record, 158: 485-486.
37. Calderon-Quintana, J.A., J.F.J. Torres-Acosta, C.A. Sandoval-Castro, M.A. Alonso, H. Hoste and A. Aguilar-Caballero, 2010. Adaptation of *Haemonchus contortus* to condensed tannins: can it be possible? Archivos De Medicina Veterinaria, 42: 165-171.
38. Ademola, I.O., A.I. Akanbi and S.O. Idowu, 2005. Comparative nematocidal activity of chromatographic fractions of *Leucaena leucocephala* seed against gastrointestinal sheep nematodes. Pharmaceutical Biol., 43: 599-604.
39. Bahuaud, D., C. Martinez-Ortiz de Montellano, S. Chauveau, F. Prevot, F. Torres-Acosta, I. Fouraste and H. Hoste, 2006. Effects of four tanniferous plant extracts on the *in vitro* exsheathment of third-stage larvae of parasitic nematodes. Parasitol., 132: 545-554.
40. Hoste, H., F. Jackson, S. Athanasiadou, S.M. Thamsborg, S.O. Hoskin, 2006. The effects of tannin-rich plants on parasitic nematodes in ruminants. Review. Trends in Parasitol., 22: 253-261.
41. Iqbal, Z., M. Sarwar, A. Jabbar, S. Ahmed, M. Nisa, M.S. Sajid, M.N. Khan, K.A. Mufti and M. Yaseen, 2007. Direct and Indirect Anthelmintic Effects of Condensed Tannins in Sheep. Veterinary Parasitol., 144: 125-131.
42. Al-Shaibani, I.R.M., M.S. Phulan and M. Shiekh, 2009. Anthelmintic activity of *Fumaria parviflora* (Fumariaceae) against gastrointestinal nematodes of sheep. International J. Agricultural Biol., 11: 431-436.
43. Kabore, A., A.M. Belem, T. Gaston, H. Hamidou, A. Traore and L. Sawadogo, 2009. *In vitro* anthelmintic effect of two medicinal plants (*Anogeissus leiocarpus* and *Daniellia oliveri*) on *Haemonchus contortus*, an abosomal nematode of sheep in Burkina Faso. African J. Biotechnol., 8: 4690-4695.
44. Varady, M., D. Cernanska and J. Corba, 2006. Use of two *in vitro* methods for the detection of anthelmintic resistant nematode parasites on Slovak sheep farms. Veterinary Parasitol., 135: 325-331.