

**UTILIZATION OF *GLIRICIDIA SEPIUM* LEAF MEAL AS PROTEIN
SOURCE IN DIETS OF MOZAMBIQUE TILAPIA, *OREOCHROMIS
MOSSAMBICUS* (PISCS: CICHLIDAE)**

By

GEBEYEHU GEBRE-MICHAEL TEMESGEN

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra
Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of
Philosophy**

December 2004

DEDICATION

“THE END DEPENDS UPON THE BEGINNING”

This Thesis Is Dedicated To My Late Parents

Wro. Mulatua Haile

And

Balamberas Gebre-Michael Temesgen

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

UTILIZATION OF *GLIRICIDIA SEPIUM* LEAF MEAL AS PROTEIN SOURCE IN DIETS OF MOZAMBIQUE TILAPIA, *OREOCHROMIS MOSSAMBICUS* (PISCS: CICHLIDAE)

By

GEBEYEHU GEBRE-MICHAEL TEMESGEN

December 2004

Chairman: Associate Profesor Che Roos Bin Saad, Ph D

Faculty: Agriculture

A series of experiments were conducted to evaluate the use of tropical fodder legume, *Gliricidia sepium* leaf as a potential source of protein in the diet of Mozambique tilapia, *Oreochromis mossambicus*. The effect of leaf age (plant leaf harvesting time) on crude protein (CP) and crude fiber (CF) contents of *Gliricidia sepium* were determined. *G. sepium* trees were pruned and leaf samples were taken monthly for five months. Results from chemical analyses of leaves revealed that in terms of its crude protein content, *G.*

sepium leaves need to be harvested within 1-3 months after cutting. Leaves harvested from older trees tend to contain less protein.

The chemical composition and apparent protein digestibility (APD) of *Gliricidia sepium* leaves by tilapia, *O. mossambicus*, were also investigated. *G. sepium* leaf meal was found to contain high (26.0%) crude protein but low in essential amino acids such as histidine, isoleucine, leucine, phenylalanine, valine and methionine. The apparent protein digestibility was found to be about 56%. In addition, *G. sepium* has the high crude fiber content.

The optimum inclusion level of *G. sepium* leaf meal in tilapia (*O. mossambicus*) diet was also determined. Six diets containing 0%, 10%, 25%, 40%, 50% and 88% *G. sepium* leaf meal were prepared and fed at 4% per body weight per day for 27 g tilapia for 70 days. Growth performances data obtained revealed that the optimum inclusion level of *G. sepium* leaf meal is 40% of the total diet. Inclusion of *G. sepium* leaf meal above this level resulted in lower growth of *O. mossambicus*.

The effect of different feeding rate on the performance of *G. sepium* leaf meal as *O. mossambicus* diet was also evaluated. Fish were fed diet containing 40% *G. sepium* leaf meal at 2%, 3%, 4% and 5% per fresh body weight per day. Data on growth and feed utilization performances showed

that *O. mossambicus* can be fed diet containing 40% *G. sepium* leaf meal at two percent of wet body weight per day. This feeding rate resulted in statistically comparative growth and feed utilization performance by the tilapia with 3%, 4% and 5% feeding rates.

In addition to these, two processing methods of *G. sepium* leaf meal were compared. Three diets were prepared: 1) a control diet (using fishmeal as sole protein source), 2) oven-dried and 3) presoaked and dried *G. sepium* leaf meal. The diets were fed to *O. mossambicus* fingerlings for 70 days.

Growth and feed utilization performances of *O. mossambicus* showed that the three diets resulted in similar performances. It was therefore concluded that *G. sepium* leaf meal can be used as oven-dried (unsoaked) meal. This might be due to the fact that the major anti-nutritional factors found in this legume are so low in the leaf part of the plant.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**PENGGUNAAN MIL DAUN *GLIRICIDIA SEPIUM* SEBAGAI SUMBER
PROTEIN TUMBUHAN ALTERNATIF DALAM DIET TILAPIA
MOZAMBIQUE, *OREOCHROMIS MOSSAMBICUS*
(PISCS: CICHLIDAE)**

Oleh

GEBEYEHU GEBRE-MICHAEL TEMESGEN

December 2004

Pengerusi: Profesor Madya Che Roos Bin Saad, Ph D

Fakulti: Pertanian

Satu siri eksperimen dijalankan untuk menilai tumbuhan kekacang, *Gliricidia sepium* sebagai sumber potensi protein dalam rumusan pemakanan ikan tilapia Mozambique, *Oreochromis mossambicus*. Kesan kematangan daun (eringkat kematangan tumbuhan) ke atas kandungan protein kasar (CP) dan serat kasar (CF) ditentukan.

