Characterization and biological activity of antifungal compounds present in *Breonadia salicina* (Rubiaceae) leaves

Salome Mamokone Mahlo (26289182)

M.Sc (Botany) (University of Limpopo)

Submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of **Philosophiae Doctor (PhD)**

in the

Phytomedicine Programme, Department of Paraclinical Sciences Faculty of Veterinary Sciences



Promoter: Prof J.N. Eloff Co-Promoter: Dr L.J. McGaw

Date of Submission: October 2009



DECLARATION

I **Salome Mamokone Mahlo**, hereby declare that this thesis submitted to the University of Pretoria for the degree of Doctor Philosophiae is the result of my own investigations in execution and has never been submitted at any other university or research institution. Any help I received is acknowledged in the thesis.

Salome Mamokone Mahlo

.....

Prof J.N Eloff Promoter

.....

Dr L.J McGaw Co-promoter



DEDICATION

This work is dedicated to my daughter Dunisani Maashaba Mahlo and my parents Wilson and Maria Mahlo for their support throughout my studies.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Supervisor Prof J. N Eloff for the advice, support and encouragement he gave me throughout my project. His office was always open to discuss my work, and his inputs and criticism were very helpful, especially during discussion of scientific papers. Thanks for your negative and positive comments, they have made me grow from strength to strength and realise that if I want to be a good scientist, I really need to work harder.

Co-supervisor Dr LJ. McGaw, thanks for being a good listener and proof reading my thesis. There were time where things were falling apart and you gave me strength and make me understand the world of research.

Microbiology Department, thanks for allowing me to use your equipment. I am grateful to **Samuel Molekwa** and **John Tsatsi**, thanks for making sure that my glassware were always clean and when I needed something you made provision for.

Thank you **Marelize Potgieter** (University of Witwatersrand) for performing mass spectroscopy.

Dr Xolani Peter from Council for Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) thanks for your excellent assistance with NMR, EIMS, structure elucidation and chemical characterization.

Tharien de Winnaar, for making sure that my oranges were available and also for ordering necessary equipment and materials.

National Research Foundation (NRF) and University of Pretoria for financial support.

I am thankful to my parents, **Maria and Wilson Mahlo** for their love, support, guidance and mostly believing in me throughout my study life and making sure that my dream becomes a reality. I would like to thank God for blessing me with such a wonderful mother, who is always there for me and especially for taking good care of my daughter while I was far away. Mom, you are so incredible, especially for raising my daughter as if it is your own child. "Mma ngwana o tshwara thipa ka bogale."



I am grateful to my daughter **Dunisani Maashaba Mahlo,** for being so strong and giving me strength throughout my project even when things were falling apart. My angel, you are the pillow of my strength. I thank God for blessing me with such a lovely child.

Brothers (Frans, Peter, Jimmy, Godfrey and Prince) and Sisters (Millicent and Mahlatse) thanks for the support you gave me throughout my studies.

A very special thanks goes to my fiancé **Dr Hasani Chauke**, who have been there for me throughout my project. I am very grateful to you for taking good care of our child especially in the most difficult time, while she was very sick. Thanks for the word of encouragement that you gave me and I really appreciate your contribution towards my success.

Lastly, thanks to **God Almighty**, for his grace, wisdom and comfort throughout my studies. There were times where things were very tough and God was there to protect and give me strength to overcome heavy storms and strong waves. This was indeed a very long journey and I praise you God always.

Psalm 23:4 Even though I walk through the valley of the shadow of death, I will fear no evil, for you are with me; your rod and your staff, they comfort me.



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Amph B	Amphotericin B	
BEA	Benzene: ethanol: ammonia	
CC	Column chromatography	
CEF	Chloroform: ethyl acetate: formic acid	
DCM	Dichloromethane	
DEPT	Distortionless enhancement by polarization transfer	
DPPH	2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl	
EIMS	Electron impact mass spectrometry	
EMW	Ethyl acetate: methanol: water	
EtOAc	Ethyl acetate	
INT	p-Iodonitrotetrazolium violet	
IPUF	Indigenous Plant Use Forum	
LC ₅₀	Lethal Concentration for 50% of the cells	
MeOH	Methanol	
MIC	Minimum Inhibitory Concentration	
MS	Mass spectrometer	
MTT	(3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium	
	bromide	
NMR	Nuclear magnetic resonance	
R _f	Retention factor	
SDA	Sabouraud Dextrose Agar	
TLC	Thin Layer Chromatography	



ABSTRACT

The aim of this study was to investigate plant species to develop a product with the potential of protecting plants or plant products against plant fungal pathogens. Hexane, dichloromethane, acetone, and methanol leaf extracts of six plant species (*Bucida buceras*, *Breonadia salicina, Harpephyllum caffrum, Olinia ventosa, Vangueria infausta* and *Xylotheca kraussiana*) were evaluated for antifungal activity against seven plant fungal pathogens (*Aspergillus niger, A. parasiticus, Colletotrichum gloeosporioides, Penicillium janthinellum, P. expansum, Trichoderma harzianum* and *Fusarium oxysporum*). These plant species were selected from more than 400 plant species evaluated in the Phytomedicine Programme that had good activity against two animal fungal pathogens. All the leaf extracts were active against at least one or more of the phytopathogenic fungi in a serial microdilution assay. Of the six plant species, *B. buceras* had the best antifungal activity against four of the fungi, with MIC values as low as 0.02 mg/ml and 0.08 mg/ml against *Penicillium expansum, P. janthinellum, Trichoderma harzianum* and *Fusarium oxysporum*.

The number of active compounds in the plant extracts was determined using bioautography with the above-mentioned plant pathogens. No active compounds were observed in some plant extracts against the fungal plant pathogens indicating possible synergism between metabolites responsible for the antifungal activity of the extract. *B. salicina* and *O. ventosa* were the most promising plant species, with at least three antifungal compounds.

The antioxidant activities of plant extracts were determined using the qualitative method by spraying TLC chromatograms developed in three eluent systems BEA, CEF and EMW with 1, 1-diphenyl -2 picrylhydrazyl (DPPH). The plant extracts of five of these species did not have a strong antioxidant activity. The methanol extract of *X. kraussiana* was the most active radical scavenger in the DPPH assay amongst the six medicinal plants screened.

Based on good activity against *Aspergillus niger* and *A. parasiticus*, leaf extracts of the six plant species were also tested for antifungal activity against *A. fumigatus*, a very important animal fungal pathogen. The acetone extracts of *B. buceras*, *B. salicina*, *V. infausta* and *X. kraussina* had good antifungal activity against the animal pathogens, with MIC values ranging between 0.02 and 0.08 mg/ml. This indicates that crude extracts of these species may be more



valuable in combating *Aspergillus* infections in animals than in humans. Based on the results discussed above, *B. salicina* was selected for in-depth study.

Serial exhaustive extraction was used to extract plant material with solvents of increasing polarities namely, hexane, chloroform, acetone and MeOH. Amongst the four extractants, MeOH extracted the largest quantity of plant material 12.3% (61.5g), followed by acetone 5.6% (27.8 g), hexane 2.6% (12.8 g) and chloroform 2.1% (10.3 g). The chloroform fraction was selected for further work because it had the best antifungal activity against *A. niger*, *C. gloeosporioides*, *P. janthinellum* and *T. harzianum* and the bioautography assay showed the presence of several antifungal compounds in the chloroform fraction.

Column chromatography was used in a bio-assay guided fractionation and led to isolation of four compounds. The antimicrobial activity was determined against seven plant pathogenic fungi and three bacteria, including the Gram-positive *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC 29213) and the Gram-negative *Escherichia coli* (ATCC 25922) and *Pseudomonas aureus* (ATCC 27853). The isolated compounds had good antifungal activity against *A. parasiticus* with an MIC of 10 μ g/ml, while in other cases it ranged from 20 to 250 μ g/ml. Amongst the four compounds tested, only three had a clear band, indicating that the growth of the pathogenic fungi was inhibited in the bioautography assay.

Nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (NMR) and mass spectroscopy (MS) were used for identification of isolated compounds. Only one compound was identified as the triterpenoid ursolic acid. Ursolic acid has been isolated from several plant species and has antifungal activity against *Candida albicans* (Shai et al. 2008). This is the first report on the isolation of antifungal compounds from leaves of *Breonadia salicina*. The other compounds isolated appeared to be mixtures of fatty acids based on mass spectroscopy and the structures were not elucidated.