Pokok *G. sepium* dicantas dan sampel daun telah di ambil setiap bulan untuk 5 bulan. Keputusan analisis kimia menunjukkan bahawa dari segi kandungan protein kasar, daun *G. sepium* perlu dituai awal selepas percantasan, paling

sesuai adalah dalam tempoh 3 bulan. Daun daripada pokok yang tua lazimnya mempunyai kandungan protein yang rendah.

Komposisi kimia dan kebolehadaman protein nyata daun *G.sepium* oleh ikan tilapia, *O. mossambicus* juga dikaji. Mil daun *G.sepium* mempunyai kandungan protein kasar yang tinggi (26%) tetapi rendah dalam asid amino penting iaitu histidine, isoleucine, phenylalanine, valine dan methionine. Kebolehan adaman protein nyata adalah 56%. Disamping itu, *G.sepium* mempunyai kadar serat yang tinggi.

Kemasukan optimum mil daun *G.sepium* dalam rumusan makanan tilapia (*O. mossambicus*) juga ditentukan. Enam rumusan yang mengandungi 0%, 10%, 25%,40%,50% dan 80% mil daun *G.sepium* disediakan dan diberi makan pada kadar 4% daripada berat badan ikan setiap hari bagi ikan tilapia seberat 27g, ini diteruskan selama 70 hari. Prestasi pertumbuhan menunjukkan yang tahap optimum bagi kemasukan mil daun *G.sepium* adalah 40% daripada rumusan pemakanan keseluruhan. Tahap yang lebih tinggi daripada ini menunjukkan pertumbuhan yang lebih rendah pada tilapia, *O.mossambicus*.

Kesan kadar pemakanan yang berbeza keatas prestasi mil daun *G.sepium* sebagai rumusan makanan *O.mossambicus* juga dikaji. Ikan diberi rumusan

yang mengandung 40% mil ikan *G.sepium* pada kadar 2%, 3%, 4% dan 5% berat badan keseluruhan setiap hari. Data untuk prestasi tumbesaran dan penggunaan makanan menunjukkan *O.mossambicus* boleh diberi rumusan makanan yang mengandung 40% mil daun *G.sepium* pada kadar 2% berat badan basah setiap hari. Keputusan ini menunjukkan secara statistik bahawa prestasi penggunaan makanan yang setara untuk 3%, 4% dan 5% kadar pemberian makanan diperolehi.

Selain daripada ini, 2 cara pemprosesan mil daun *G.sepium* dibandingkan. Tiga rumusan pemakanan disediakan 1) rumusan kawalan (mil ikan sebagai sumber protein tunggal), 2) daun *G.sepium* dikeringkan oleh ketuhar (oven dried) dan 3) daun *G.sepium* yang direndam. Rumusan diberi kepada anak ikan *O.mossambicus* selama 70 hari.

Prestasi kadar pertumbuhan dan penggunaan makanan menunjukkan yang ketiga-tiga rumusan memberi keputusan yang setara. Oleh itu disimpulkan bahawa mil daun *G.sepium* boleh digunakan terus tanpa sebarang rawatan. Ini kemungkinan disebabkan faktor-faktor anti nutrisi utama dalam tumbuhan kekacang ini adalah amat rendah pada daunnya.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my deep appreciation to Assoc. Prof. Dr. Che Roos bin Saad, Chairman of my Supervisory Committee, for providing me with a wonderful opportunity to complete my doctoral studies under his guidance. This work would not have been possible without his help, constant encouragement and more than anything else, his friendship during my entire stay in Malaysia. In addition to his support in the academic area, Dr. Che Roos also enabled me gain valuable knowledge on the diverse culture and splendid natural beauty of Malaysia and Malaysian society.