The cytotoxicity of acetone extracts and the four isolated compounds were determined against Vero cells using a tetrazolium-based colorimetric (MTT) assay. The acetone extract was selected based on good *in vitro* antifungal activity and was used in an *in vivo* fruit experiment. The acetone extract was less toxic toward the Vero cells with an LC₅₀ of 82 μ g/ml than

vii



ursolic acid and compound 4 which had LC_{50} values of 25 and 36 µg/ml respectively. Compounds 2 and 3 had low toxicity against the cells with LC_{50} values greater than 200 µg/ml.

The potential use of the extract or isolated compound(s) against three plant fungal pathogens *Penicillium expansum* and *P. janthinellum* as well as *P. digitatum* (isolated from infected oranges) were tested after treating the oranges with the extract and ursolic acid. The model used gave good reproducible results. The concentration that inhibited growth correlated reasonably well with MIC values determined by serial microplate dilution. There were substantial differences in the susceptibility of the different isolates tested. The activity of ursolic acid was in the same order as that of the crude acetone leaf extract of *B. salicina*. The LC₅₀ of the extract varied from 1 to 1.8 mg/ml.

Penicillium digitatum was more resistant to amphotericin B in comparison to other *Penicillium* species. It has been reported that the fungus was resistant to the three fungicides: sodium *o*-phenylphenate (*o*-phenylphenol), imazalil, and thiabendazole used commercially in the fruit industry to reduce postharvest decay (Holmes and Eckert 1999).

The toxicity of the extract to Vero cells was in the order of 10 times lower than the LC_{50} of the extracts to the fungal pathogens. Although much work still has to be done, there is good potential that a commercial product can be developed from an acetone leaf extract of *B*. *salicina* leaves, especially if the activity of this extract can be improved by removing inactive compounds.

The results confirm the traditional use of *B. salicina* and demonstrate the potential value of developing biopesticides from plants.



CONFERENCES AND WORKSHOP

2007

Presented at Indigenous Plant Use Forum (IPUF), held at University of Johannesburg.

Paper: <u>S.M Mahlo</u>, McGaw L.J., Eloff J.N. Plant antifungal extracts active against plant pathogenic fungi.

2008

Presented at Royal Society/NRF joint programme, "Scientific validation of South Africa plants with medicinal value" held at Onderstepoort, University of Pretoria.

Paper: <u>S.M Mahlo</u>, McGaw L.J., Eloff J.N. Plant antifungal extracts active against plant pathogenic fungi.

2008

Presented at World Conference on Medicinal and Aromatic Plants (WOCMAP) IV, held at Cape Town International conference centre 2008.

Paper: <u>S.M Mahlo</u>, McGaw L.J., Eloff J.N. Plant antifungal extracts active against plant pathogenic fungi and isolation of antifungal compounds from *B. salicina*.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION	i
DEDICATION	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	
ABSTRACT	
CONFERENCES AND WORKSHOPS ATTENDED	ix



Table of Contents	
CHAPTER 1	1
Medicinal Plants	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Literature review	2
1. 2.1 Importance of medicinal plants	2
1.2.2 The use of plants against microbial infections	3
1.2.3 Fungi as pathogens	3
1.2.3.1 Antifungal drugs	4
1.2.4 Resistance of fungi	4
1.2.5 Food production and effects of fungal pathogens	5
1.2.6 Plants as antifungals	6
1.2.6.1 Previous related antimicrobial work in the Phytomedicine laboratory	6
1.2.7 Selection of plants for study	7
1.2.7.1 Ethnobotanical information on six selected species	7
1.2.7.2 Phytochemical data available on selected species	9
1.2.8 Motivation	10
1.2.9 Aim	10
1.2.10 Objectives	11
CHAPTER 2	12
Extraction and phytochemical investigation of selected plant species	12
2.1 Introduction	12
2.2 Materials and methods	13
2.2.1 Plant selection	13
2.2.2 Plant collection	14
2.2.3 Plant storage	14
2.2.4 Extraction Procedure	15
2.2.4.1 Laboratory extraction method	15
2.2.5 Phytochemical analysis	15



2.2.6 Retention factor (R _f) values of compounds	16
2.3 Results and discussion	16
2.3.1 Extraction using different solvents	16
2.3.2 Phytochemical analysis of extracts	
2.3.2.1 TLC analysis of plant extracts for preliminary screening	
2.4 Conclusion	
CHAPTER 3	
Preliminary screening for antifungal activity of six selected plant species	
3.1 Introduction	
3.2 Materials and methods	25
3.2.1 Fungal strains	
3.2.1.1 Quantification of fungal inoculum	
3.2.2 Bioassays for antifungal activity	
3.2.2.1 Dilution method	
3.2.2.2 Bioautography	
3.3 Results and discussion	
3.3.1 Quantification of fungal inoculum	
3.3.2 Microplate dilution assay	
3.3.3 Bioautography assay	
3.4 Conclusion	41
CHAPTER 4	
Antioxidant activity	
4.1 Introduction	
4.2 Materials and methods	
4.2.1 Extraction	
4.2.2 Assay for free radical scavenging (DPPH)	
4.2.2.1 TLC fingerprint and antioxidant activity	
4.3 Results and discussion	



4.4 Conclusion	
CHAPTER 5	
Activity of crude leaf extracts of plant species against Aspergillus fumigatus	
5.1 Introduction	
5.2 Materials and methods	
5.2.1 Fungal strain	
5.2.2 Quantification of fungal inoculum	
5.2.3 Bioassays for antifungal activity	
5.3 Results and discussion	
5.3.1 Dilution method	
5.3.2 Bioautography assay	
5.4 Conclusion	
CHAPTER 6	
Antifungal activity of Breonadia salicina leaf extracts	
6.1 Introduction	
6.2 Materials and methods	
6.2.1 Exhaustive sequential extraction	
6.2.2 Solvent-solvent fractionation	
6.2.3 Microplate dilution assay	
6. 2.4 TLC fingerprinting	
6.2.5 Bioautography assay	
6.3 Results and discussion	60
6.3.1 Serial extraction with different solvents	
6.3.2 TLC analysis	
6.3.2.1 Separation of compounds in the serial extraction fractions	
6.3.2.2 Separation of compounds in the solvent-solvent fractions	
6.3.3 Bioautography assay	



6.4 Conclusion	
CHAPTER 7	
Isolation of antifungal compounds from leaves of Breonadia salicina	
7.1. Introduction	
7.2. Materials and methods	69
7.2.1 Isolation of antifungal compound(s)	71
7.2. 1.1 Column chromatography	71
7.2.1.1a Compound 1 (column i)	71
7.2.1.1b Compound 2 (column ii)	71
7.2.1.1c Compound 3 (column iii)	72
7.2.1.1d Compound 4	72
7.3 Microplate dilution assay	72
7.4 Bioautography assay	72
7.5 Results and discussion	72
7.5.1 TLC analysis	75
7.6 Conclusion	77
CHAPTER 8	
Structure elucidation of four isolated compounds	78
8.1 Introduction	78
8.2 Materials and methods	79
8.2.1 Structure elucidation	79
8.2.2.1 Nuclear Magnetic Resonance	79
8.2.2.2 Mass Spectroscopy	79
8.2.2.3 Electron impact mass spectrometry (EIMS)	79
8.3 Results and discussion	
8.3.1 Structure elucidation	
8.3.1.1 Compound 1	
8.3.1.2 Compound 2, 3 and 4	
8.4 Conclusion	