My sincere appreciation is goes to Associate Professor Dr. Salleh Kamarudin, member of my Supervisory Committee, for his extraordinary help and devotion on guidance and correction of the draft text. I would like also to extend my appreciation to Professor Dr. Abdul Razak Alimon and Associate Professor Dr. Ridzwan Abd. Halim, who are also members of my Supervisory Committee, for their constructive suggestions as well as guidance during the entire study period. I am grateful for their willingness to serve on the committee, provide me assistance whenever required and reviewing this dissertation. I would also like to thank:

- Mr. Jasni MD Yusoff (Aquatic Resources Technology Laboratory, Department of Agrotechnology) for his technical assistance, providing

all necessary Laboratory equipment as well as his friendship during the course of entire feeding experiments and fish feed preparation;

- Mr. Abdul Halim Rahman (Laboratory of Biochemistry, Faculty of Food Science and Biotechnology) for his technical assistance on all Laboratory works (determination of proximate composition and amino acid contents of the various samples);
- Mr. Din (Department of Crop Science, Faculty of Agriculture) for his assistance during leaf meal harvest and preparation;
- Dr. Ladan Asgari, Dr. Abdolsamad Jahangard and Dr. Hamid Rezai for their help and friendship.
- Ato Getachew Akalu, Ato Eyob Getachew and my entire family for their constant encouragement and moral support;
- Fellow Ethiopian post-graduate students in Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM) for their friendship as well as being with me during my hard times;
- The Amhara Region Agricultural Research Institute (ARARI) and Bahir Dar Fisheries and Other Aquatic Resources Research Center for their valuable support; and
- The Ethiopian Agricultural Research Organization (EARO) for providing the scholarship and funding the research project.

I certify that an Examination Committee met on---to conduct the final examination of Gebeyehu Gebre-Michael Temesgen on his Doctor of Philosophy thesis entitled “Utilization of *Gliricidia sepium* Leaf Meal as a Plant Protein Source for Diets of the Mozambique tilapia, *Oreochromis mossambicus* (Piscs: Cichlidae)” in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the relevant degree. Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

Halimi Mohd Saud, Ph. D.

Lecturer
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Dahlan Ismail, Ph. D.

Professor
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Mustafa Kamal Abdul Sattar, Ph. D.

Lecturer
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Roshada Binti Hashim, Ph. D.

Professor

University Science Malaysia
(Independent Examiner)

GULAM RUSUL RAHMAT ALI, Ph. D.

Professor / Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

This thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. Members of the Supervisory Committee are as follows:

Che Roos Bin Saad, Ph. D.

Associate Professor
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Mohd. Salleh Kamarudin, Ph. D.

Associate Professor
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Ridzwan Abd. Halim, Ph. D.

Associate Professor
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Abdul Razak Alimon, Ph. D.

Professor
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

AINI IDERIS, PH. D.

Professor/Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or other institutions.

GEBEYEHU GEBRE-MICHAEL TEMESGEN

Date:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
DEDICATION	<i>ii</i>
ABSTRACT	<i>iii</i>
ABSTRAK	<i>vi</i>
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	<i>ix</i>
APPROVAL	xi
DECLARATION	<i>xiii</i>
LIST OF TABLES	<i>xvii</i>
LIST OF FIGURES	<i>xix</i>
LIST OF PLATES	xix
LIST OF ABBEVIATIONS	<i>xxi</i>
CHAPTER	
I INTRODUCTION	22
Background of the study	22
Statement of the problem	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Significance of the study	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Objectives of the study	Error! Bookmark not defined.
II LITERATURE REVIEW	<i>Error! Bookmark not defined.</i>
Tilapia as food fish	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Environmental biology of tilapias	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Feeding ecology of tilapias	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Culture methods	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Protein and amino acid requirements of tilapias	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Protein requirements	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Amino acid requirements	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Digestibility	Error! Bookmark not defined.
<i>Oreochromis mossambicus</i>	<i>Error! Bookmark not defined.</i>
Plant protein sources in tilapia diet	Error! Bookmark not defined.
<i>Gliricidia sepium</i>	<i>Error! Bookmark not defined.</i>
Anti-nutritional factor (ANF's) in <i>G. sepium</i>	Error! Bookmark not defined.
III GENERAL MATERIALS AND METHODS	<i>Error! Bookmark not defined.</i>
Location of the study	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Proximate analysis	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Determination of dry matter (DM)	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Determination crude protein (CP)	Error! Bookmark not defined.