CHAPTER 9	
Antifungal and antibacterial activity and cytotoxicity of isolated compounds	
9.1 Introduction	
9.2 Materials and methods	
9.2.1 TLC fingerprint	
9.2.2 Bioassays for antifungal activity	
9.2.2.1 Microdilution method	
9.2.2.2 Bioautography assay	
9.2.3 Antibacterial activity	
9.2.4 Cytotoxicity assay	
9.2.4.1 Tetrazolium-based colorimetric assay (MTT)	
9.3 Results and discussion	
9.3.1 Biological activity of the isolated compounds	
9.3.1.1 Bioautography assay	
9.3.1.2 Microplate dilution assay	
9.3.2 Cytotoxicity assay	
9.3.2.1 Therapeutic index of the crude extract and isolated compounds	
9.4 Conclusion	94
CHAPTER 10	96
In vivo experiment: Plant extracts active against Penicillium species	
10.1 Introduction	
10.2 Materials and methods	
10.2.1 Microplate dilution assay	
10.2.2 Isolate and culturing of fungi	
10.2.3 Quantification of fungal inoculum	
10.3. In vivo experiment	
10.3.1 Fruit Decay test	
10.4 Results and discussion	



10.4.1 Microplate dilution assay
10.4.2 First experiment to evaluate the procedure
10.4.3 Determining the dose related effect of the treatments
10.4.4 Determining the effect of dose and time of exposure
10.4.5 Determining the LC ₅₀ of the crude extract
10.5 Therapeutic Index
10.5.1 Therapeutic index of the crude extract and isolated compounds
10.6 Conclusion
CHAPTER 11
Summary and conclusion
CHAPTER 12
References
Appendix



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1 Percentage of material extracted from 4g of leaves of (1) Bucida17buceras, (2) Breonadia salicina, (3) Harpephyllum caffrum, (4) Olinia ventosa, (5)Vangueria infausta and (6) Xylotheca kraussiana with different extractants

Figure 2-2 Chromatograms developed with CEF (top)) and EMW (bottom)19solvent systems, sprayed with vanillin-sulphuric acid.

Figure 3-1 Bioautograms of extracts of *Bucida buceras*, *Breonadia salicina*, 36 *Harpephyllum caffrum* and *Olinia ventosa*. Chromatograms were developed in
CEF and sprayed with *Penicillium janthinellum* (top), centre (*Aspergillus parasiticus*), bottom (*Trichoderma harzianum*). White areas indicate inhibition of
fungal growth. Lanes from left to right: acetone (A), hexane (H), DCM (D) and
methanol (M)

Figure 3-2 Bioautograms of extracts of Bucida buceras, Breonadia salicina,37Harpephyllum caffrum and Olinia ventosa. Chromatograms were developed inEMW and sprayed with (Aspergillus niger) top, centre (Colleototrichumgloeosporioides) and bottom (Penicillium janthinellum). White areas indicateinhibition of fungal growth. Lanes from left to right: acetone (A), hexane (H),DCM (D) and methanol (M)

Figure 3-2 (continuation) Bioautograms of extracts of *Bucida buceras*,
38 *Breonadia salicina*, *Harpephyllum caffrum* and *Olinia ventosa*. Chromatograms
were developed in EMW and sprayed with (*Aspergillus parasiticus*) top, centre
(*Penicillium expansum*) and bottom (*Trichoderma harzianum*). White areas
indicate inhibition of fungal growth. Lanes from left to right: acetone (A),
hexane (H), DCM (D) and methanol (M).

Figure 4-1 TLC chromatograms of six plant species (left to right: *Bucida buceras*, 46 *Breonadia salicina*, *Harpephyllum caffrum*, *Olinia ventosa*, *Vangueria infausta*and *Xylotheca kraussiana*) extracted with acetone, hexane, DCM and MeOH (left



to right), developed in BEA, CEF and EMW, and sprayed with DPPH solution.

Figure 5-1 Bioautograms of six plant species (left to right: *Bucida buceras*,
54 *Breonadia salicina*, *Harpephyllum caffrum*, *Olinia ventosa*, *Vangueria infausta*and *Xylotheca kraussiana*) extracted with acetone, hexane, DCM and MeOH (left
to right), developed in BEA and CEF, and sprayed with *Aspergillus parasiticus*.
Clear zones on the bioautograms indicate inhibition of fungal growth.

Figure 6-1 A photograph of (a) small and large tree and (b) leaves of *Breonadia*57salicina taken from Lowveld National Botanical Garden in Nelspruit.

Figure 6-2 Map showing geographic distribution of *Breonadia salicina* (Palgrave 58 2002). The red shaded areas indicate the places where the plant species grows and the arrows show other places/countries where the plant species is found.

Figure 6-3 Quantity of plant material sequentially extracted from 500 g of *B*.60*salicina*, with different extractants. Lanes from left to right: hexane (H),chloroform (C), acetone (A), and methanol (M).

Figure 6-3 Chromatograms separated in BEA (left), CEF (centre) and EMW
(right) solvent systems, sprayed with vanillin-sulphuric acid. Lanes from left to
right: (A) = Acetone, (H) = Hexane, (C) = Chloroform and (M) = Methanol.

Figure 6-4 Chromatograms of *Breonadia salicina* fractions, developed in BEA65(left), CEF (centre) and EMW (right), left to right: Aqueous (A), Butanol (B) andChloroform (C) and sprayed with vanillin-sulphuric acid (0.1% in vanillin insulphuric acid).

Figure 6-5 Bioautograms of *Breonadia salicina* extracts, serially extracted withA= Acetone (A), Hexane (H), Chloroform (C) and Methanol (M), developed inBEA, and EMW, and sprayed with *A. parasiticus*. White areas indicate inhibitionof fungal growth.



70

Figure 7-1 Schematic representation of bioassay-guided isolation of four antifungal compounds from the leaf extract of *B. salicina*. The isolation pathway include the following stages: (1) 500 g plant material was ground to fine powder, (2) Serial extraction was carried out using four extractants (Hexane, CHCl₃, Acetone and MeOH), (3) Bioautography assay was used to determine antifungal compounds, (4) Solvent-solvent fraction was carried out using chloroform fraction since antifungal compounds were present on bioautograms, (5) Isolation of antifungal compounds with column chromatography, (6) six fractions were collected in the first column (Ci); Hexane: EtOAC (3:2) fraction yielded 120 mg of compound (C1), Further column chromatography Cii, Ciii and Civ yielded compounds C2 (70 mg), C3 (20 mg) and C4 (14 mg) respectively.

Figure 7-2 Fractions of column i developed in Hexane: EtOAC (3:1) and73visualized using vanillin-sulphuric acid.

Figure 7-3 Bioautograms of fractions showing activity of fractions developed in73 hexane: EtOAC (3:1) and sprayed with *F. oxysporum*. White areas indicateinhibition of fungal growth on bioautograms.

Figure 7-4 Bioautograms of fractions showing activity of fractions developed in74hexane: EtOAC (3:1) and sprayed with *P. janthinellum*. White areas indicateinhibition of fungal growth on bioautograms.

Figure 7-5 Fractions of column ii developed in hexane: EtOAC (3:2) and74visualized using vanillin-sulphuric acid.

Figure 7-6 Fractions of column iii developed in CHCl3: EtOAC (1:2) and75visualized using vanillin-sulphuric acid.

Figure 7-7 Fractions of column iv developed in CHCl3: MeOH (90:5) and75visualized using vanillin-sulphuric acid.



Figure 7-8 Chromatograms of 100 µg of isolated compounds developed with
76
BEA, CEF and EMW and sprayed with vanillin-sulphuric acid. Lanes from left to
right: Cr= Crude extract, 1= Compound 1, 2= Compound 2, 3= Compound 3 and
4= Compound 4.

Figure 8-1 Structure of ursolic acid isolated from leaves of *Breonadia salicina* 82

Figure 9-1 Bioautograms of 100 μ g of isolated compounds eluted with BEA, CEF and EMW and sprayed with *Trichoderma harzianum*, *Aspergillus parasiticus* (left), *Penicillium janthinellum* (centre) and *Fusarium oxysporum* (right). White areas indicate inhibition of fungal growth. **Lanes from left to right:** Cr = Crude extract, 1 = Compound 1, 2 = Compound 2, 3 = Compound 3 and 4 = Compound 4