Determination of crude fat	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Determination of crude fiber (CF)	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Gross energy determination	Error! Bookmark not defined.
The culture unit	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Experimental diet preparation	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Rearing of experimental animals	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Calculations of the various growth and feed utilization parameters	Error! Bookmark not defined.
IV THE EFFECT OF LEAF AGE ON CRUDE PROTEIN (CP) AND CRUDE FIBER (CF) CONTENT OF <i>GLIRICIDIA SEPIUM</i> LEAF <i>Error! Bookmark not defined.</i>	
INTRODUCTION	Error! Bookmark not defined.
MATERIALS AND METHODS	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Leaf meal preparation	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Experimental design	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Chemical analysis of samples	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Statistical analysis	Error! Bookmark not defined.
RESULTS	Error! Bookmark not defined.
DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	Error! Bookmark not defined.
V NUTRITIONAL VALUE OF <i>GLIRICIDIA SEPIUM</i> LEAF MEAL IN MOZAMBIQUE TILAPIA, <i>OREOCHROMIS MOSSAMBICUS</i> PETERS <i>Error! Bookmark not defined.</i>	
INTRODUCTION	Error! Bookmark not defined.
MATERIALS AND METHODS	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Sample preparation	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Determination of the proximate composition	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Amino acid composition	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Apparent protein and dry matter digestibility	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Determination of chromic oxide	Error! Bookmark not defined.
RESULTS	Error! Bookmark not defined.
DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	Error! Bookmark not defined.
VI THE EFFECT OF SUBSTITUTING FISHMEAL WITH <i>GLIRICIDIA SEPIUM</i> LEAF MEAL ON GROWTH AND FEED UTILIZATION OF THE MOZAMBIQUE TILAPIA, <i>OREOCHROMIS MOSSAMBICUS</i> PETERS <i>Error! Bookmark not defined.</i>	
INTRODUCTION	Error! Bookmark not defined.
MATERIALS AND METHODS	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Diet preparation	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Experimental animals	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Culture system	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Feeding	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Experimental design	Error! Bookmark not defined.

Water quality	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Data collection	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Statistical analyses	Error! Bookmark not defined.
RESULTS	Error! Bookmark not defined.
DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	Error! Bookmark not defined.
VII EFFECTS OF FEEDING RATION OF A DIET CONTAINING G. <i>SEPIUM</i> LEAF MEAL ON GROWTH AND FEED UTILIZATION OF <i>OREOCHROMIS MOSSAMBICUS</i> PETERS	<i>Error! Bookmark not defined.</i>
INTRODUCTION	Error! Bookmark not defined.
MATERIALS AND METHODS	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Diet preparation	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Experimental animals	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Culture system	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Feeding	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Experimental design	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Water quality	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Data collection	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Statistical analysis	Error! Bookmark not defined.
RESULTS	Error! Bookmark not defined.
DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	Error! Bookmark not defined.
VIII EFFECT OF PRESOAKING ON PERFORMANCE OF <i>GLIRICIDIA</i> <i>SEPIUM</i> LEAF MEAL IN DIET OF THE MOZAMBIQUE TILAPIA, <i>OREOCHROMIS MOSSAMBICUS</i> PETERS	<i>Error! Bookmark not defined.</i>
INTRODUCTION	Error! Bookmark not defined.
MATERIALS AND METHODS	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Diet preparation	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Experimental animals	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Culture system	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Feeding	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Experimental design	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Water quality	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Data collection	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Statistical analysis	Error! Bookmark not defined.
RESULTS	Error! Bookmark not defined.
DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	Error! Bookmark not defined.
IX GENERAL DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	<i>Error! Bookmark not defined.</i>
REFERENCE	<i>Error! Bookmark not defined.</i>
APPENDICES	<i>Error! Bookmark not defined.</i>
BIODATA OF THE AUTHOR	<i>Error! Bookmark not defined.</i>