Figure 9-2 Bioautograms of compound 1, 2, 3, 4 and crude extracts,	88
chromatograms developed in BEA and EMW sprayed with Escherichia coli,	
Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Staphylococcus aureus. White areas indicate	
inhibition of fungal growth. Lanes from left to right: $Cr = crude extract$, $1 =$	
compound 1, $2 = $ compound 2, $3 = $ compound 3 and $4 = $ compound 4.	
Figure 9-3 Cytotoxicity of berberine with $LC_{50} = 13 \ \mu g/ml$ against Vero cells	90
Figure 9-4 Percentage (%) cell viability of berberine	90
Figure 9-5 Cytotoxicity of ursolic acid with $LC_{50}=25 \ \mu g/ml$ against Vero cells	90
Figure 9-6 Cytotoxicity of C2 with $LC_{50} = 525 \ \mu g/ml$ against Vero cells	91
Figure 9-7 Cytotoxicity of C3 with $LC_{50} = 1849 \mu g/ml$ against Vero cells	91

Figure 9-8 Cytotoxicity	v of C4 LC ₅₀ = 35 μ g/ml against Vero cells	91
-------------------------	---	----



Figure 9-9 Cytotoxicity of crude extract with $LC_{50} = 82 \mu g/ml$ against Vero cells **92**

Figure 10-1 Schematic representation of orange infection experiment using plant **101** pathogen isolated from rotten orange and *P. expansum* and *P. janthinellum.* (2) Sterile loop was used to streak *P. digitatum* on agar plate and (3) incubate overnight. (4) Observation of fungal growth after 24 hrs. (5) The fungal culture was adjusted to approximately 1.0×10^6 cells/ml (6-8) Navel oranges were soaked for 5 minutes and then washed with soap and allowed to dry. (9) Each orange was divided into eight sections with two duplicates in each section to make sixteen treatments. The oranges were punctured and then the extracts were applied into the wound at different concentrations and allowed to dry for 20 minutes. Acetone and amphotericin B were used as negative and positive controls. The fungal culture was applied to the wound and (10) the oranges were placed in a container and incubated until the zone of growth was visible.

Figure 10-2 Orange fruits were inoculated with about 10 000 cells of *P*.
104 *expansum*, *P. digitatum* and *P. janthinellum* on each wound and subjected to different concentrations of the crude extract. Diameters of growth (mm) were measured after 4 (top) and 7 (bottom) days incubation time. Results are shown as the average diameter of the infected wounds. Error bars show standard deviation.
Lanes from left to right: Acetone extracts at different concentrations (0.0, 1.25 and 10 mg/ml), Amphotericin B (0.16 mg/ml).

Figure 10-3 Orange fruits were inoculated with about 10 000 cells of *P. digitatum* 105 on each wound and subjected to 1 mg/ml concentration of ursolic acid. Diameters of growth (mm) were measured after 4 days incubation time. Results are shown as the average diameter of the infected wounds. Error bars shows standard deviation.
Lanes from left to right: Acetone (0.0), ursolic acid (1 mg/ml) and Amphotericin B (0.16 mg/ml).

Figure 10-4 Orange fruits were inoculated with about 10 000 cells of P.108expansum, P. digitatum and P. janthinellum on each wound and subjected to



different concentrations of the crude extract. Diameters of growth (mm) were measured after 4 (top) and 7 (bottom) days incubation time. Results are shown as the average diameter of the infected wounds. Error bars shows standard deviation. **Lanes from left to right:** Acetone extracts at different concentrations (0.0), 1.25 and 10 mg/ml, Amphotericin B (0.16 mg/ml).

Figure 10-5 Orange fruits inoculated with 1.0×10^6 cells/ml of *P. digitatum*, *P.*109*expansum* and *P. janthinellum*, subjected to different treatment (A) acetone, (L)lower concentration (H) higher concentration and (P) Amphotericin B and thenincubated for 4 days. Zone of growth (mm) of infected wounds were observed onthe skin of fruit.

Figure 10-6 Oranges inoculated with 100 000 cells of *P. digitatum* and subjected110to different treatments. Left: Oranges half infected with *Penicillium* after 8 daysand right: oranges were covered with fungi after 10 days probably reflecting achange from a yeast to a mycelial growth form.

Figure 10-7: Oranges inoculated with 100 000 cells of *P. expansum* (top) *P.* 112 *digitatum* (middle) and *P. janthinellum* subjected to different concentrations of the crude acetone leaf extract of *Breonadia salicina*. The area of growth in mm² was calculated and results are shown as the average infected area after 4 days of incubation time.

Figure 10-8: Oranges inoculated with 100 000 cells of *P. expansum* (top) *P.* 113 *digitatum* (middle) and *P. janthinellum* (bottom) subjected to different concentrations of the crude acetone leaf extract of *Breonadia salicina*. Area of growth in mm² was calculated and results are shown as the average infected area of the after 7 days of incubation time.



LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1 Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC) of acetone extracts of six14plant species against two animal fungal pathogens (Pauw and Eloff unpublisheddata)

Table 2-2 Quantity in mg extracted from 1 g of powdered leaf material of18different species by acetone [A], hexane [H], dichloromethane [D] and methanol[M]

Table 2-3 Rf values of compounds separated in CEF and EMW, extracted by A20(acetone), H (hexane), D (dichloromethane) and M (methanol). The compoundswere visualized under visible light, UV light 254 or 365 and sprayed with vanillin-
sulphuric acid.

Table 2-3 continued. Rf values of compounds separated in CEF and EMW,21extracted by A (acetone), H (hexane), D (dichloromethane) and M (methanol). Thecompounds were visualized under visible light, UV light 254 or 365 and sprayedwith vanillin-sulphuric acid.

Table 3-1 Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of six plant species against29plant pathogenic fungi (values duplicate SD = 0)

Table 3-2 Total activity in ml/g of six plant species extracted with acetone,31hexane, dichloromethane and methanol tested against seven fungi

Table 3-3 Average MIC values (mg/ml) of extracts prepared with different32extractants on all test organisms

Table 3-4 Average MIC values of acetone, hexane, dichloromethane and methanol33extracts against seven plant pathogens

Table 3-5 The average MIC values (mg/ml) of plant extracts prepared using34



different extractants against seven plant pathogens

Table 3-6 The inhibition of fungal growth by bioautography of different plant**39**extracts separated by TLC (CEF solvent system). R_f values of active compoundsare shown.

Table 3-7 The inhibition of fungal growth in the bioautography assay of different40plant extracts separated by TLC (EMW solvent system). Rf values of activecompounds are shown.

Table 5-1 Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of six plant species against**52**Aspergillus fumigatus using different extractants (A = acetone, H = hexane, D =dichloromethane, M = methanol). The results are the average of three replicatesand the standard deviation was zero (0).

Table 6-1 Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and total activity of four61serial extracts against seven plant pathogenic fungi. The results show the averageof three replicates and the standard deviation was 0 in all cases

Table 6-2 Total activity of the crude acetone extract of *B. salicina* leaves tested62against seven plant pathogenic fungi

Table 6-3 Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and total activity of solvent-63solvent fractions against plant pathogenic fungi. The results show the average ofthree replicates with standard deviation 0 in all cases

Table 7-1 R_f values of compounds separated in BEA, CEF and EMW. The**77**compounds were visualized using visible light, UV light at 254 or 365 nm, andsprayed with vanillin-sulphuric acid.

Table 8-1¹³C NMR spectroscopic data for compound 181



Table 9-1 Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of four isolated compounds**89**against seven plant pathogenic fungi. Standard deviations were 0 in all cases.

Table 9-2 Cellular toxicity and minimum inhibitory concentration of crude extract**93**and four isolated compounds against seven plant pathogenic fungi.

Table 9-3 The Therapeutic Index (TI) of four isolated compounds against seven94plant pathogenic fungi.

Table 10-1 Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) after 48 h of crude extract,**102**ursolic acid and amphotericin B against three *Penicillium* species. The resultsshow the average of three replicates with a standard deviation of 0.

Table 10-2 Growth of different *Penicillium* isolates in mm treated with acetone103(0), acetone extracts and amphotericin B after 7 days incubation

Table 10-3 Growth of different *Penicillium* species in mm treated with different107concentrations of the crude extract and two concentrations of amphotericin B after7 days incubation

Table 10-4 Growth of different *Penicillium* isolates in mm² treated with two111concentrations of amphotericin B after 7 days incubation

Table 10-5 LC₅₀ and area of growth after 4 and 7 days incubation time against**114**three *Penicillium* species.

Table 10-6 The Therapeutic Index (TI) of acetone extracts against three plant115pathogenic fungi calculated by dividing LC_{50} with MIC using values after 4 days.