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1. Protein requirement of different species of tilapia for maximum growth	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2. The quantitative essential amino acid (EAA) requirements of tilapia species expressed as percent dietary protein	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3. Essential amino acid requirements of <i>O. mossambicus</i> expressed as percent crude protein	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4. Crude protein (CP) and crude fiber (CF) content of <i>G. sepium</i> leaf meal (Mean \pm SE)	Error! Bookmark not defined.
5. Ingredient composition of diet used for the determination of the apparent protein digestibility of <i>G. sepium</i> leaf meal	Error! Bookmark not defined.
6. Proximate composition (% sample and % DM) of 6-8 weeks old <i>Gliricidia sepium</i> leaf (Mean \pm SE)	Error! Bookmark not defined.
7. Amino acid composition of <i>G. sepium</i> leaf (mg g ⁻¹ sample)	Error! Bookmark not defined.
8. Apparent protein digestibility (%) of <i>G. sepium</i> leaf meal by <i>O. mossambicus</i> in comparison with the digestibility of other feed ingredients by <i>O. niloticus</i>	Error! Bookmark not defined.
9. Ingredient and nutrient composition (%) of the six experimental diets used to determine optimum inclusion level of <i>G. sepium</i> leaf meal	Error! Bookmark not defined.
10. Survival, growth and feed utilization performance of <i>O. mossambicus</i> fed the six diets for 70 days (Means \pm SE)	Error! Bookmark not defined.
11. Ingredient and nutrient composition of the experimental diet used to determine optimum feeding level	Error! Bookmark not defined.
12. Survival, growth and feed utilization performances of <i>O. mossambicus</i> fed diets containing <i>G. sepium</i> leaf at four feeding levels for 70 days (Means \pm SE)	Error! Bookmark not defined.
13. Ingredient and nutrient composition (%) of the three experimental diets used to study the effect of soaking <i>G. sepium</i> leaf meal	Error! Bookmark not defined.

14. Survival, growth and feed utilization performances of *O. mossambicus* fed the three experimental diets for 70 days (Means \pm SE) **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

15. Anti-nutritional factors in *Gliricidia sepium* foliage (DM) **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
1	Ten year (1993-2002) world farmed tilapia production Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.	Regression of crude protein content on harvesting time of <i>G. sepium</i> leaf during five months of growth Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.	Regression of crude fiber (CF) contents on harvesting time of <i>G. sepium</i> leaf during five months of growth Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.	Relation between harvesting time and crude fiber (CF) contents of <i>G. sepium</i> leaf during five months of growth Error! Bookmark not defined.
5.	Growth performances of <i>O. mossambicus</i> fed diets with various inclusion level of <i>G. sepium</i> leaf meal for 70 days Error! Bookmark not defined.
6.	Regression of specific growth rate on inclusion level of <i>G. sepium</i> leaf meal in the diet of <i>O. mossambicus</i> Error! Bookmark not defined.
7.	Relation between daily weight gain and inclusion level of <i>G. sepium</i> leaf meal in the diet of tilapia (<i>O. mossambicus</i>) Error! Bookmark not defined.
8.	Growth of <i>O. mossambicus</i> fed diets containing <i>G. sepium</i> leaf meal at four feeding levels for 70 days Error! Bookmark not defined.
9.	Growth performance of <i>O. mossambicus</i> fed the control, soaked and un-soaked (dried) <i>G. sepium</i> diets for 70 days Error! Bookmark not defined.
10.	Schematic presentation summarizing the results Error! Bookmark not defined.

LIST OF PLATES

Plate	Page
1. <i>Gliricidia sepium</i> trees a) three months old and b) six weeks old	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2. <i>Oreochromis mossambicus</i> a) adult and b) juveniles	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3. Culture tanks: a) Experimental circular fiberglass tanks connected to recirculation water system and b) rectangular fiberglass brood stock tanks	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4. Experimental pellets for digestibility study with chromium oxide	Error! Bookmark not defined.
5. Feces collected for digestibility study	Error! Bookmark not defined.
6. Fresh <i>G. sepium</i> leaf	Error! Bookmark not defined.
7. Oven-dried <i>G. sepium</i> leaf	Error! Bookmark not defined.
8. Ingredients used for preparation of the test diets	Error! Bookmark not defined.
9. Oven-dried <i>G. sepium</i> leaf meal containing pellets	Error! Bookmark not defined.
10. The six experimental pellets containing different percentage of <i>G. sepium</i> leaf meal; D-1 (0%), D-2 (10%), D-3 (25%), D-4 (40%), D-5 (50%) and D-6 (88%)	Error! Bookmark not defined.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ANF's	Anti-nutritional Factors
ANOVA	Analysis of variance
CF	Crude fiber
CP	Crude protein
CRD	Completely randomized design
DM	Dry matter
DMRT	Duncan's multiple range test
DO	Dissolved oxygen
DWG	Daily weight gain
EAA	Essential amino acids
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization
FCR	Feed conversion ratio
FM	Fishmeal
GSLM	<i>Gliricidia sepium</i> leaf meal
HPLC	High pressure liquid chromatography
LIFDC's	Low-income food deficit countries
NEAA	Non-essential amino acids
NFE	Nitrogen free extract
PER	Protein efficiency ratio
PWG	Percent weight gain
SAS	Statistical analysis system
SBM	Soybean meal
SE	Standard error (of the mean)
SGR	Specific growth rate
UPM	Universiti Putra Malaysia

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

Background of the study

Fish is still a cheap source of high quality animal protein in many developing countries. According to FAO (1997), annual human demand for food fish will increase to about 110 million tonnes by the year 2010. Consequently, total world fish production from capture fisheries and aquaculture is currently the highest on record and remain very important for global food security. Today, more attention is given for fish farming because of the fact that on one hand the fisheries sector has long been dominated by the capture fisheries, and over-fishing due to improper fisheries management led to lower production. Although it is thought that potential exists in a few cases for further expansion of capture fisheries in tropical Africa, instances of water bodies being fished up to their maximum levels of sustainable yield or beyond have also been recorded (FAO, 2001; FAO, 2002). In general it has to be recognized that there are upward limits to further expansion of capture fisheries and for this reason attention has increasingly focused on the possibilities of fish farming.

World aquaculture production, including aquatic plants, reached 45.7 million tones by weight and \$56.5 billion by value in 2000 (FAO, 2002). Global aquaculture production for 2001 showed a further increase to 48.2 million tones with a value of \$60.9 billion. According to FAO (2002), total fish production reaches its peak 128.8

million tonnes in 2001; aquaculture contributes 37.5 million tones. Asia is by far the most important continent for aquaculture activity. China remains by far the largest producer with 71 percent of the total volume and 49.8 percent of the total value of aquaculture production.

Aquaculture contribution for global supply of fish increased from 3.9 percent of total production by weight in 1970 to 27.3 percent in 2000. It provided 20 percent of global fisheries production (and 29 percent of food fish) in 1996; and increased to 29.1 percent of global fisheries production in 2001(FAO, 2002). The share of aquaculture in the total world food fish production is set to increase from 29.1 percent in 2001 to 38 percent by the year 2010.

According to FAO (1998) aquaculture output grew dramatically during the millennium while capture fisheries production registered a slight increase. In fact, aquaculture has become the fastest growing food production sector of the world, with an average annual increase of about 9.2 percent since 1970, compared with only 1.4 percent for capture fisheries and 2.8 percent for terrestrial farmed meat production systems (FAO, 2002). Most of the world aquaculture production is carried out predominantly by low income food-deficit countries LIFDCs (FAO, 1998).

Generally in developing countries, or 'The Third World', where the problem of overpopulation is critical, it is believed that fish farming can offer one of the

solutions for the ever increasing food (protein) crisis (FAO,1997; FAO, 2001). In fact, a great deal of project activity, both past and present, has been aimed at the development of aquaculture in many African countries. Africa is well situated for aquaculture expansion; about 43% of continental Africa is assessed as having the potential for farming tilapia, African catfish and carp (FAO, 2001). However, the sector still contributes very insignificantly to national food fish supplies in the continent and remains one of the greatest development challenges of the entire tropical African region. Africa, which is home to about 12% of the world population produced an estimated 185, 817 tonnes of fish, crustaceans and mollusks, contributing about 0.6% of world aquaculture output in 1998(FAO, 2001).

Fish production and food fish supply in Ethiopia follow similar, if not worse, trend with the rest of Africa. The continuously dwindling fish stocks in many of Ethiopian fresh water lakes are threatening the already limited supply of fish to the public (LFDP, 1996; Nagelkerke, 1997). Thus the development of fish farming arises (FAO, 1997). Such interventions seem quite important for countries like Ethiopia where an ever spiraling human population combined with increasing demand for fish make good prospect for small-scale fish farming schemes to be developed among farmers. In addition the availability of immense water resources in the country; the availability of suitable farming species (predominantly the indigenous tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus*) as well as the recently teeming government endeavor towards the development of small-scale water harvesting schemes and Ethiopia.